Volume 9

Pages 1768 - 1969

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD M. CHEN, JUDGE

IN RE TESLA, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION.

) No. 18-cv-04865-EMC

San Francisco, California Wednesday, February 1, 2023

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Movants:

LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP 1101 30th Street NW

Suite 115

Washington, D.C. 20007

BY: NICHOLAS IAN PORRITT, ESQ. ELIZABETH K. TRIPODI, ESQ. ALEXANDER A. KROT, III, ESQ.

> LEVI & KORSINSKY LLP 75 Broadway

Suite 202

San Francisco, California 94111

BY: ADAM M. APTON, ESQ. ADAM C. MCCALL, ESQ.

LEVI & KORSINSKY LLP

55 Broadway 10th Floor

New York, New York 10016

BY: MAX EDWARD WEISS, ESQ.
KATHY AMES VALDIVIESO, ESQ.

Reported By: BELLE BALL, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR

DEBRA L. PAS, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR Official Reporters, U.S. District Court

(Appearances continued, next page)

APPEARANCES, CONTINUED:

For Defendants:

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 51 Madison Avenue 22nd Floor

New York, New York 10010

BY: ALEXANDER B. SPIRO, ESQ.
ANDREW JOHN ROSSMAN, ESQ.
PHILLIP B. JOBE, ESQ.
ELLYDE R. THOMPSON, ESQ.
JESSE A. BERNSTEIN, ESQ.

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 865 South Figueroa Street 10th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90017

BY: MICHAEL T. LIFRAK, ESQ.
ANTHONY P. ALDEN, ESQ.
MATTHEW ALEXANDER BERGJANS, ESQ.
WILLIAM C. PRICE, ESQ.

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 555 Twin Dolphin Drive Fifth Floor

Redwood Shores, California 94065

BY: KYLE K. BATTER, ESQ.

Wednesday, February 1, 2023 1 8:12 a.m. PROCEEDINGS 2 (The following proceedings were held outside of the 3 4 presence of the Jury) THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. Court is now in 5 session, the Honorable Edward M. Chen is presiding. 6 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Have a seat. 7 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Court is calling the case In 8 Regarding Tesla, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 18-4865. 9 10 Counsel, please state your appearances for the record, 11 beginning with the plaintiffs. MR. PORRITT: Good morning, Your Honor. Nicholas 12 Porritt on behalf of Levi & Korsinsky, the plaintiff and the 13 class. 14 15 THE COURT: Good morning. 16 MR. PORRITT: Good morning, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Spiro. MR. SPIRO: Good morning, Your Honor. Alex Spiro, 18 19 Ouinn Emanuel, on behalf of the defendants. 20 THE COURT: Good morning. Okay, I have a number of 21 things I want to talk about.

With respect to the slides, I've determined that I'm not going to admit those as evidence. You know, case law's not particularly clear, but I think the gist is that the problem with the slides to be admitted is that these are conclusions of

22

23

24

PROCEEDINGS

an expert. So they really are in the nature of a portion of an expert report.

And because they kind of reach -- go to the ultimate conclusion, they're not like an intermediary piece of evidence like a log of phone calls or a log of cell tower locations, and picking out the prime ones for someone to see, or a list of employees or something like that. This goes to an ultimate question. And so normally this is not the kind of thing that goes in as a piece of evidence.

On the other hand, I fully appreciate that this is an unusual situation because it's not just a simple verdict of yes, no, and if the damages, is it "blank" amount. There's a complicated table.

So I am going to allow that demonstrative to be shown to the jury, if they request. And I intend -- and that's why I sent out the proposed instruction last night, that takes the -- the usual instruction that: You are not going to be given a transcript, but adds to that that if they want to request a readback -- so I'm not going to underscore any one thing, but a general readback of testimony or a review of demonstrative slide, they can ask the Court and the Court will decide. So they will know they have the option, and if they ask that, then we'll decide how to handle it at that point.

MR. SPIRO: Yeah. Thank Your Honor.

So obviously we understand the Court's ruling that they

are demonstratives and not being entered into evidence. As to the Court's proposed slight change to the instruction, there was sort of two things that just caught my eye and I want to make sure that I'm understanding the Court correctly.

One was on transcripts. And I don't think the Court would use its discretion but I'm not so sure a court -- if they were to say: I want to hear Guhan Subramanian's testimony regarding his resume, if they were to request that, I don't know that any judge has the discretion to say yes or no. I just -- that struck me as strange phraseology on there.

I've always been under the impression, although never have seen -- never had cause to challenge it, that if a jury does actually request, it's sort of understood that they're allowed to get testimony readback. So that caught my eye.

But I understood the instruction to basically mean -which we're fine with -- if they said: We would like to hear
testimony, we would like to see a demonstrative, they'd come
back in, they get to see it. We understand that. And if
that's the gravamen of what the Court is so saying, we
understand the Court's instruction.

THE COURT: That's it. And to meet your concern, you know, there's a whole question. The Ninth Circuit encourages full readback of the entire -- you know, which I don't think anybody does. But, that suggests there's some discretion as to how much to read, and maybe even if. I know some of my

colleagues simply say no.

But, I could just simply delete the "may or may not grant" and just say I will consider the request. And just leave it open. Maybe that's a safer thing.

MR. SPIRO: That's probably -- again, it just caught
my eye, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. PORRITT: We had no order as originally drafted of the slide amendment, I'd be inclined -- I'd be inclined to stay with the original language but I don't have an issue with "consider," "may or may not." I don't think there's much difference in those.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm also going to remind the jury at this point, because we've been using the term "demonstrative," they may not know what we're talking about, and see the distinction between exhibits and demonstratives. I think -- my plan, as we start our final day of testimony, is to tell them in advance that things have been admitted into evidence they will get to see, they'll get to bring that back -- because I'm going to tell them that anyway, you know -- into the jury room. But demonstratives do not.

I don't want them to be under the misimpression that these demonstratives will go back with them. They should know that now. So if they want to pay particular attention -- and I assume you all are going to have some demonstratives during

```
your closings -- that, you know, they should know, that's not
 1
     -- they can't say: Oh, I'm not going to worry about it, I'll
 2
     see it in the jury room. Because there's no promise of that.
 3
                         We defer to the Court's discretion on that
 4
              MR. SPIRO:
 5
     issue.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 6
              MR. PORRITT: Just to be clear, of course, we're
 7
     maintaining our -- we did move those slides into evidence.
 8
              THE COURT: Yes.
 9
              MR. PORRITT: You're overruling our motion.
10
                                                           I just
11
     want to make sure that is preserved for the record.
12
          We're not --
13
              THE COURT: Yes.
                                It is preserved for the record.
     I will sustain the objection, just to be clear for the record.
14
15
              MR. PORRITT: Very good.
16
              MR. SPIRO: The Court had other issues?
              THE COURT:
                          Yes. A couple other issues.
17
          A ruling on the last series of evidentiary objections, I'm
18
     going to get out an order momentarily. I don't know if -- are
19
     we going to reach Mr. Fischel this first session?
20
              MR. SPIRO: No, Your Honor. In light of the Court's
21
     admonition day one of the trial to not create extra work for
22
23
     the Court -- and I do genuinely appreciate how hard the Court
     and the Court staff has been working, I see it, it's clear to
24
```

me -- I don't think the Court should endeavor to go too much

further than this morning, because we don't know exactly until plaintiff rests what we're going to do.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I just ask because otherwise I want to give you all my oral rulings now. But it's ready to be filed. You'll have it in short order.

MR. SPIRO: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. PORRITT: Very good, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So on that front -- and I appreciate the parties' effort, although at the end of the day some of us are still burning the midnight oil, concerning the number of witnesses and the number of exhibits.

I have my rule that I impose, and I would admit some at mid-trial or after trial began as a deterrent, ended up adding -- I ended up not adding a lot of time in. There were times, especially that one day with the BART problem, we would have been -- we probably would have added, you know, 30, 40 minutes. But I didn't, since there was no -- they weren't here anyway.

At the end of the day, I think I added ten minutes a side. And that was from the first two days. And out of the mercy rule, I'm going to rebate those ten minutes back to you all. It was ten minutes a side, so it's fair. And so there's no due-process argument that I sprung this on you after I had already sent the hour limitation. And, knowing that we're going to finish today with those ten minutes, we will finish evidence today. I have no doubt about that. If it was going

```
to delay trial -- my main goal is to make sure we get it to the
 1
 2
     jury when we said we would.
          So whatever the hours were, which are now pretty short,
 3
     you can add ten minutes. And Vicky will figure that out.
 4
 5
              MR. PORRITT: Thank you, Your Honor. Appreciate it.
                         Every minute counts.
              THE COURT:
                                                That's it.
 6
 7
              MR. SPIRO: Your Honor, probably the only other thing
     I should flag for the Court is, you know, we'll have an
 8
     application after plaintiff rests. We could just -- if we're
 9
     at a natural point to continue, we could continue, I guess, and
10
11
    preserve that.
                         Uh-huh.
12
              THE COURT:
              MR. SPIRO: But we'll want to before -- at the first
13
     available break, to at least briefly address it. And when I
14
15
     say "briefly," I mean briefly.
16
              THE COURT:
                         Yeah.
              MR. SPIRO: Just so that the Court has it flagged for
17
18
     it.
              THE COURT: Yeah.
                                 And if we're not at a convenient
19
20
    point, you can simply say that you make your motion, and I'll
21
     say "We'll take it up later." So at least you've timed your
     motion, so it's --
22
23
              MR. SPIRO: Right.
                                  The -- I tried to actually do that
```

yesterday, Your Honor. And I apologize if, by elbowing my

colleague, I interrupted. I had understood, just so the Court

24

```
PROCEEDINGS
```

understands, that before each expert witness, the Court was going to give that instruction. That's what I understood the Court to say earlier on in the case.

So when I said that, or when I triggered my colleague to say that, I did not intend to interrupt. I just -- so perhaps, given we're mid-testimony, maybe after the testimony.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SPIRO: The other issue with the expert that I mentioned at the close yesterday, Your Honor, --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. SPIRO: -- was the volunteering in violation of the Court's order of the information that he did. I'm not going to get into a whole song and dance about it. I know what I saw. I know the Court saw what the Court saw. And I think anybody who sees into this knows what happened.

At this time, I'm not moving to strike his entire testimony as a remedy, or move for mistrial. But I do want to put on the record that it was, again, obviously an intentional attempt to infect the record with that.

And if he does that or anything like that again, I just want the Court to know, I am going to move for a mistrial, and I am going to move to strike his entire testimony, because there's no other remedy that puts the genie back in the bottle. So I do want to put plaintiff and the Court on notice of that.

THE COURT: Well, what I intend to do is before we

```
start -- actually, might need to do this without the jury -- I
 1
     can admonish the witness not to make any other reference to
 2
     court rulings, so he hears it from the Court.
 3
              MR. SPIRO: Understood, Your Honor. I don't want to
 4
 5
    bring it back up in front of the jury.
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Yeah.
              MR. SPIRO: But, but, the Court can admonish.
 7
    going to be watching, obviously.
 8
              THE COURT: Right.
 9
              MR. PORRITT: And Your Honor, Mr. Spiro's making all
10
11
     sorts of --
              THE COURT: I understand.
12
              MR. PORRITT: -- insinuations. And there's no
13
     evidence of that, whatsoever. Even in their papers, they said:
14
15
    Mr. Porritt did not deny that he instructed the witness to say
16
     this, when I obviously did. So, I mean, that is blatantly
17
    misrepresenting the record. The Court's seen --
18
              THE COURT: I've made no finding that there was any
19
     such instruction or purposeful directive from counsel.
20
    not going to -- and I don't need to make any of those findings.
     Because, you know, I think right now, as it stands, it's
21
22
     happened, and I'm going to admonish the witness not to do it
23
     again.
```

MR. PORRITT: And perhaps counsel for defense could

So I think we're going to --

```
not present questions that suggest or require, perhaps, a full
 1
     response that would suggest a reference to those rulings.
 2
     that might be -- perhaps, you know -- perhaps defense counsel
 3
     can help themselves in that regard.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          There's lots of things that can be done.
     Maybe, even though he may not have intended it, perhaps you
 6
     could have reminded him a third time not to say -- I mean,
 7
     there's enough blame to go around. My goal is let's just keep
 8
     this record as clean as we can. And I'm going to admonish him,
 9
10
     and hopefully he'll listen, because it will be very clear.
11
     I'll make it very clear to him. We should have him come in
    before the jury does, because I'll want to see him anyway
12
    before the jury comes in. I'll tell him.
13
          In terms of the expert opinion, you know, I didn't give it
14
15
             Is the request to give it at the end of his testimony?
     again.
16
     Or --
17
              MR. SPIRO: I'll confer with my colleagues.
                                                           I'm just
18
     explaining to the Court that's the reason that you heard that,
19
    because I had understood the Court to say that earlier in the
20
           But, we are where we are.
     case.
21
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                          So I'll confer with my colleagues and --
22
              MR. SPIRO:
23
              THE COURT:
                          All right. Let's see. Did we get the
```

MR. PORRITT: Um, I don't think we have any -- we've

stipulated facts for the jury instructions yet from you?

24

```
been trying to -- there was a lot going on last evening, so I
 1
     don't know if we have made any progress towards adding any to
 2
     what was already in the pretrial brief. I believe that's a
 3
     fair statement on the status --
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Should I use the pretrial brief, then, as
     the stipulated facts that listed?
 6
              MR. SPIRO: Before there's a -- before the Court comes
 7
    back from the first break, we will have a firm answer to the
 8
     Court.
 9
              THE COURT:
10
                         Okay.
11
              MR. SPIRO: Because we do think you will be able to at
     least instruct on the law today.
12
                          Okay. Because I have gone through the
13
              THE COURT:
     instructions. There's that. I don't think there's been
14
15
     judicial notice of anything. So I removed that one.
16
          I'm going to add the thing about the jury can request
17
     readback, and that's about it. I'm leaving everything.
          All your objections have already been made; they've been
18
19
     ruled on. So --
20
                         Understood.
              MR. SPIRO:
              THE COURT:
                         Ready to roll, if we get there today.
21
22
              MR. SPIRO:
                          Right. Of course, we'll have an
23
     application at the end of the case -- at the end of their case.
     That's a serious application. But with that, understood, as
24
25
     the Court stands right now.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT:
                     Okay.
                           And then I got your comments on the
verdict form which I just received, and haven't had a chance to
look at it. You may have some comments. But obviously that
won't have to be decided until Friday when I give the final
instructions.
     You'll want to know before you go into closings -- I'll
have that for you -- my final ruling on the form of the
verdict, because you may want to use that in your closings.
        MR. PORRITT: Absolutely, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: So I'll have that, you know, some time
tomorrow or tonight or something, for you.
        MR. SPIRO: Thank you.
        MR. PORRITT: Very good, Your Honor.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay.
        MR. PORRITT: Your Honor, there's still a pending
motion, our motion to admit eight exhibits from Dr. Hartzmark.
We're still waiting for defense's response. We tried to get
that last night, and we didn't hear anything.
                    That's the 338, 429, et cetera?
         THE COURT:
        MR. PORRITT: Exactly. Yes, Your Honor.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay.
        MR. ROSSMAN: Hi -- good morning, Your Honor.
Getting my mask off.
     We have good news, which is that we -- in light of the
prior quidance Your Honor's given, we don't have objections to
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
any exhibit except with respect to Exhibit 570. We request,
consistent with other redactions, that there be one paragraph
redacted, which I can hand to Mr. Porritt.
         THE COURT: Hand that to counsel.
     (Document tendered)
        MR. ROSSMAN: The Court -- I think it will appreciate
there's hearsay 403 and legal conclusion consistent with how he
handled some of the other articles that have come in.
        MR. PORRITT: No objection, Your Honor, to that
redaction.
         THE COURT: All right.
        MR. ROSSMAN: We preserve our prior positions, but I
think that is consistent with the Court's --
         THE COURT: All right. So all those will be admitted,
and 570 will have the redactions as indicated.
        MR. PORRITT: Yes. Will defendants submit a --
        MR. ROSSMAN:
                      Yes.
     (Reporter clarification)
        MR. PORRITT: Defendants will submit a redacted form
of Exhibit 570 for the Court.
         THE COURT: All right. So just for the record, 338,
429, 565, 572, 594, 721 and 763 are admitted. 570 will be
admitted subject to redaction.
     I am sustaining the objection to the slides that were
tendered by the plaintiffs, 11, 12, 22, 24, 52, 55, 46, 47, and
```

```
I think there has a Heston slide, No. 7.
 1
              MR. PORRITT: Correct, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: And for the reasons stated, the
 3
     objection's sustained. But I'm going to give the instruction
 4
 5
     about access to demonstratives.
              MR. PORRITT: Very good, Your Honor. Thank you.
 6
 7
          (Trial Exhibits 338, 429, 565, 572, 594, 721 and 763
     received in evidence. Trial Exhibit 570 received in evidence,
 8
     subject to redaction)
 9
              THE COURT: All right? So before we bring the jury
10
11
     in, maybe we can have the witness come back, and I can give him
     the admonishment.
12
13
              MR. PORRITT:
                           Okay.
          (A pause in the proceedings)
14
          (Professor Hartzmark re-takes the witness stand)
15
16
              THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Hartzmark.
17
              THE WITNESS: Good morning, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: So before the jury comes in -- and I think
18
     you've probably heard our discussion this morning. I want to
19
     make sure you understand that I've already ruled that the jury
20
     is not to be told anything about my rulings in an explicit way.
21
          For various reasons, at the end of the day, we're going to
22
23
     instruct the jury that they should assume that the tweets in
     question were not true, et cetera. But we've avoided telling
24
```

the jury that "The Court found that," because of the concern

```
about some undue effect that might have. And so yesterday when
 1
     you made a finding -- made a comment about "Judge Chen already
 2
     found this, " that was in contravention of what we're trying to
 3
     do.
 4
 5
          So all I'm going to ask you to do is don't make any
     reference to "the Judge" or "the Court found," you know, in
 6
     your testimony today.
 7
              THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll do that.
 8
              THE COURT: All right?
 9
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
11
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
12
              THE WITNESS:
              MR. PORRITT: Thank Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: All right, so why don't we check on the
14
15
     jury and see if they're here.
16
          (A pause in the proceedings)
          (The following proceedings were held in the presence of
17
     the Jury)
18
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
19
              THE COURT: All right. Have a seat, everyone.
20
          Good morning, members of the jury, welcome back.
21
                                                             Thank
22
     you again for your promptness and for your dedication.
                                                              We
```

So we are in the middle of Professor Hartzmark's cross-examination. And so that's where we are going to pick

23

24

25

really appreciate that.

up.

Before we do, let me explain one thing to you. And that is, we've referred to various things, and you have seen various things that we are calling "demonstratives," slides and other things, and you may perhaps in closing argument see what we call "demonstratives." That's different from exhibits. Other things you have seen are exhibits, and you have heard us -- you have heard me either admit or deny various things.

What you will have -- and I will explain this to you in the final instructions -- when you deliberate, you will have the exhibits. You'll have access to all the exhibits that have been admitted. You will not have access to demonstratives. So slides and things that are being shown to help you understand the testimony, those are aids to help you understand, but they are not exhibits.

I will also explain to you, when we get to that final stage, your ability to request to see certain things other than exhibits.

But I want to make sure that it's clear in your mind that when something is an exhibit and we admit it, it is part of the record, a formal part of the record, and you will have those in the jury room to look at. But things that I do not admit, you will not have. So, that's the terminology. And I'll instruct you more when we get to the final instructions.

So, thank you.

1 Mr. Rossman, you may proceed. 2 MR. ROSSMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. MICHAEL HARTZMARK, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, RECALLED 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION, RESUMED 4 5 BY MR. ROSSMAN Good morning, Professor. 6 Q 7 Good morning, Mr. Rossman. Α I'm literally on a clock, so you will bear with me, and we 8 will move as quickly as we can for everyone's benefit. And I'm 9 sure you appreciate that I can't possibly cover all of the 10 11 slides and data that you have talked about. Now, am I right to assume, Professor, that since you left 12 13 the stand yesterday, you have not discussed this case with anyone else? 14 15 Correct. 16 Okay. Not with plaintiff's counsel, not with any members 17 of your team supporting you? 18 Α I have not. The class definition, just a couple quick technical things 19 to ask you, and then I want to return to where we were 20 21 yesterday. 22 The definition of the class in this case, do you understand, includes short sellers? 23 Um, that's my recollection. 24

Okay. And if you would, I want to show a couple slides.

25

Q

- One slide in particular that you testified about, Slide 24. 1
- And this is on implied volatility. 2
- MR. ROSSMAN: If we can have that up for one second, 3
- please? 4
- 5 (Document displayed)
- BY MR. ROSSMAN 6
- Do you see that slide in front of you? 7 Q
- 8 Α Yes.
- Am I right, Professor, that this information in this slide 9
- comes from Professor Heston? 10
- 11 Α Yes. Yes. Um --
- That was my only question. Thank you, sir. 12
- Now, yesterday, if you will recall, one of the things we 13
- were discussing was August 13th. And in particular, the blog 14
- 15 post on August 13th that was published by Mr. Musk. Do you
- 16 recall that?
- 17 Yes. Α
- 18 And it's in evidence as Exhibit 53. If we could put that
- up, please. 19
- 20 (Document displayed)
- Okay. And one of the issues, one of the claims in this 21
- case relates to one of the August 7th tweets. 22
- 23 MR. ROSSMAN: And if we could show that briefly,
- Exhibit 13, in evidence. 24
- 25 (Document displayed)

BY MR. ROSSMAN 1 And the tweet is: 2 Q "Investor support is confirmed. Only reason 3 why this is not certain is that it's 4 5 contingent on a shareholder vote." You remember that, right? 6 7 Yes. Α So I want to compare that tweet to the August 13th blog 8 post for a moment. Okay? And if we could, if you turn to the 9 section "Next steps," which is on the second page of the blog 10 11 post. Okay? (Document displayed) 12 13 What are the next steps? 14 Do you see that? 15 Yes, I see it. 16 Okay. And it indicates that Mr. Musk is going to continue to talk with investors, and investigate a range of potential 17 18 structures and options. That's what it says, sir. Correct? 19 20 Um, yeah, he's going to continue to talk with investors, 21 and he's engaged advisers to investigate a range of potential 22 structures and options, correct. 23 And the blog post also tells investors (As read): "If and when a final proposal is presented, 24 25 an appropriate evaluation process will be

```
undertaken by a special committee of Tesla's
 1
               board..."
 2
          Right?
 3
 4
     Α
          Yes.
 5
     Q
          Being set up.
                         And:
               "If the board process results in an approved
 6
               plan, any required regulatory approvals will
 7
               need to be obtained..."
 8
          Right?
 9
     A
          Yes.
10
          And the plan will then be submitted to shareholders for a
11
     vote. Right?
12
13
     Α
          Yes.
          So to the extent that investors interpreted that
14
15
     August 7th tweet that you saw a moment ago which said only
16
     reason why this isn't certain, to the extent that they
17
     interpreted that literally to mean that the only step left was
18
     a shareholder vote, Mr. Musk's August 13th blog post discloses
19
     a number of additional steps that still need to happen.
20
          Right?
          Um, yes. There are some steps here listed in this
21
22
     paragraph.
                 And nevertheless, the stock price went up on the
23
          Yeah.
     13th of August. Correct, sir?
24
25
          The stock price, um, on the 13th, I think, went up.
     Α
```

```
Although it was not statistically significant, but it went up.
 1
          So the disclosure of additional steps did not cause the
 2
     Q
     stock to go down, certainly. Right?
 3
          I -- I believe the stock went up, yes.
 4
 5
          Very good. Now, one of the things that you mentioned in
     your testimony was the statement -- was related to the
 6
     statement "Investor support confirmed."
 7
          Remember that?
 8
          I would have discussed that, yes.
 9
          And if we go back to the August 13th blog post, and just
10
     above the heading "What are the next steps?"
11
          (Document displayed)
12
13
              MR. ROSSMAN: Can we highlight that sentence just
     above "What are the next steps?"
14
15
          (Document displayed)
16
     BY MR. ROSSMAN
17
          Blog post says -- and highlight the last sentence if you
     could for me, please.
18
          (Document highlighted)
19
          (As read)
20
     Q
               "My best estimate right now is that
21
               approximately two-thirds of shares owned by
22
               all current investors would roll over into a
23
               private Tesla."
24
25
          Do you see that?
```

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 **Q** And you had a comment on that in the direct examination
- 3 yesterday. Right?
- 4 **A** Say that again?
- 5 **Q** You mentioned that in your testimony yesterday. Right?
- 6 It was something that you observed in the blog post. Right?
- 7 **A** I thought there was a -- I thought there was another
- 8 | sentence, but the -- it's suggestive that there is investor
- 9 support.
- 10 Q Okay. Are you aware that just one day before this blog
- 11 post, on August 12th, Mr. Musk received a presentation from
- 12 | Goldman Sachs which estimated that approximately 60 percent of
- 13 Tesla shareholders would roll over into a private Tesla?
- MR. PORRITT: Objection. That misstates the facts in
- 15 | the record.
- 16 **THE WITNESS:** Yeah.
- 17 **THE COURT:** Sustained.
- 18 BY MR. ROSSMAN
- 19 Q Have you seen Exhibit 254, that's in the record as
- 20 evidence?
- 21 A I can't recall.
- 22 Q Okay. Are you aware of testimony that was given regarding
- 23 a presentation that Goldman Sachs gave?
- 24 **A** No.
- 25 **Q** Okay. You're not in a position to opine one way or the

- 1 other about the truthfulness of this statement (Indicating),
- 2 | are you, sir?
- 3 **A** Truthfulness of what statement?
- 4 Q Of this statement that's highlighted in the exhibit before
- 5 you. The August 13th blog post. You have no basis to opine on
- 6 | whether or not that's true, correct?
- 7 **A** I am not opining as to whether it's true or false.
- 8 Q We'll leave that to the jury to sort that evidence. Agree
- 9 | with me on that, sir?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 **Q** Very good. But you didn't -- in your testimony in
- 12 response to plaintiff's counsel's questions, you didn't tell
- 13 | the jury anything about the Goldman presentation or Goldman
- 14 | testimony in this trial, right?
- 15 **A** I was focusing on public documents.
- 16 **Q** Very good.
- 17 **Q** We've previously talked about the period from August 7th
- 18 to August 13th. Okay? I now want to focus your attention on
- 19 August 14th, after the blog post. Follow me?
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21 | Q In your direct testimony, sir, you said that there was an
- 22 | absence of information on August 14th that was weighing on the
- 23 market. Do you recall that?
- 24 **A** Yes.
- 25 **Q** Okay. But that's not what you said in your report. In

your report, you opined that the stock price declined to close 1 on \$347.64 on reports questioning the accuracy of Musk's latest 2 tweets on hiring advisors, Goldman Sachs and Silver Lake. 3 That's what you said in your report, Paragraph 109. 4 5 that right, sir? It's clear that there was, as I said, confusion in 6 the market. My recollection was that there were -- whatever 7 the truth was, which again we'll leave to the jury, there were 8 different interpretations of the relationship with Silver Lake 9 and Goldman Sachs. There were rumors that they had been hired; 10 11 there were rumors that they had not been hired. Again, my focus was what was being rumored and speculated 12 13 about in the public market. 14 MR. ROSSMAN: Exhibit 361, please. (Document displayed) 15 16 BY MR. ROSSMAN Okay. This is the tweet that you are referring to in your 17 report: 18 "I'm excited to work with Silver Lake and 19 Goldman Sachs as financial advisors..." 20 Right? 21 22 This was the tweet. And then there was -- my Α recollection is that there was, again, rumor and innuendo in 23 the markets. 24 Now, at the time that you prepared your report, Professor, 25

- 1 you assumed that this tweet (Indicating) was a misstatement.
- 2 Right?
- 3 **A** I can't recall.
- 4 Q You assumed that it was an alleged misstatement. I can
- 5 | refer you, Professor, to your deposition testimony transcript,
- 6 | if you have it in the witness box. Let me know if you need a
- 7 little assistance.
- 8 **A** Is it --
- 9 Q Your March, 2022. And the transcript, Page 215, starting
- 10 at Line 19 through -- I'm sorry.
- 11 **A** Page 215?
- 12 Q Give me one second. I think that should be 215, starting
- 13 | at Line 19. Let me make sure that page number is right. There
- 14 seems to be a typo.
- 15 MR. ROSSMAN: And I'm going to just offer this to
- 16 refresh, for the moment, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. So you can direct the witness
- 18 | what parts to read to himself.
- 19 MR. ROSSMAN: Yeah.
- 20 BY MR. ROSSMAN
- 21 **Q** You can just read to yourself, I think just 19 through 24
- 22 for now. Right?
- 23 (Document displayed)
- 24 A It looks like the discussion about Silver Lake and Goldman
- 25 starts on Page 214.

```
1
     Q
          Okay.
                          Why don't you go ahead and read that to
 2
              THE COURT:
     yourself, and then the attorney will ask you a question once
 3
     you finish reading.
 4
 5
     BY MR. ROSSMAN
          Go ahead.
 6
     Q
          (Witness examines document)
 7
              MR. PORRITT: Your Honor, is this being broadcast to
 8
     the jury?
 9
10
              THE COURT:
                           No.
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY:
11
                                      It was.
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
12
              MR. ROSSMAN: It should not have been.
13
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. You should disregard what you just
14
15
           This is intended only for the witness.
16
     BY MR. ROSSMAN
17
          Let me know when you are ready, Professor.
18
          Yes, I'm ready.
     Α
19
          And if you could, I think it would also be helpful for you
20
     to look at Page 21, starting at Line 6.
21
          What page?
     Α
22
          Page 21.
          I can't --
23
     Α
          Page 21, 2-1.
24
     Q
25
          Of the deposition transcript?
     Α
```

- HARTZMARK CROSS / ROSSMAN Same transcript. 1 Q 2 Α Deposition? Yes, sir. 3 Q (Witness examines document) 4 5 THE COURT: Read that through Line 10? Is that what 6 you're asking? 7 MR. ROSSMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 8 9 MR. PORRITT: I think the relevant testimony goes through Line 21. 10 11 MR. ROSSMAN: I'm using it to refresh. I can point him to anything I like, Your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: Well, he can read what he wants to refresh his recollection. If he wants to read further than that, 14 15 that's fine. 16 Let me know when you finish reading. 17 THE WITNESS: So you want me to read Page 21 for sort
- of the general --18
- 19 BY MR. ROSSMAN
- Let me pause here. 20
- Is your recollection now refreshed, Professor, that at the 21 22 time you wrote your report, you assumed that the Silver Lake and Goldman Sachs tweet was a misstatement? 23
- It was in -- my recollection now, in the table of 24 25 misstatements, it was a misstatement because the -- the day

```
afterwards, it was reported, and walked back, um, that --
 1
     well...
 2
          (Witness examines document)
 3
          That the bank still hadn't signed on when the -- Musk
 4
 5
     tweeted the late Monday that he was working with Goldman Sachs.
          It was, again, I -- it was a misrep because it was, I
 6
 7
     guess, walked back.
          My question to you -- I think you answered it,
 8
 9
     Professor -- was you assumed it was a misstatement when you
     wrote your report. True?
10
11
     Α
          True.
          And you understand as we sit here in the courtroom now,
12
13
     there's no claim in this case that that tweet was false.
     Correct?
14
              MR. PORRITT: Objection, Your Honor. We're now
15
16
     getting into court orders, which I thought we had agreed not
17
     to --
              MR. SPIRO: I'm not asking about the court --
18
              THE COURT:
                          They're talking about whether that's a
19
20
     claim in this case, not a court order.
21
              MR. ROSSMAN:
                             (Nods head)
              THE COURT: So objection overruled.
22
23
              THE WITNESS: Um, it's a legal question. I -- I don't
     want to -- I don't know.
24
25
```

1 BY MR. ROSSMAN

- 2 **Q** Okay.
- 3 **A** Okay.
- 4 Q Now, are you aware that Mr. Dees -- Mr. Dees, the co-head
- of investment banking at Goldman, testified in this trial about
- 6 how Goldman was actually working with Mr. Musk?
- 7 **A** I did not come to that testimony. I'm sorry.
- 8 Q Did you come to the testimony of Mr. Durban of
- 9 | Silver Lake, who came to this courtroom to testify about how
- 10 | Silver Lake was working with Mr. Musk?
- 11 **A** I was not here.
- 12 Q Okay. You didn't mention the Goldman Sachs and
- 13 | Silver Lake tweet to the jury in your direct examination, did
- 14 you, sir?
- 15 **A** Again, there were 2,400 different documents of all types.
- 16 | That document and -- I understand in terms of the public market
- 17 | was that there was a tweet, and then within the public market
- 18 | there were rumors about the relationship between Tesla, Musk,
- 19 | Silver Lake and Goldman. So I don't know what the private
- 20 situation was.
- 21 **Q** I'll make it as simple as I can for you, sir.
- In your report, you attributed the stock decline on that
- 23 day, on August 14th, to that tweet, right?
- 24 You didn't mention that to the jury in your testimony.
- 25 A Again, the stock -- wait, the stock decline?

- 1 **Q** On August 14th, okay?
- 2 A Right.
- 3 Q You, in your report, attributed the decline in the stock
- 4 | that day, okay, to reports questioning the accuracy of that
- 5 | tweet that is no longer in the case. Isn't that true?
- 6 **A** Yes. As I told the jury yesterday, confusion,
- 7 | speculation, rumor can cause, you know, uncertainty, and a
- 8 price can go down as a reaction.
- 9 **Q** So you didn't mention the tweet in your testimony -- you
- 10 didn't exclude the damages that day from your damage
- 11 | calculation, even though allegations about that tweet being
- 12 false are not in the case.
- 13 **A** But it --
- 14 **Q** Is that true, sir?
- 15 A It's linked -- it's true, but it's linked to the
- 16 | allegations, and it's a situation, again, within the public
- 17 | market. There was confusion and disruption which is, you know,
- 18 | the nature of the consequential harm.
- 19 **Q** Okay. Consequential harm for making a truthful statement?
- 20 MR. PORRITT: Objection, Your Honor. That's
- 21 | argumentative.
- 22 **THE COURT:** Sustained.
- MR. ROSSMAN: Withdrawn. Okay?
- 24 BY MR. ROSSMAN
- 25 Q Now, in your report, you didn't say there was the

- 1 | confusion. In your report, you said it was a misstatement.
- 2 Right?
- 3 **A** Well --
- 4 **Q** True? Yes or no?
- 5 **A** I said it was a misstatement.
- 6 Q Very good. I want to ask you about August 15th. Okay?
- 7 On August 15th, there was a decline in Tesla stock, but it was
- 8 almost zero that day. Right?
- 9 A I don't have the graph in front of me, but I'll take your
- 10 word for it.
- 11 **Q** Okay. On August 16th, I want to ask you about what you
- 12 wrote that day. Okay?
- In your report what you said was (As read):
- "The stock price declined during the day to
- close at \$335.45 on mixed news and analyst
- 16 commentary on Tesla's Model 3 production
- forecast (favorable news) and base Model 3
- future profitability (unfavorable news)."
- 19 Do you recall writing that in your report, and that's
- 20 Paragraph 121?
- 21 **A** If I can take a look.
- 22 **Q** Sure.
- 23 **A** My recollection -- more than a year ago.
- 24 **Q** I believe you have the report available to you, if that
- 25 | will refresh your recollection on the stand. It's Exhibit 375

- 1 for identification. And it's at Paragraph 121, which you'll
- 2 | find at Page 94 of the exhibit.
- And I'm -- I believe I read that faithfully. Let me know
- 4 when you have it.
- 5 (Document displayed to the Witness)
- 6 A I'm sorry; I did it again.
- 7 Q Page 94 of the exhibit, Paragraph 121.
- 8 **A** Yeah, I'm sorry.
- 9 **THE COURT:** The confusion is that the binder yesterday
- 10 | had the wrong one. So you're talking about --
- 11 **THE WITNESS:** I went to the class cert report. Let me
- 12 just check.
- 13 BY MR. ROSSMAN
- 14 Q It's on your screen for your convenience, Professor.
- 15 You're free to look at it, but I'm only going to ask you about
- 16 | what's on the screen.
- 17 **A** Yeah. I've got two copies of the class certification
- 18 report. That's why I keep --
- 19 **Q** I'm not asking about that one.
- 20 **A** Okay.
- 21 **Q** It's right in front of you if you want to take a look at
- 22 it, Professor.
- 23 (Document tendered to the Witness by the Courtroom Deputy)
- 24 **THE COURT:** Are you directing his attention to
- 25 Paragraph 121?

Paragraph 121, which is on the screen --1 MR. ROSSMAN: **THE WITNESS:** I was just handed another version of my 2 class certification report. 3 Why don't you just look on the screen. THE COURT: 4 5 MR. ROSSMAN: Professor, if you would bear with me --THE WITNESS: I -- I -- it would be good to get a 6 7 sense of --THE COURT: Yeah. If you want to scroll down, and he 8 wants to see the preceding paragraphs --9 BY MR. ROSSMAN 10 11 We'll go wherever you need to go. But you wrote in your report, Paragraph 121 -- you're looking at it (As read): 12 "The stock price declined during the day to 13 close at 335.45 on mixed news and analyst 14 commentary on Tesla's Model 3 production 15 16 forecast (favorable news) and base Model 3 17 future profitability (unfavorable news)." That's what you wrote in your report, correct? 18 Yes. 19 Α News related to the Tesla Model 3 car is unrelated to the 20 alleged misrepresentations in this case. 21 22 Α True. And following what you identified as the favorable news, 23 the stock opened up that day. Right? 24 Stock opened up, yeah, by .4 percent. 25 Α

```
1
     Q
          Okay.
                And the unfavorable news came out at 12:20 p.m.
     Right?
 2
          (Document taken off display)
 3
              THE COURT: Lost me.
 4
 5
              MR. ROSSMAN: Can we pop up the screen if we can, Ken?
     It's in that footnote.
 6
              THE WITNESS: There's nothing on my screen.
 7
              THE COURT: We're going to get it back, I assume.
 8
 9
          (Document displayed to the Witness)
     BY MR. ROSSMAN
10
11
          There it is, okay. You see Footnote 201?
              MR. PORRITT: Your Honor, can the witness also be
12
13
     shown 202, which is the same testimony -- it's in the same
     text.
14
15
              MR. ROSSMAN:
                           That's fine.
16
              MR. PORRITT: He's not being shown the proper part of
17
     his report and they haven't given him the proper part of his
18
     report he's being questioned on. It is quite improper.
              THE COURT: Would you like to see Footnote 202 as
19
20
     well?
21
          (Document displayed to the Witness)
              THE WITNESS: Yes. As I said, it would be great to
22
23
     see the context, given --
              THE COURT: Do you want to scroll back to 120?
24
25
              THE WITNESS: Yeah. Why don't we --
```

Why don't you scroll back to 120. 1 THE COURT: (Document displayed to the Witness) 2 BY MR. ROSSMAN 3 Okay. I don't -- I don't see what that has to -- okay. 4 5 Well, I can't -- if I can't see it, I can't -- maybe it 6 has nothing to do with it. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to go down? THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 THE COURT: Tell them if you want to go up or down. 9 THE WITNESS: Down is fine. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. Go down to 120 -- 122? (Off-the-Record discussion between counsel) 12 13 MR. ROSSMAN: This is not -- I can't give him this (Indicating). 14 15 (Document displayed to the Witness) 16 MR. PORRITT: Can we see the footnote to that text? 17 Again --18 THE COURT: Scroll down to the 203 footnote so we can see that. 19 20 BY MR. ROSSMAN In the binder that you have, Professor, I believe 21 it's Tab 2. 22 (Document displayed to the Witness) 23 And if you could confirm that for me, that would --24 Q 25 Α Yes.

That would be great. 1 Q 2 Α Okay. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Now, if you have it, you can turn --3 THE WITNESS: Okay. This is Paragraph 121? 4 5 THE COURT: That's correct. BY MR. ROSSMAN 6 So my question that's pending is just whether that's what 7 you said in your report. 8 Whether what I wrote in 121 is what I wrote in my report? 9 Well, withdrawn. Let me -- what you were looking for, I'd 10 11 asked you about the unfavorable news. Wasn't the unfavorable news released at 12:20 p.m., and I believe that's what's 12 indicated in Footnote 12 -- I'm sorry, Footnote 201. 13 What you cite there, Footnote 201, is the unfavorable news 14 15 you mentioned. True? 16 It appears, if -- I don't know if that's a -- you know, a 17 discussion of news that was indicated earlier. Let me look at my table. 18 I'm just mindful of the time. There may be hard 19 questions; this wasn't intended to be one of them. 20 (Witness examines documents) 21 I -- I don't have my table in front of me. 22 23 MR. ROSSMAN: Your Honor --

THE WITNESS: Finding --

MR. ROSSMAN: Your Honor, I'm going to ask for a

24

- 1 little bit of a time rebate if this continues.
- 2 THE COURT: Well, why don't you sharpen up your
- 3 | question, and maybe you won't have to do that. Reask the
- 4 question.
- 5 BY MR. ROSSMAN
- 6 Q It's a short simple question, which is: The unfavorable
- 7 | news that you referenced in your report was what's at Footnote
- 8 201. What was released at 12:20. That's it.
- 9 **A** It appears that that is -- that's what I cite to, but I'll
- 10 make it clear. I don't -- oftentimes in financial news there's
- 11 news that comes out, and then it is covered. And this was the
- 12 | Wall Street Journal online; I'm not sure if they were picking
- 13 | something else out. But that is after the fact, if that -- it
- 14 is the actual first disclosure of that information.
- 15 **Q** And when the news -- when the unfavorable news about the
- 16 | Model 3 production came out at 12:20 p.m. that day, okay, at
- 17 | that time, the stock price went down. Correct?
- 18 **A** Um, I can't --
- 19 Q And if you need to, Professor, you can look at your
- 20 appendix which has the minute-by-minute stock price, and it's
- 21 in your report.
- 22 A Right.
- 23 Q Going back to the appendix, it's Page 245.
- 24 **A** Oh, okay, thank you.
- 25 Q And you can see the price at 12:20, and you can confirm

for me whether I'm right. 1 (Document displayed to the Witness) 2 And it's also on your screen. Trying to appreciate the 3 Q clock here, and we're trying to help you out, so it's on the 4 5 screen. So at 12:20 -- so this was announced at 12:20. 6 is the -- the -- oh, okay. The interval start is 12:20; the 7 stock price went down. The abnormal return was 8 negative-.14 percent. 9 Okay. Very good. Now --10 11 It's not statistically significant at or below the 5 percent level. 12 MR. ROSSMAN: We can take that down. 13 (Document taken off display) 14 BY MR. ROSSMAN 15 16 Now Professor, you agree that whatever stock -- withdrawn. 17 You agree that the stock movement as a result of information coming to the market about the Tesla Model 3 had 18 19 nothing at all to do with allegations in this case about 20 tweets. Right? 21 Α Yes. But yet, you attribute the entire decline in the stock 22 23 price that day to the tweets in this case.

Well, can I --

Right?

24

25

Α

Q

- 1 A Can I see that --
- 2 **Q** It's a simple question.
- 3 **A** -- those numbers again? I didn't -- Yes.
- 4 Q I want you to follow my question.
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay, thank you. Now, you use the phrase "confounding
- 7 information"?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Confounding information is other information unrelated to
- 10 the allegations of fraud in this case, okay, that could affect
- 11 | the stock price. Right?
- 12 **A** Yes. That's generally the definition that economists use
- 13 when evaluating price movements.
- 14 Q We just saw one with the Model 3. Right? That's
- 15 | potentially confounding information. True?
- 16 | A It's potentially confounding information, but I didn't --
- 17 | there are two things. One --
- 18 | Q That's my only question, Professor.
- 19 **A** One, I did not usually --
- 20 MR. ROSSMAN: Your Honor?
- 21 **THE COURT:** He can elaborate, shortly.
- 22 THE WITNESS: And in the analysis of confounding
- 23 | information, I looked at intervals. For example, the interval,
- 24 | if you would put it back up on the screen --
- 25 MR. ROSSMAN: Your Honor, I think he can do --

1 THE WITNESS: It was not --THE COURT: Hold on. 2 THE WITNESS: The fall was not large enough to be 3 outside of the bounds of what would be expected by chance. 4 5 therefore, it was not statistically significant. And as an economist, I then didn't use it. 6 7 I also -- I can't see the prices. It might have come right back up the next minute. 8 BY MR. ROSSMAN 9 So Professor, if something is not statistically 10 11 significant, then you as an economist determine that that item of news didn't cause the stock decline? 12 13 Is that your testimony to the jury? You're confounding my testimony. That's a one -- that's 14 15 one piece of information, not linked, as you've stated, it's 16 unrelated to the fraud. And that, you would look for 17 statistical significance, to see if it's important. So when there's information that comes out unrelated to 18 the fraud, you apply your test of statistical significance. 19 that what you're saying? 20 What I'm saying is, though the -- for the economic 21 22 analysis, you do -- you would want to do a full-blown analysis to look at, as I mentioned, quantitative and qualitative 23 information. But when I looked at confounding information, I 24 25 looked to see whether the movement was large enough that it

would be outside the bounds of what would be expected by chance. That's .14 percent. One percent of Tesla stock was about \$300. That's approximately a 30-cent change in price.

To the extent that the jury would expect that to be important confounding negative information, then I would recommend that you reduce the inflation by 30 cents.

- Q Okay. Now, we talked, there's lots of other potentially confounding information that you list in your reports, besides the Model 3. I don't have to go through the whole list. I think you identify issues about tax credits, solar roof tile deals, car batteries being at fault. Probes by the government involving the Model 3 having nothing to do with the tweets. You've got a whole laundry list of potentially confounding issues, correct?
- A Correct. Are you reading from my table?
- **Q** That's all I'm asking.
- 17 A Yes. I had approximately -- I think it was 14, 12 or 14

 18 specific topics that had been disclosed over the ten days that

 19 were -- would be -- that I consider to be confounding after I

 20 went through the 2,400 or more than 2,400 articles.
- Q Okay. Now, I want to ask you about a different subject because we're tight on time, okay? Love to spend more time on that, but I've got to move on, okay?
 - Okay, consequential damages. Do you recall that subject?
- **A** Yes.

And you claim that as a result of Mr. Musk's tweets, Tesla 1 Q experienced what you describe as consequential harms. And you 2 give some examples of that. Legal, regulatory, corporate 3 governance issues. 4 Do you recall that subject? 5 Α Yes. 6 And for example, one piece of consequential harm is 7 that you said that Tesla board of directors was angered that 8 Mr. Musk tweeted something out about the company without 9 running it by Tesla first. 10 11 Do you remember that? Um, not specifically, but that would be a signal of the 12 13 lack of internal controls. You know, again, from a public 14 perception. So take that issue that you just described. You can't 15 16 tell the jury whether consequential harm as a result of sending out tweets without internal controls would have happened, 17 whether the tweet was true or false. Right? 18 As I said, I didn't disaggregate the truthful parts 19 from the -- from the false parts. 20 Thank you, Professor. 21 22 In particular, you had a bunch of slides; I'm not going to 23 take the time -- don't have the time to go through them all

with you in detail. But you attributed consequential harm on

August 8, the day after the tweets, and August 9th, the day

24

after that. Right?

1

5

14

15

16

17

A Well, again, consequential harm for all intents and
purposes is a residual. I'm able to precisely, you know, with
a reasonable degree of certainty, measure the direct harm, and

the consequential harm is the residual.

- Q When you say "the residual," it's just subtracting the difference between how much the stock fell during the period that you measured versus the amount that you can actually claim was -- was directly due to the tweets.
- 10 **A** Well, how much harm in total associated with that day,
 11 less the direct amount. So, yes.
- Q Okay. You call it harm; I call it the stock dropping.

 But we don't have to quibble.
 - Now, am I right, sir, that the jury decides that the full details about Mr. Musk's tweets were known to the market by August 13th, by the time that he published that blog post that we looked at, then there would be zero consequential damages.
- 18 A I don't -- don't agree with that. It could -- could carry
 19 beyond the 13th.
- Q Well, you might not agree with that, but didn't you testify to that in your deposition? Yes or no?
- 22 **A** I believe the question was -- was different in the deposition.
- Q Great. Let's take a look at it. Could we go to your
 deposition, starting at Line -- sorry, on Page 231, starting at

And we can put it on the screen. 1 Line 25. (Document displayed to the Witness) 2 MR. PORRITT: Are you refreshing? Or impeaching? 3 MR. ROSSMAN: I'm going to impeach him. 4 5 THE COURT: Before you put it on the screen, you need 6 to give counsel a chance --7 MR. ROSSMAN: Sorry, Your Honor. 231, Line 25, through 232, Line 24. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Give us a chance to look at it 9 before you put it on the screen. 10 MR. ROSSMAN: Hold that screen. 11 (Witness examines document) 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. MR. PORRITT: For completeness, can we go to 233, Line 14 15 17, Your Honor? (Inaudible) 16 (Reporter clarification) MR. PORRITT: This is a lengthy discussion, 17 Your Honor. He's cherrypicking. I don't think it's fair. 18 For 19 completeness, I'd go through to 235:20. 20 MR. ROSSMAN: Your Honor, I would ask that the Court have Mr. Porritt try that on redirect. I think the clip is 21 22 correct. 23 THE COURT: I'm going to play the clip, as requested. As requested by defense. If you want to bring out something 24 25 more, you can do that on redirect.

Go ahead and play the clip. 1 2 MR. ROSSMAN: Do we have the clip? For expediency, Your Honor, I'll just read it, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: 4 Okay. 5 BY MR. ROSSMAN You said in your deposition, Professor Hartzmark, in 6 7 response to my questions as follows (As read): "QUESTION: Okay. So if you imagine the 8 finder of fact concludes that by August 13th, 9 okay, all the corrective material information 10 11 has been disclosed on the market, okay, then the class period should end on August 13th. 12 13 Okay? Uh-huh. 14 "ANSWER: 15 "QUESTION: The direct -- your direct 16 inflation stops at that number 14.64. 17 "ANSWER: Correct. "QUESTION: Okay. And so you would -- for 18 the direct inflation -- how would you 19 20 allocate direct inflation across those five trading days in the hypothetical I just gave 21 22 you? 23 Well, given that it -- there couldn't be any consequential damages, I 24 25 would just take the but-for price of 356, you

know, the previous price, and use that as the 1 but-for price and then you would get, let's 2 see, on August, you said August 13th --3 "QUESTION: Yes, sir. 4 5 "ANSWER: August 13th, it would basically be zero. Yeah. 6 7 "QUESTION: Okay. So in that scenario, there would be zero consequential damages? 8 "ANSWER: Correct." 9 That was the truthful testimony you gave to me in your 10 11 deposition, sir. Isn't that right? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, but --13 THE COURT: Thank you. You can explain on redirect. 14 15 BY MR. ROSSMAN 16 Now, I just want to ask you briefly about August 8th, we 17 were talking about confounding information, one of the pieces of information that you mentioned -- I'm sorry. 18 Strike that. 19 My handwriting is worse in my own notes than on the board. 20 We were talking about consequences damages. Okay? 21 the items of consequential damages you mentioned was the SEC 22 investigating the tweets. Do you recall that? 23 Α Yes. And if we could, let's look at your Slide 48, briefly. 24 25 Okay? Was your opinion that on August 8th --

- 1 (Document displayed)
- 2 **Q** It's on the screen.
- 3 **A** Now it is, yeah.
- 4 Q Just so we remember, Professor, August 8th is the day
- 5 after the tweets.
- 6 It was your opinion, and you testified about it on direct,
- 7 | that the SEC -- the news of the SEC probe caused the stock
- 8 price to go down.
- 9 Do you recall that?
- 10 **A** The probe took place at 10:24. The stock went down from
- 11 | 379 to 370 over the day.
- 12 **Q** Isn't it true, Professor, that at 10:24 -- and you can
- 13 look at your minute-by-minute if you need to -- that at 10:24,
- 14 | following the report of the SEC probe, the stock actually went
- 15 up?
- 16 **A** Assuming that's the first --
- 17 (Document displayed)
- 18 **A** Yes.
- 19 Q And isn't it true that the stock went up after that
- 20 | report, and it stayed up for a full -- for the full next four
- 21 hours, after that report about the SEC probe.
- 22 | A I can't -- possibly -- it seems -- I mean, you can draw
- 23 | across there and it's -- looks like it's maybe not four hours,
- 24 | but like an hour. And then it starts going down.
- 25 Q Thank you, Professor. I want to draw your attention to

August 17th. 1 You were asked by Mr. Porritt about the New York Times 2 article that came out late on the night of August 16th. 3 was based on an interview with Mr. Musk. Do you recall that? 4 5 Yes. Α This is in evidence as Exhibit 171. Okay? 6 Again, I don't know what the exhibit number is. 7 Α I'm not asking you to remember the exhibit number. 8 I'm calling out so we can get it on it the screen. 9 Okay, I'm sorry. 10 Α 11 That would be quite a memory test. Okay? This is the article you talked to Mr. Porritt about, right? 12 Wait a second. 13 Α (Witness examines document) 14 15 Yes, I believe so. I'm sorry, I caught the August 23rd

16 date on top. And I was confused. But yes. I assume that's

17 the date it was probably downloaded.

18 Okay. One thing you told the jury in your direct

19 examination about this article was that Mr. Musk had not told

the board about the tweet before he posted it.

- My recollection is that's in the text. Α
- 22 Okay. But that wasn't news.

23 MR. ROSSMAN: If we could go to Exhibit 27, in

evidence. Okay? 24

20

21

25 (Document displayed)

BY MR. ROSSMAN

- 2 Q On August 13th, there was a New York Times article
- 3 | reporting previously: Tesla board surprised by Elon Musk's
- 4 tweet. Right?

- 5 **A** Well, but, this is from two people familiar, I mean, which
- 6 is different from Mr. Musk making the statement.
- 7 Q Okay. So the market knew from two people familiar that
- 8 | the tweet took the board by surprise.
- 9 A I -- you know, I don't -- I can't -- first of all, I'm
- 10 here to read what the market -- how it reacted, as opposed to
- 11 | tell you how it should act. But I'm just saying potentially
- 12 | that's a different.
- Here you've got two people familiar with the chain of
- 14 events on this, where on the August 16th interview, that was
- 15 | from the horse's mouth.
- 16 Q Now, I don't need to quarrel with you about the horse's
- 17 | mouth. It was not a surprise to the market that the tweet had
- 18 | not been previewed to the board. True?
- 19 A Again, I -- I can't say. I just read from the article.
- 20 **Q** Okay. Now, another thing you say about the New York Times
- 21 | article was that it -- it contained the sentence "It turned out
- 22 | funding was far from secure."
- 23 MR. ROSSMAN: If we go we can go back to Exhibit 171.
- 24 And go to the second page.
- 25 (Document displayed)

BY MR. ROSSMAN

- 2 Q You pointed out that sentence:
- 3 "But that funding, it turned out, was far
- 4 from secure."
- 5 That's something you mentioned in your direct, true?
- 6 A Yes.

- 7 **Q** There's no quotation mark around that statement
- 8 (Indicating), right?
- 9 A Um, again, it's in the article. Mr. Musk has --
- 10 **Q** Is there a quotation mark?
- 11 **A** There's no quotation mark in this interview with Mr. Musk.
- 12 **Q** Okay. There are lots of quotation marks in that article.
- 13 There are lots of statements that are quoted and attributed
- 14 directly to Mr. Musk. Not that one. Right?
- 15 **A** Again, I -- I --
- 16 **Q** Yes or no, sir.
- 17 **A** It's not quoted.
- 18 **Q** Okay.
- 19 **A** Or, it's not in quotations.
- 20 **Q** It's not in quotations, nor is it attributed to Mr. Musk,
- 21 | right? That's just the reporter's characterization.
- 22 **A** You know, I don't know, it's right under -- yeah. It's
- 23 | right under the question.
- 24 | Q But you told the jury that this New York Times article was
- 25 | really sort of the final revelation of the truth related to the

- 1 fact that funding is not secured. That's what you said
- 2 | yesterday. Right?
- 3 A Well, I -- it's -- it's evidence that that's the case.
- 4 We've also got the evidence of the price movement, the implied
- 5 | volatility, and Mr. Brinkman's analyst report.
- 6 Q Okay. And Mr. Brinkman's analyst report's in evidence, by
- 7 | the way. You're aware, sir, it doesn't mention the New York
- 8 *Times* article, the actual report.
- 9 A I'm trying to remember. I believe his testimony --
- 10 Q No, that's not what I asked you about. Pay attention to
- 11 my question.
- 12 **A** Okay.
- 13 **Q** In the analyst report, the analyst report itself that you
- 14 referenced, okay -- we don't need to pull it up for time, but
- 15 | it's in evidence as Exhibit 23 -- it does not mention the New
- 16 | York Times article?
- 17 A I don't recall. I'll take --
- 18 **Q** You're aware that it does mention the blog post?
- 19 A Say -- I'm aware of what? I don't recall.
- 20 **Q** I asked you a different question, Professor.
- 21 Are you aware that the analyst report from Mr. Brinkman
- 22 does specifically mention the blog post?
- 23 THE COURT: The 13th blog post? Which blog post?
- MR. ROSSMAN: August 13th. Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. ROSSMAN

- 2 Q The August 13th blog post. Mr. Brinkman's analyst report
- 3 mentions --

- 4 A August 20th. Right?
- 5 **Q** Let me --
- 6 A I'm sorry.
- 7 Q Before -- before we drive the court reporter absolutely
- 8 | mad, let me just put the question down, and then I'll give you
- 9 a chance to answer.
- 10 A I'm sorry.
- 11 **Q** Mr. Brinkman's analyst report, okay, that you point to,
- 12 | specifically references the blog post. I just want to know
- whether or not you're aware of that, as you sit here today.
- 14 **A** I -- I have a vague recollection, yes.
- 15 | Q Very good. And on the 13th, you said as a result of the
- 16 | blog post, the market knew that "Funding secured" was premature
- 17 | at best. That was your phrase. Right?
- 18 **A** Yeah. I think we had that discussion yesterday.
- 19 Q Okay. Now, I want to actually look at the article for a
- 20 second. Okay? You remember what the question --
- 21 **A** Which, which article?
- 22 **Q** I'm sorry, I was doing this for the benefit of
- 23 Mr. Kotarski. Exhibit 171, the New York Times article on the
- 24 | 16th. Okay, and it will be on your screen momentarily.
- 25 (Document displayed)

- 1 Q Do you remember what the question was that Mr. Porritt
- 2 asked you yesterday when you were talking about the New York
- 3 | Times article?
- 4 A The specific question? I can't. I don't -- I don't want
- 5 | to -- there were lots of questions all day yesterday. What was
- 6 | the question?
- 7 Q Okay. Do you remember what the question was that you were
- 8 asked --
- 9 THE COURT: I think the witness says he doesn't --
- 10 MR. ROSSMAN: Fine. That was a precursor. Thank you,
- 11 Your Honor. I appreciate that.
- 12 BY MR. ROSSMAN
- 13 **Q** The question that's at 1691, Line 5 of the transcript:
- "And what did this article say?"
- Okay, very open-ended question. Right? Do you recall
- 16 that?
- 17 **A** Um, I recall speaking about the -- the article. What the
- 18 exact question was, I don't recall. But if that's what the
- 19 transcript says, that was the question.
- 20 **Q** And you gave testimony about the article. But in the
- 21 | course of your testimony, you didn't tell the jury a single
- 22 | fact about Mr. Musk's physical or emotional well-being.
- 23 Right?
- 24 | A I don't think that's correct. I think I followed up that
- 25 discussion with -- in fact, I believe -- I don't have the

transcript in front of me, but I believe that Mr. Porritt and I 1 have discussed that issue. 2 So the transcript will -- will tell us what actually 3 But it's your testimony, as you sit here today, that 4 happened. 5 yesterday, in fact, you did in your direct testimony give testimony about the article reporting on issues concerning 6 Mr. Musk's health and well-being? 7 My recollection is that I -- I thought I talked about it; 8 I thought I was asked a question on the -- on that issue. 9 In fact, isn't the title of the article revealing? 10 Okay. 11 "Elon Musk Details 'Excruciating' Personal Toll of Tesla Turmoil"? 12 13 That's the title, yes. And if you look at the article itself, it goes through in 14 15 detail, okay, what that personal toll has been. 16 Okay (As read): "In an hour-long interview with the New York 17 Times he choked up multiple times, noting 18 that he nearly missed his brother's wedding 19 this summer and spent his birthday holed up 20 in Tesla's offices as the company raced to 21 meet elusive production targets on a crucial 22 new model. 23 "Asked if the exhaustion was taking a toll on 24 25 his physical health, Mr. Musk answered 'It

```
HARTZMARK - CROSS / ROSSMAN
               has not been great, actually.
 1
                                              I've had
               friends come by who are really concerned.'"
 2
          That was in the article. You didn't mention that
 3
     yesterday, right?
 4
          The article I think is three or four pages.
 5
          Did you mention that yesterday?
 6
          No, I don't think I mentioned this particular sentence.
 7
    Again my recollection -- and maybe I did -- is associated, you
 8
    know, with the health. But I -- this is -- I don't think he
 9
     would have wanted me to read the whole article.
10
11
          And the article goes on, from there. It says board
     members expressed concern not only about Mr. Musk's workload --
12
     I'm sorry. Board members expressed concern about his workload.
13
                       In the interview he demonstrated
14
          It goes on.
15
     extraordinary level of self-reflection and vulnerability,
     acknowledging his myriad executive responsibilities are taking
16
17
     a steep personal toll.
18
          And if we go to the third page.
          (Reporter clarification)
19
              MR. ROSSMAN: Of course. I'm sorry, I didn't --
20
              THE COURT: Say "quote" if you're quoting. Use the
21
     word "quote" so the court reporter --
22
```

MR. ROSSMAN: Perfect, that's good. I couldn't hear

the reporter between the glass and the mask. Thank you.

23

24

```
BY MR. ROSSMAN
 1
          The article says, quote (As read):
 2
     Q
               "In the interview on Thursday, Mr. Musk
 3
               alternated between laughter and tears.
 4
 5
               "He said he'd been working up to 120 hours a
               week recently..."
 6
               "...Mr. Musk said he had not taken more than
 7
               a week off since 2001..."
 8
          And this one actually has quotation marks around it,
 9
     attributed to Mr. Musk:
10
               "'There were times when I didn't leave the
11
               factory for three or four days -- days when I
12
               didn't go outside, 'he said. 'This has
13
               really come at the expense of seeing my kids.
14
15
               And seeing friends.'"
16
          End quote. It goes on, quote:
17
               "Mr. Musk stopped talking, seemingly overcome
               by emotion.
18
               "He turned 47 on June 28, and he said he
19
20
               spent the full 24 hours of his birthday at
21
               work."
          And this is a quote attributed to Mr. Musk:
22
               "'All night -- no friends, nothing,' he said,
23
               struggling to get the words out."
24
25
          I'll pause there for a second.
```

All that was reported by the New York Times on the evening 1 2 of August 16th, to the market. Right? Correct. Α 3 Now, you agree that Mr. Musk is a key man at Tesla. 4 The key man at Tesla. Correct? 5 I'm not offering an opinion, but he is -- at this time he 6 7 was CEO and, I believe, chairman of Tesla. You're willing to concede that he's important to Tesla? 8 Again, I wasn't asked to offer an opinion on that, but, 9 you know, just -- I mean, yes. He's an important person at 10 11 Tesla. And this information -- if there's news about Mr. Musk's 12 13 health and well-being, that could affect Tesla's stock price. Right? 14 News about his health and well-being, to the extent it's 15 16 material unanticipated news, would likely by reflected in the 17 stock price. Okay. And if you look at the last quote, he writes 18 (As read): 19 "'I thought the worst of it was over - I 20 thought it was, ' he said. 'The worst is over 21 from a Tesla operational standpoint ' He 22 continued: 'But from a personal pain 23 standpoint, the worst is yet to come.'" 24 25 New York Times reported Mr. Musk as saying that on the

morning of August 16th. 1 True? Yes, assuming this is -- this is -- yes. This is what 2 that reads. 3 And let's -- and you, in your report, you list a number of 4 5 articles. You showed headlines of the articles. There were articles, dozens and dozens and dozens of articles reporting on 6 this New York Times article (Indicating). Right? 7 There were articles reporting on this, as well as the 8 issues associated with the going-private tweet. And indeed, I 9 think there were possibly even sources that suggested that, you 10 11 know, this interview was, in some sense, you know, a result of the tweets, themselves, in that whole period. 12 13 Okay. I understand that's your opinion that you want to 14 qive. 15 Let's take a look at what the articles were, that were 16 described in the New York Times article. Okay? 17 (Document displayed) 1:23 a.m. there's a Business Insider article. This comes 18 from your appendix to your report, okay? Business Insider 19 article titled: 20 "The Most Difficult and Painful Year of my 21 Tesla CEO Elon Musk Opens up About 22 Career: 23 Personal and Professional Struggles in a Revealing Interview." 24

Do you see that?

MR. PORRITT: Your Honor, is this being published to 1 the jury? 2 (Jury indicates in the affirmative) 3 MR. ROSSMAN: I don't believe it should. 4 5 **THE COURT:** It's being published? MR. PORRITT: Your Honor, that's the second time this 6 is happening. 7 MR. ROSSMAN: That is not our intention. I have this 8 for the benefit of the witness. It should be --9 THE COURT: All right, so we should not publish this 10 11 to the jury. MR. ROSSMAN: I'm offering this for the witness, okay? 12 BY MR. ROSSMAN 13 Your report cited this headline in Business Insider, 14 15 right? 16 Α Yes. 17 Financial Times published an article titled: 18 "Elon Musk Describes Excruciating Year at Tesla." 19 20 Right? 21 Yes. Α CNN had an article, "Elon Musk: This has been the most 22 painful year of my career, " right? 23 24 Α Yes. 25 Went international. London Evening Standard published an Q

article: 1 "Elon Musk interview: Tesla boss admits to 2 working 120 hours a week and struggling to 3 deal with 'excruciating' stress in tearful 4 5 tell-all." Right? 6 7 Yes. Α And it continues. I could read dozens of these articles, 8 okay? That's what the news was reporting on about the New York 9 Times article that day. The headline of the story was about 10 Mr. Musk's emotional health. Right? 11 The ones that you have shown us, yes. 12 13 And there are many more in your report; we don't have time to read them all. 14 15 Now, okay, having seen the content of the New York Times 16 article, the title of the New York Times article, the headlines of the articles reporting on the New York Times article, okay, 17 are you telling this jury that issues concerning the health and 18 19 well-being of Mr. Musk that were described in that article are 20 not material to investors? Well, again, I looked at the data. I looked at various 21 qualitative information. I don't know -- you know, there's 22 23 been no evidence that would demonstrate why you would see this bounce-back in implied volatility, based on the health of 24 Mr. Musk. 25

There were some, again, positive components in this as 1 2 well, in some sense. But there were two sides to this, and the -- you know, I quess it's -- it's for the jury to decide how to 3 weigh it. 4 You can't stand here and tell us that it was not material. 5 That there were concerns being raised in this tearful interview 6 with the New York Times about Mr. Musk's well-being and health. 7 MR. PORRITT: Objection, vaque. 8 THE COURT: Overruled. 9 THE WITNESS: First of all, I -- the --10 BY MR. ROSSMAN 11 Yes or no, Professor? Are you saying it's immaterial or 12 13 are you saying it's material? MR. PORRITT: Objection, Your Honor. Now that's 14 recharacterizing the question in a vague and ambiguous way. 15 THE COURT: Overruled. 16 You can answer the question whether you think that 17 information was material. I'll let you explain. But you 18 19 should answer yes or no. THE WITNESS: Given the qualitative information that 20 you just provided, that is -- again, that would suggest that 21 22 again, with all those news -- and again, you gave a set of 23 curated headlines that would, based on my analysis, suggest

that it's -- you know, because the news stories were picking it

up, that it is important, the article.

24

2

3

4

6

Martin Viecha.

Okay?

25

But what I would say is, well, those you read, and that's 1 the chronology that I put in my reports, give a headline. So I don't know what the second line was or I don't know if that was the headline and then there was, you know, discussion of the 5 other issues. But, yes. It would suggest it's important information to investors. 7 BY MR. ROSSMAN 8 Okay. And the headlines -- there are many more, okay? 9 The headlines that you cited in your report over and over and 10 11 over again, they're citing the health and well-being issues, the personal toll on Mr. Musk. They're not -- those headlines 12 that we read were not talking about "Funding secured." 13 Right, sir? 14 Those headlines were not. 15 16 Okay. Very good. Now, you remember you gave testimony 17 yesterday about what was the quintessential proof of what was material to investors. Do you recall that? 18 I don't know if it was a specific -- I said that, I 19 believe, a couple times. It probably related to a specific 20 21 example. Can you refresh my memory on this --22 I believe you were testifying about internal Tesla emails. 23 And in particular, one from a gentleman in Investor Relations, 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you said that a query from an investor -- in that case, a Mr. Koney from Jennison -- asking about something, the fact that the investor asked about it made it material. "Quintessential proof" was your phrase. It's not just -- just that, that I had -- had, you know, the one email, or whatever. The idea that there was this flurry of emails that came in asking questions suggested that the lack of information about what "Funding secured" meant was material, because it was important to investors. Q Okay. MR. ROSSMAN: Can we look at Exhibit 161, please. (Document displayed) BY MR. ROSSMAN And this is another internal Tesla email. This is from the gentleman in Investor Relations, Mr. Viecha. And he's reporting on what he's hearing from investors about the New York Times article. Right? (Off-the-Record discussion between counsel) THE WITNESS: I -- I don't recall if I've seen this. Can I -- Your Honor, can I read it? MR. ROSSMAN: 161 is in evidence, and we ask that it be published. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: It is being published. MR. ROSSMAN: It is being published. Thank you. THE COURT: He needs to see it before he comments on

```
it.
 1
              MR. ROSSMAN: I understand. I want to make sure the
 2
     jury is seeing it as the witness is seeing it.
 3
              THE COURT: Is it in the binder?
 4
 5
              MR. ROSSMAN: It should be in the binder.
              THE WITNESS: What -- if it's in the binder, then I
 6
     can go to -- what number is it?
 7
              THE COURT:
                         161.
 8
          (Witness examines document)
 9
              THE COURT: And is there a question pending?
10
11
              MR. ROSSMAN: I think the witness just indicated he
     wanted a second to see it before I ask about it.
12
13
              THE COURT: 161, is it, Counsel?
     BY MR. ROSSMAN
14
15
          Have you had a chance to look at the exhibit now?
16
     not very long.
          Okay. I didn't read it in great detail, but I will --
17
     assuming what the question is, I'll answer it.
18
              MR. ROSSMAN: Now, if we could have from the trial
19
     transcript, 1664, please?
20
     BY MR. ROSSMAN
21
          I just want to remind you of testimony that you gave on
22
23
     this, sir.
          (Document displayed)
24
25
          Okay. You were asked (As read):
     Q
```

```
"QUESTION: What impact did this have on your
 1
               views on the materiality of the August 7th
 2
               tweets?"
 3
          And this is in reference to a Tesla internal
 4
 5
     communication, in response to investor inquiries. And you said
     (As read):
 6
                         This would suggest, again -- again,
 7
               "ANSWER:
               this is the quintessential issue."
 8
          This is not -- I'm sorry. One second.
 9
          I have the wrong -- yes. 1664 -- no, I'm sorry. The
10
11
     cite's wrong.
          But you used that phrase, "quintessential." Right?
12
              MR. PORRITT: Objection. What's -- I don't know what
13
     the question is.
14
15
              MR. ROSSMAN:
                           That's okay.
16
    BY MR. ROSSMAN
17
          This is the testimony you gave yesterday.
              THE COURT: But, the context. I'm not sure what the
18
     context is now.
                     What is "this"?
19
20
    BY MR. ROSSMAN
21
          The question is: Did you give this testimony?
              MR. PORRITT: Objection --
22
23
              THE COURT: It's not complete. It's not clear what
     the "this" is. If you just ask him to repeat the testimony,
24
25
     that's not --
```

```
1
              MR. ROSSMAN: Can we go to 104 -- can we go to 104,
 2
     please --
              THE COURT:
                         Excuse me.
 3
              MR. ROSSMAN: I'm trying to --
 4
 5
              THE COURT: I understand. But let me talk, if you
     would.
 6
 7
          This is not complete. It's worthless.
                                                   So give it
     context.
 8
              MR. ROSSMAN: One second, Your Honor, because I don't
 9
     think -- the difficulty seems to be the --
10
11
          (Off-the-Record discussion between counsel)
              MR. ROSSMAN: Let me, if I may, I'll just take that
12
13
     down and ask you the question generically, Professor, so we
     don't have to fuss with the record, okay?
14
          (Document taken off display)
15
16
     BY MR. ROSSMAN
17
          You agree with the statement that quintessential proof,
     quintessential proof, okay, of something being material is
18
     investors asking questions about it.
19
          You agree with that statement. I believe that is the
20
     essence of what you testified about yesterday.
21
                       I don't know if I used that word at all.
22
               Proof?
     Α
          No.
23
          You don't think you used the phrase "quintessential
     proof"?
24
25
     Α
          Maybe I did.
```

1 Q Okay. Certainly, important support. 2 Α You were not saying yesterday --3 Q I --4 Α 5 I'm sorry. Professor, please. You were not saying yesterday that the fact that investors 6 were asking Tesla questions was proof that the information was 7 material? 8 I -- I don't know if I used that. It's certainly --9 again, as I've mentioned to the jury, I look at a bundle. 10 It's 11 certainly -- you know, that type of email is quintessential support for something being material. Whether that, in and of 12 itself, is proof, you would have to read it. 13 As I said, I didn't use those emails, alone, as support 14 15 for my position that the "Funding secured" is material. 16 MR. ROSSMAN: I now found the right reference. 17 look at 1665, starting at Line 24, please. Okay, through 1666, 18 line, Line 7. (Document displayed) 19 20 BY MR. ROSSMAN Okay, testimony that you gave yesterday (As read): 21 "QUESTION: And what does this back-and-forth 22 between Tesla Investor Relations and these 23 investors and analysts tell you as an 24 25 economist?

```
Well, as I mentioned, materiality
 1
               "ANSWER:
               from an economic perspective is -- does a mix
 2
               of information change that would motivate
 3
               buyers and sellers to take action. And this
 4
               is -- again, this is the quintessential proof
 5
               that this is -- that this is material
 6
               information because investors are interested
 7
               in it."
 8
          Okay?
 9
          Yeah.
10
     Α
11
          Was that the truthful testimony that you gave yesterday,
     sir?
12
          Yes, probably a little over -- as I just mentioned that is
13
     in support of a by -- just by itself, you know. I -- I
14
15
     wouldn't want to -- you know, if everything else is on the
16
     opposite side, I don't, necessarily. But yes, I stand by that.
17
          And if it's quintessential proof for Mr. Porritt, it's
     quintessential proof for me?
18
          I'm not sure what you mean by that question.
19
          Do you hold -- do you have a different standard if
20
     something is quintessential proof because investors relied on
21
     it?
22
23
          Would you not apply that same rule when it works against
     plaintiff's case?
24
25
          Again, as I said, it's -- it's strong support.
```

Well, let's take a look at 161, with that in mind. 1 Q Okay. 2 Okay? MR. ROSSMAN: Exhibit 161, please. 3 (Document displayed to the Witness) 4 5 BY MR. ROSSMAN Okay. And if you look, and this is -- if we look at the 6 second page of this email message from Mr. Viecha who's 7 responding to queries from large investors about the New York 8 Times article, he writes (As read) " 9 "Worst is yet to come: Elon's statement in 10 11 the New York Times that 'But from a personal pain standpoint the worst is yet to come' 12 spoked some people, as this statement was 13 understood in many different ways. Jennison" 14 15 -- Mr. Koney's firm -- "told me that they 16 weren't sure if they should just, 'run for 17 the hills' (divest)." Stop there for a second. "Divest" means sell all their 18 shares. Right? 19 20 Α Yes. This is quintessential proof that concerns about 21 Mr. Musk's health and well-being were material to investors, 22 23 and you didn't describe that to the jury. Right? Again, I thought I had -- had some discussion. But this 24 25 is -- yes, there's -- this is -- and I wouldn't deny that his

HARTZMARK - REDIRECT / PORRITT

- health is material. Whether it's material, unanticipated, I

 didn't -- didn't say.

 And your damages analysis takes no account of Mr. Musk's
- health and well-being, and the impact of the *New York Times*reporting on that on the night of August 16th. Correct?
- A To the extent that it's independent of the issues
 associated with the tweet, I did not -- what economists call
 parse it. I didn't separate it out.
- 9 MR. ROSSMAN: Thank you, sir. I have no further 10 questions.
- 11 **THE COURT:** All right. Thank you.
- 12 Redirect?

13

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 14 BY MR. PORRITT
- 15 **Q** Dr. Hartzmark.
- 16 **A** Good morning.
- 17 **Q** I'll go straight on to the point that you were just
- 18 discussing with Mr. Rossman regarding the mental health of Elon
- 19 Musk, and the market's knowledge of those issues in August --
- 20 on August 17th, 2018.
- 21 Had there been prior reporting about Mr. Musk's mental
- 22 | health in the media?
- 23 **A** Yes.
- 24 **Q** And that's before August 17th?
- 25 **A** Yes.

```
And, indeed --
 1
     Q
          Okay.
              MR. PORRITT: If I can call up Exhibit 426,
 2
     Your Honor, I would --
 3
              THE COURT: Okay.
 4
 5
              MR. PORRITT: Is this admitted?
          (Off-the-Record discussion between counsel)
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Is this previously admitted?
              MR. PORRITT: No, Your Honor. But we would -- at this
 8
     point we would move to admit it. It's the New York Times
 9
     article.
10
11
              THE COURT: Any objection?
              MR. ROSSMAN: No further objections, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: Admitted.
13
          (Trial Exhibit 426 received in evidence.)
14
15
          (Document displayed)
16
     BY MR. PORRITT
17
          Do you see that this is a New York Times article on --
18
     August 15th, in fact. Do you see that?
19
          Yes.
     Α
20
          And in fact, this is a New York Times article devoted
21
     absolutely to Elon Musk's mental health. Correct?
          (Witness examines document)
22
23
          It's -- it emphasizes, yes, his mental health.
          Okay. It even contains information from a psychologist
24
     regarding Mr. Musk's mental health in the context of the
25
```

- 1 | tweets. Isn't that correct?
- 2 A Yes. It's in response, I believe, to the tweet.
- 3 | Q All right. So this is from the New York Times, the same
- 4 | newspaper that then published what you have discussed with
- 5 Mr. Rossman, Exhibit 171. Correct?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 **Q** And it's the day prior. Correct?
- 8 A Yes. I mean, and that's why, when I answered
- 9 Mr. Rossman's question, I agreed that his health is material,
- 10 but for, as I discussed, for an efficient market. An efficient
- 11 | market is where a price rapidly reacts to material
- 12 unanticipated or new information.
- 13 (Document displayed)
- 14 A And this was already out. And I believe there's --
- 15 | there's been -- there was other, you know, before this,
- 16 discussion, or at least rumor and innuendo.
- 17 | Q And I think the news that Mr. Musk had been working hard,
- 18 | sleeping at the factory, under stress with the Model 3 rollout,
- 19 that was all known to the market before August 16th, 2018.
- 20 Isn't that true?
- 21 **A** That's my understanding, yes.
- 22 **Q** Okay. So you would expect that to be incorporated into
- 23 | the stock price long before August 7, 2018. Would that be --
- MR. ROSSMAN: Objection, leading.
- 25 **THE COURT:** Sustained.

BY MR. PORRITT

1

- 2 Q Okay. Well, how would that prior information about the --
- 3 | would that prior information before August 7th be incorporated
- 4 into the stock price?
- 5 **A** Yes. Again, it's -- it's material unanticipated or new
- 6 information that causes changes.
- 7 Q And then, if you look to Exhibit 161, what you've just
- 8 been discussing with Mr. Rossman, --
- 9 (Document displayed)
- 10 Q -- it contains Martin Viecha's feedback from a number of
- 11 | conversations with investors. Isn't that correct?
- 12 **A** Yes. He lists, up in the first sentence, a number of
- 13 | investors that he had I guess either in person, or had spoke to
- 14 on the phone.
- 15 Q And Mr. Rossman pointed you to certain aspects of the
- 16 | feedback that he'd received. In fact, Mr. Viecha has four,
- 17 | four points in Exhibit 161, plus some collective advice.
- 18 Isn't that what the document says?
- 19 **A** Um, yes. It -- well, even in the second sentence it talks
- 20 about some, but my -- if you could scroll it, I think there at
- 21 | least were four. Second page.
- 22 (Document displayed)
- 23 MR. PORRITT: Keep scrolling.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah, No. 4 -- yes, four, and then some
- 25 | subcategories.

BY MR. PORRITT

- 2 Q All right. And one of those is privatization. Do you see
- 3 that?

1

- 4 A Yes.
- 5 **Q** What is your understanding of what Mr. Viecha is referring
- 6 to there about the privatization?
- 7 A Well, this would be associated with the -- Mr. Musk's
- 8 | tweet, "Am considering taking Tesla private at \$420. Funding
- 9 secured."
- 10 **Q** Okay. And looking at -- looking at Point 2, talks about
- 11 | recent Twitter and media communication? Is that what the
- 12 heading says?
- 13 (Witness examines document)
- 14 **A** Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And what was the most recent Twitter and media
- 16 | communication concerning from Elon Musk on August 9th -- before
- 17 August 19, 2018?
- 18 A The -- say that, I didn't hear the --
- 19 Q What had been the most recent Twitter and media
- 20 communication from both Elon Musk and Tesla prior to August 19,
- 21 2018?
- 22 (Reporter clarification)
- 23 BY MR. PORRITT
- 24 **Q** 19th, 2018.
- 25 | A Well, that some investors were worried that the media

```
coverage could negatively impact demand for their products.
 1
     Which is the type of, again, consequential harm that I
 2
     discussed yesterday.
 3
          Were the most recent tweets by Mr. Musk concerning the
 4
 5
     going-private transaction?
 6
     Α
          Well, those -- that's -- that's my recollection.
          Right.
 7
     Q
          (Documents taken off display)
 8
          Now, if we could also look at Exhibit 33, talking about
 9
     information that was already known in the market.
10
11
          (Document displayed)
          Do you have that in front of you?
12
              MR. PORRITT: Does the jury have that?
13
          (Members of the Jury indicate in the affirmative)
14
15
              THE COURT:
                          Is that in evidence?
16
              MR. PORRITT: I believe it's in evidence.
17
              MS. TRIPODI: No.
              MR. PORRITT: Your Honor, we would move it at this
18
             It's an analyst report, August 8.
19
20
                            33?
                                No objection.
              MR. ROSSMAN:
21
              THE COURT: Admitted. You may publish.
          (Trial Exhibit 33 received in evidence.)
22
23
          (Document displayed)
     BY MR. PORRITT
24
```

25

And is this a report that you've seen before?

- A Yes, it's one of -- again, I said there was a flurry of analyst reports after the tweets. This is one of them.
- 3 Q And talks about in this context -- in this analyst report,
- 4 does Evercore mention that the concept of going private was not
- 5 a new -- not new for Elon Musk?
- 6 A My recollection is, yes, that there is -- there's a
- 7 | mention in terms of his wanting to take the company private,
- 8 | that that was -- was well known to the market.
- 9 **Q** All right.
- 10 MR. PORRITT: We can take that down.
- 11 (Document taken off display)
- 12 MR. PORRITT: And then if we can pull up Exhibit 8,
- 13 | please.
- 14 (Document displayed)
- 15 BY MR. PORRITT
- 16 **Q** We have had some questioning about this tweet, correct?
- 17 **A** Yes.
- 18 | Q Okay. Did you know whether Elon Musk testified regarding
- 19 his intention when he drafted this tweet in two sentences?
- 20 **A** Um, I --
- 21 MR. ROSSMAN: Objection to the characterization.
- 22 **THE COURT:** I'm sorry, what is the question?
- 23 BY MR. PORRITT
- 24 | Q Do you recall Mr. Musk testifying about how this -- how
- 25 | this tweet should be read in connection with the two sentences?

I -- I believe my recollection is they should be read in 1 Α combination, together. 2 Thank you. And how did that affect your analysis Right. 3 Q of this tweet? 4 5 MR. ROSSMAN: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. 6 7 THE WITNESS: It's as I stated yesterday, I looked at it as an interwoven bundle and that, you know, "Funding 8 secured" would color the previous sentence. 9 BY MR. PORRITT 10 11 And then I think you were asked yesterday about the statistical significance of the decline in Tesla's stock price 12 13 from August 7th to August 13th. Do you recall that questioning? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 And I think you wanted to explain your answer in response 17 to that questioning, but Mr. Rossman would not let you. how would you like to expand on your answer regarding the 18 19 statistical significance of the decline in Tesla's stock price from August 7th to August --20 MR. ROSSMAN: Objection, vague, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Overruled. 22 23 THE WITNESS: The timeline in the price over the

corrective period, from August 7 to August 17, to the extent

that it's linked to the material misrepresentation means,

24

25

itself, especially given, again, the qualitative analysis, that the statistics associated with it are really a secondary issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

And so the idea that there have to be individual days that are significant is not -- is certainly something I looked at, and is something you should look at. But you have to look at the whole picture, especially in a period when there is tremendous leakage.

I mean, this was a period -- I liken it to, like, dripping, drip, drip, drip. I mean, and had it taken -- had it all happened at one time, it would have been statically significant.

- Q And this slow decline, the "drip, drip" you've talked about, that was preceded by the statistically significant increase in Tesla's stock price following the tweets, isn't that correct?
- 16 Yes. And again, that's the critical issue. This is an 17 unusual case, if I can. Usually you'll see drip, drip, drip in 18 the front side, and those are material misrepresentations, but 19 they're not statistically significant. And then you see the 20 disclosure of one big -- the cumulation of all those drips just spills out, and that is material, and that is statistically 21 22 significant. And because it's linked to that incline, over 23 time, it means that the incline would be statistically significant. Or not stati- -- would be material. 24
 - Q And that's different from this case, you're saying?

- Well, this is almost a mirror image where we have the sort 1 Α of the water being put into a sink, and then it dripping out
- through a leaky pipe. 3
- Now, there was some testimony yesterday regarding your 4
- calculation of the total artificial inflation that you 5
- calculate in the -- in the Tesla stock price during the class 6
- 7 period, working off the but-for price of 312.90. Do you recall
- that? 8

2

- Yes. 9 Α
- And I think there was some testimony about how that 10
- 11 related to the adjusted price that you used that was derived
- from your event study. Do you recall that? 12
- Yes. 13 Α
- Okay. And you testified that it could lead -- using 14
- 15 adjusted prices could lead to greater inflation. And then I
- 16 think you also testified about maybe lower inflation.
- I was confused by that. So, hoping you could clarify. 17
- The 312.90 but-for price is the but-for price at the 18 Yes. Α
- end of the period, after all of the market effects. And it's 19
- actually -- it's a conservative price. 20
- I don't know if you can put up the -- the --21
- Would you like Slide 19 or Slide 11? 22
- 23 What's that? Α
- MR. PORRITT: Actually, Derek, can you put up Slide 19 24
- 25 and Slide 11, please.

THE WITNESS: Or the abnormal. 1 (Document displayed to Witness) 2 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And then if you could put up the 3 abnormal returns as well. 4 5 MR. PORRITT: That's Slide 19. (Document displayed) 6 THE WITNESS: You know, after, you know, after --7 looking at all of this, the but-for price is the but-for price 8 at the end of the period. And if you look at the slide on your 9 right and the adjusted price, the difference in any measure of 10 11 the adjusted price is truly the harm to the investors. And if you -- relative -- if they hold it over the whole 12 13 period, 379 less 312, 370 less 312. MR. PORRITT: One second. I don't think this is being 14 15 published to the jury. Can we publish to the jury, please? 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: It's in. It's being published. MR. PORRITT: All right. Thank you. 18 (Documents displayed) 19 BY MR. PORRITT 20 Sorry, Dr. Hartzmark. Perhaps you could just repeat that. 21 22 Sorry. The -- I mean, to me, I actually -- I think I made 23 a mistake here in my cut-and-paste. And that's what I admitted 24 25 to Mr. Rossman yesterday.

Q All right.

A That the stock price in the first column on your left is -- should be the adjusted price. And the but-for price is the end-of-the-period but-for price. And on any given day, the total artificial inflation would be greater than what we had here.

And it's conservative to do it at 312.90 and hold that constant, but the inflation would be far greater. And one way you can see that is that I have inflation in this particular graph, on August 16th, 2018, of 22.55. And if you go over to the right side and look at the very right side there, between the 16th and the 17th, you've got \$344, and the stock drops by \$30. And the abnormal return is actually greater than the return, which means that the inflation that came out or the harm to investors after accounting for outside influences was over \$30.

And so needless to say, if you look at the -- if you put in the adjusted price, less 312.90, you would get the inflation. If you -- if you were to substitute 340 for 335.45, you would have inflation at about \$30, which is the measure. But, to be conservative, I held this but-for price constant.

MR. ROSSMAN: Objection, Your Honor. Move to strike. That is outside of the scope of the opinion that the expert has given in this case. He's offering a new opinion on the stand, right now.

```
1
              MR. PORRITT: This isn't a new opinion.
                                                       He's
     explaining his existing opinion, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: Overruled.
 3
              MR. PORRITT: This was exactly covered by
 4
 5
     cross-examination.
              THE COURT: Overruled.
 6
    BY MR. PORRITT
 7
          So, just so I understand your testimony correctly, if you
 8
    used -- on the chart on the left, if you used actual --
 9
     adjusted prices on the left-hand column for all those stock
10
    prices, the -- first of all, the 66.67, that would stay the
11
     same, wouldn't it? That's the price?
12
          That would stay identical. That is the basis for the
13
     inflation.
14
15
          Right. And the zero, it's always zero on August 17th,
16
     isn't that correct?
17
          Yeah, that is correct, yes.
          All right. So 66.67 is the maximum amount of artificial
18
     inflation that was introduced to the stock price as a result of
19
     the tweets, in your opinion. Correct?
20
    Α
          Yes.
21
              MR. ROSSMAN: Objection, leading.
22
23
              THE COURT: Overruled. But, watch the leading
     questions, please.
24
25
              MR. PORRITT: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm trying to
```

get to the material quickly. 1 2 THE COURT: Yep. MR. PORRITT: So, request a bit of leeway on this 3 regard. 4 5 BY MR. PORRITT And if -- the numbers in between, what would happen to 6 those numbers, each of those numbers, if you used the adjusted 7 price in the left-hand column and deducted 312.90 from it? 8 Every day, you can seeing that if you take every day --9 8-8, the adjusted price is 370.60 and the stock price is 10 11 On 8-9, the adjusted price is 353.31 and the actual price is 352.45. Every day, the adjusted price is above the 12 13 stock price. And so if you look at the adjusted price relative to the 14 end-of-period but-for price, total artificial inflation would 15 16 be higher on every day. 17 And what impact would that have on the overall damages that defendants would pay, if found liable in this case? 18 It would have substantial impact. 19 Α And would it increase, or decrease? 20 Oh, I'm sorry. It would -- it would have substantial --21 it would substantially increase the damages. 22 23 Okay. So the numbers presented in your report, which are presented here today, and yesterday, are a conservative measure 24

of the damages as a result of the tweets.

25

- 1 Is that correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. And the 312.90, just to be clear, the 312.90, does
- 4 | that -- is that the price that takes into account the market
- 5 effect of the stock during the corrective interval, the period
- 6 | from August 7th to August 17th?
- 7 **A** Yes, it's the -- it's the final but-for price on
- 8 8-17-2018.
- 9 Q Right. So it -- if I understand correctly, does it
- 10 incorporate the market effects from -- the entire period, from
- 11 August 7th through to August 17th, 2018?
- 12 Is that correct?
- 13 **A** Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. And Mr. Rossman asked you about what happened if
- 15 | someone bought on August 7th and then sold, I think in his
- 16 example, on August 13th. Do you recall that testimony?
- 17 **A** Oh, yes.
- 18 Q Okay. And under his model, as I understand your
- 19 | testimony, that -- that investor, the initial investor, would
- 20 recover his damages. The difference between the inflation when
- 21 | he bought, 66.67, and the inflation on when he sold, which is
- 22 | 43.51. Is that correct?
- 23 **A** Yes.
- 24 **Q** Okay. But the person who bought from him on August 13th,
- 25 | that person is a class member, too. Correct?

- 1 A Yes. He's a purchaser, and --
- 2 **Q** Right.
- 3 **A** -- he overpays for it.
- 4 Q Correct. And if that investor held all the way to
- 5 August 17th, you estimate his damages to be \$43.51. Isn't that
- 6 | correct?
- 7 **A** Based on, yes, this exhibit.
- 8 Q All right. So his damages of 43.51 and the damages of
- 9 23.16, which you calculated -- Mr. Rossman calculated, what
- 10 does that add up to?
- 11 **A** Say that again? I'm sorry.
- 12 **Q** So the damages of that second purchaser at 43.51 and the
- 13 damages that Mr. Rossman calculated for the first purchaser of
- 14 \ \$23.16, both of those, both of those amounts have to be paid by
- 15 defendants in this case as damages, if found liable. Correct?
- 16 A Yes. There's -- no matter what happens, whether there's a
- 17 | purchase at the beginning, purchase in the second day, third
- 18 day, it's always 66.67 per any damaged share.
- 19 Q Correct. Now, we had some testimony regarding the
- 20 | existence of consequential damages following -- in connection
- 21 | with the August 13th blog post this morning. Do you recall
- 22 that?
- 23 **A** Yes.
- 24 Q Okay. Could you explain, in the event that the -- you
- 25 | know, in terms of the effect of the August 13th blog post on

- 1 | the information available to the market, what that means in
- 2 | terms of consequential -- the consequential harm that you
- 3 | identified in your report?
- 4 A Well, again, in this particular case, with respect to the
- 5 | blog post, it's -- it's -- without being confirmatory, it adds
- 6 uncertainty. And as I mentioned, uncertainty is sort of the
- 7 kryptonite of investors.
- 8 Q And you'd talked about -- you had some testimony regarding
- 9 confounding information coming out regarding -- on August 16th,
- 10 | some about Model 3 production?
- 11 Do you recall that testimony?
- 12 **A** Yeah, vaguely.
- 13 **Q** Well, that was this morning, right?
- 14 Do you recall that?
- 15 **A** Yeah.
- 16 Q Do you examine in detail these reports, this confounding
- 17 | information that came out on August 16th regarding Model 3
- 18 production?
- 19 A Yes. And as I mentioned, I also did statistical tests.
- 20 **Q** Okay. And was that all described in the report that you
- 21 | submitted in this case?
- 22 **A** Yes. In fact, I think it was -- we were citing to the
- 23 report.
- 24 | Q All right. Mr. Rossman didn't show you those pages in
- 25 | your report, did he?

- 1 **A** Not -- just the paragraph in the footnotes.
- 2 Q Right. And, in fact, the footnote referred to another
- 3 seven paragraphs in your report discussing that exact -- just
- 4 that one disclosure. Isn't that correct?
- 5 **A** Um --
- 6 Q I'll represent that the --
- 7 A Okay. I can't recall.
- 8 Q Okay. And in connection with the -- those confounding
- 9 information, you did both statistical quantitative analysis and
- 10 | qualitative analysis. Isn't that correct?
- 11 **A** With respect to the -- which --
- 12 **Q** Confounding information.
- 13 **A** Well, yes, I would -- started with the -- well, it sort of
- 14 | went back and forth. You would start by looking and going
- 15 | through the information, then doing the statistical analysis,
- 16 | and then trying to examine other information, you know.
- So for example, even if you found something that is
- 18 | statistically significant, you would then look to see, you
- 19 know: Okay, did it come out with something else? Like, you
- 20 | know, is it one line in a three-page article? Or -- and is it
- 21 | picked up, say, by analysts afterwards, or other news
- 22 organizations?
- Yeah. You would look at all of that information. As I
- 24 | told you, you look at it as a basket.
- 25 **Q** And what was your conclusion after doing all that analysis

regarding those August 16th news stories about the Model 3 1 production that Mr. Rossman referred you to? 2 Well, the Model 3 production issues, it was -- it was not 3 Α material, based on the basket of factors that I examined. 4 5 Q Okay. MR. PORRITT: Thank you, Mister -- Dr. Hartzmark. 6 Nothing further at this stage. 7 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 8 9 Recross? MR. ROSSMAN: Just a couple, Your Honor. 10 11 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** BY MR. ROSSMAN 12 You referred to Exhibit 426, this is the New York Times --13 if we could put it up? 14 15 This was not an interview, Exhibit 426. This was a New 16 York Times article. 17 MR. ROSSMAN: Can we have 426, please? THE COURT: Is this in evidence? 18 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: It is. 19 20 MR. ROSSMAN: It was moved in by plaintiffs. (Document displayed) 21 BY MR. ROSSMAN 22 This New York Times article was not an interview of 23 It was other people speculating about Mr. Musk. 24 25 Right?

- 1 A Yes. That's sort of, I guess, the public speculation and 2 rumor.
- Q Right. It's not what you call "the horse's mouth," not information from the horse's mouth. Right?
- 5 **A** That's correct.
- 6 Q And you've shown us no evidence that there was any
- 7 investor reaction to this article. Right?
- A This article happened on the -- it was issued, I believe, on the 15th. And if my recollection is correct, the stock was
- 10 relatively flat on the 16th.
- 11 Q On the 16th. Thank you.
- 12 And is your rule that if something is already known, then
 13 reporting on what's already known is not material?
- 14 A It depends. The issue is in an efficient market, material
- unanticipated information is rapidly reflected in the price.
- 16 So you can have material information that is not -- that is
- 17 | already known, so it's not -- it's not unanticipated --
- double-negative -- and that would -- you wouldn't expect that
- 19 to show up.
- It really depends, again, if it's a mirror image or not
- 21 of, you know, what was out there, and incorporated in the
- 22 price.
- 23 MR. ROSSMAN: Can we have Exhibit 1008?
- 24 And I'd ask that this be moved into evidence.
- 25 **THE COURT:** Any objection?

```
MR. PORRITT: No objection, Your Honor.
 1
                         Okay, admitted.
 2
              THE COURT:
          (Trial Exhibit 1008 received in evidence.)
 3
          (Document displayed)
 4
 5
     BY MR. ROSSMAN
          Whatever information is in this New York Times article is
 6
     known to the market by the date August 15, 2008 [sic], is that
 7
     right?
 8
          Say that again?
 9
          Information in this New York Times article on August 15th,
10
     2008, you would agree with me, is already known to the market
11
     by that date?
12
13
          By the date of August 15th?
          Yes, sir.
14
15
          Oh, it's -- it's -- yeah. If it is, for example -- I
16
     don't know what time it was issued, but assuming -- let's
17
     assume it was issued before the market opened on August 15th --
     you would expect it to get incorporated into the price.
18
          Okay. Could we look at Exhibit 161, please.
19
          (Document displayed)
20
          And Exhibit -- oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, Exhibit 161.
21
                                                              And
22
     turn to the second page.
23
          You were asked by Mr. Porritt about the subject
     privatization? Do you see that?
24
25
          (Document displayed)
```

- 1 A No. 4, there? Yes.
- 2 **Q** No. 4. Okay.
- Investors aren't asking -- after the New York Times
- 4 | article, they're not asking about "Funding secured," right?
- 5 **A** It's not mentioned in this, this paragraph, but it's
- 6 | talking about the going-private.
- 7 **Q** Thank you. Thank you.
- 8 And if I understood your testimony from questions on
- 9 redirect, so, you fix to -- you admitted that there's a mistake
- 10 | in all your numbers. And your fix --
- 11 MS. TRIPODI: Objection, Your Honor. That misstates
- 12 the testimony.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Hold on. Yeah, that is an overstatement.
- 14 MR. ROSSMAN: He said a mistake in his testimony, just
- 15 now.
- 16 THE COURT: Yeah, but you said all his numbers.
- 17 | You're talking about a particular column.
- 18 BY MR. ROSSMAN
- 19 Q Okay. With respect to your numbers for artificial
- 20 inflation, okay, I've --
- 21 MR. PORRITT: Objection. That's not his testimony,
- 22 either.
- MR. ROSSMAN: He said there was --
- 24 **THE COURT:** Let him ask the question.
- 25 MR. ROSSMAN: All right.

BY MR. ROSSMAN

Q I believe, okay, you told me that your numbers for artificial inflation needed to be adjusted, yesterday. And today you said you made a mistake in your report. I think you said "made a mistake in my cut-and-paste."

MR. PORRITT: Objection. Misstates testimony.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean --

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: The -- what I said is that you would want to look at the -- the adjusted price -- at the adjusted price on any day, less the end-of-period but-for price. But that to be conservative, I used the end-of-period but-for price to estimate it, which, in essence, understates inflation every day.

BY MR. ROSSMAN

Q Okay. And in response to questions about a potential investor buying on the 7th and selling on the 13th, you recall Mr. Porritt asked you about that, you say: Well, a mistake with respect to that investor's damages would be made up with respect to a mistake involving another investor's damages who bought on the 13th.

Do you recall giving that testimony a couple of minutes ago?

MR. PORRITT: Objection. That misstates his testimony.

1 THE WITNESS: No, no --THE COURT: Hold on. Sustained. 2 That wasn't the way it was --3 BY MR. ROSSMAN 4 5 Do you recall giving testimony in response to that question? That if there were a mistake regarding an investor's 6 damages who bought on the 7th and sold on the 13th, there would 7 also be a mistake for an investor who bought on the 13th and 8 sold later, but that the total would add up to \$66.67? 9 MR. PORRITT: Objection. Again, that totally -- that 10 11 misstates his testimony. THE COURT: 12 Sustained. BY MR. ROSSMAN 13 Okay. You recall giving --14 MR. ROSSMAN: My question was not what the testimony 15 16 And maybe I can try to resolve the objection, Your Honor. 17 Okay? THE COURT: Good. 18 BY MR. ROSSMAN 19 You recall giving testimony about that example, an 20 investor who bought on the 7th, sold on the 13th, and another 21 investor who bought on the 13th and sold later. 22 23 Do you recall giving testimony about those questions? The idea that each share that's traded within the 24 25 period would have the full \$66.67.

```
To each individual investor, it wouldn't matter
 1
     Q
          Okay.
     that you got the total right; it would matter that they got the
 2
     numbers right for each individual investor.
 3
          I don't understand the question.
 4
          Well, for each individual investor, you were -- is it --
 5
 6
     the numbers that you currently have on your chart, okay, for
     artificial inflation, aren't correct with respect to an
 7
     investor who bought on the 7th, sold on the 13th. Isn't that
 8
     true?
 9
              MR. PORRITT: Objection, Your Honor. I don't think
10
11
     that's his testimony.
              THE COURT: Overruled. Overruled.
12
13
          You can answer.
                           Yeah, I mean, the numbers are --
14
              THE WITNESS:
              MR. ROSSMAN:
                           (Inaudible)
15
16
              THE WITNESS: -- a conservative measure of inflation.
17
     It's my understanding that the -- I don't know. Your Honor,
     can I say what the jury will be determining?
18
              THE COURT: No. I think you should just answer this
19
     question.
20
                           Yeah.
                                   The numbers are the -- the
21
              THE WITNESS:
```

numbers, if you put -- what I said is if you put in that column the -- the numbers for the, um, adjusted stock price, the inflation at every level goes up. And it does change.

22

23

24

25

And that's something I think the jury should understand.

BY MR. ROSSMAN

1

- 2 Q Okay. An investor in that example who bought on the 13th,
- 3 using your numbers -- bought on the 13th and sold later, using
- 4 | your numbers, those numbers, that inflation could also be wrong
- 5 for that investor.
- 6 **A** I -- I don't understand the question.
- 7 | Q Using your numbers for artificial inflation, if an
- 8 investor bought on the 13th, would that also -- could that also
- 9 be wrong for that investor?
- 10 **A** It would be different. I don't want to say it's wrong.
- 11 Q Okay. So is it your opinion, Professor, that it's okay to
- 12 get the numbers wrong for those two investors, as long as the
- 13 | totals add up?
- 14 MR. PORRITT: Objection, Your Honor.
- 15 BY MR. ROSSMAN
- 16 **Q** Is that your opinion?
- 17 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Again, I've shown that you could do it
- 19 | and maximize it, or you could do a conservative but-for price.
- 20 BY MR. ROSSMAN
- 21 | Q As long as there are damages, right?
- Is that your answer?
- 23 MR. PORRITT: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: I, again, don't understand the question.
- 25 | THE COURT: Sustained, on that question.

```
MR. ROSSMAN: No further questions.
 1
              THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
 2
          Anything further?
 3
              MR. PORRITT: Nothing further, Your Honor.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
          Dr. Hartzmark, you are excused. You may step down.
 6
 7
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
          (Witness excused)
 8
              THE COURT: All right. This is a break -- is there
 9
     any further witnesses from plaintiffs?
10
11
              MR. PORRITT: At this point, plaintiff rests,
     Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: All right. The plaintiff rests, and this
13
     is a good point to take our 20-minute break. And we'll return
14
15
     in 20 minutes.
16
          Thank you.
17
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise for the jury.
          (Jury excused)
18
          (The following proceedings were held outside of the
19
     presence of the Jury)
20
21
              THE COURT: All right. So that works out.
          Make your motion.
22
              MS. THOMPSON: Ellyde Thompson for defendants.
23
          Defendants move for judgment as a matter of law as to all
24
     claims and all defendants.
25
```

Your Honor, we are filing a brief with all the bases for our grounds for judgment as a matter of law. I would like to point the Court particularly to Pages 3 through 7 of our brief related to the Rule 20(a) control person liability.

Here, we think that the Court should, at this juncture before defendants begin their case, dismiss the director defendants because the plaintiff has not carried their burden of showing control person liability.

As to four directors, plaintiffs did not even call those directors. The jury does not even know who their names are.

As to the remaining directors, all directors are outside directors.

For outside directors to have control person liability under Ninth Circuit law, there must be day-to-day management of the company, and there must be specific involvement with the tweets at issue here.

There are neither -- there's proof of neither here. No director has testified as to any day-to-day management. And no director has testified as to any involvement with the issuance of the two tweets at issue that serve as the basis for the Rule 10b-5 claim.

The Ninth Circuit's law is clear on this question, as I'm sure, actually, the Court noticed in looking at the good faith instruction.

Here, the correct time to determine this is now. Either

the Court needs to do it now, the Court needs to do it later, or the Ninth Circuit will do it, because there is no sufficient evidence for control person liability.

The Court should do it now, because there are four directors who need to testify as to the affirmative defense, but would not need to testify if the Court grants Rule 50(a), judgment as a matter of law, as to control person liability.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Quick response?

MR. PORRITT: I think the evidence shows that certainly the witnesses -- the directors called who are members of the audit committee were responsible for controls over the -- of the public disclosures by Tesla, which is the relevant primary violator here.

And so I think that establishes -- certainly for the members of the audit committee because they were tasked with primary responsibility for controlling the public disclosures on behalf of Tesla and making sure that Tesla complied with the securities laws.

And we disagree, and we will address the briefing in due course, that you need specific involvement with the specific tweets in question. I don't believe that's -- or the specific public statements, representations in question. That really would turn Section 20(a) liability on its head and suggest that, you know, your -- ignorance would then become a defense,

which would not be proper.

As for the other directors, they are overall tasked with control of Tesla. They are the board directors, and they are responsible for putting in place adequate controls.

And the evidence is clear that the entire board approved of Mr. Musk's Twitter feed being an official channel of corporate communications, something that we have expert testimony on, as an absolute egregious violation of corporate governance.

So I think collectively, at a minimum, speaking in immediate reaction to such a motion, we could point to that evidence as sufficient to have found 20(a) liability.

THE COURT: Is it your view that there is not a different standard for outside directors?

MR. PORRITT: I don't think there's a different -- I think it's the facts and circumstances of every director, or every control person. It doesn't necessarily have to be a director. Although, in a corporate setting, directors are the obvious control persons, in addition to the executives.

So, I think there's one standard for who is a control person. I think it's a factual circumstantial test, that is fact-specific. I think we have, certainly, sufficient evidence here for the jury -- to support a jury determination that the directors here were control persons of Tesla. And then they would have a burden of proving their good faith defense.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So you take issue with the proposition THE COURT: that outside directors can only be held liable if they were involved in day-to-day management, and specifically involved in the conduct in question? MR. PORRITT: I do -- I do -- we would argue with I don't believe that's a proper statement of the law. that. THE COURT: Okay. I'll give you a chance to respond. MS. THOMPSON: Yes, Your Honor. That is the law in the Ninth Circuit. And we have cited on Page 4 of our brief, which has been filed, is that service on the board as a whole or service on a particular committee is not sufficient. I believe Mr. Porritt actually even said that it's an individual determination, board member by board member. Here, they did not call four of the board members, so I think that takes care of them, and it will streamline the case for the jury. Those witnesses do not need to be called. But it -- Mr. Porritt is absolutely incorrect that being an outside director is sufficient. The Ninth Circuit law is very clear on that. There must be day-to-day management of the company, and there must be involvement with the specific tweets. Even if one of those is not met -- even if one of those

were met, which is not the case here, there's no -- there's no

evidence that there was specific involvement with the tweets.

So even if there were evidence they were day-to-day management,

```
there is no evidence that the board members knew about the tweets, knew that they were coming. In fact, the law is to the contrary.
```

And just to be clear, as to the four directors who have not been called, it's not just that plaintiff didn't call them. There's no evidence about their role in this, whatsoever. And so the Court certainly should direct a verdict, grant judgment as a matter of law to those four directors.

But I would just point the Court also to the cases cited in our brief on Pages 3 to 7, but mainly Page 4, that if you're an outside director, it's simply -- that is simply not enough. Simply serving in your role as an outside director is not enough.

As to the audit member specifically, actually, the -Ms. Denholm's testimony made clear that the audit committee
reviews management's responsibility for carrying out the
policies. So there's no role, day to day, even for the members
of the audit committee.

Judgment as a matter of law on the Section 20(a) claim should be granted.

THE COURT: All right. Your motion will be noted for the record, and will be deemed submitted, taken under submission. I'm not going to rule on it right now.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, just so the Court knows the MR. SPIRO: schedule, because I think it's fair to the Court, given where we are --THE COURT: Yeah. So we are going to call Mr. Teller, and we MR. SPIRO: have a deposition clip. We are doing that so that if the Court does rule on the motion, there's at least a break; we're not going to call the directors right now. I'm in a bit of a tricky position, as the Court can probably understand, because I don't want to call witnesses who are defendants in a federal securities fraud trial who there has not been one mention of their even name in the record. we don't think that the case can proceed against them, so I don't want to call them and introduce them into the case in which there is no record of them. And if the Court does find at least to those four, then we would not be calling them after that break. THE COURT: Let me be clear. I'm not going to grant the motion right now, at this point. So you can make your decision whether you want to call them. MR. SPIRO: I understand. That's what I was trying to clarify. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. SPIRO: Okay. THE COURT: So you can call them.

```
We're still going to go in that order
 1
              MR. SPIRO:
    because I -- I intentionally did that order so that if the
 2
     Court needed a minute, the Court would have the brief recess.
 3
     So I will confer with my colleagues and -- but that is how we
 4
 5
     will proceed.
          The next witness will be Mr. Teller.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: All right.
              MR. PORRITT: Is Mr. Teller live?
 8
              MR. SPIRO: Yes.
 9
              MR. PORRITT: Okay, I was just checking. I was just
10
11
     confused by the deposition cites, sorry.
              THE COURT: So Mr. Teller will be live, and then there
12
13
     may be some -- up to you, whether you call board members or
     not. Some by video, or at least one?
14
              MR. SPIRO: There's one that has the health issue
15
16
     that --
17
              THE COURT:
                         Yeah.
                         -- as I indicated, would be by video, on
              MR. SPIRO:
18
19
     consent.
20
              MR. PORRITT:
                            (Nods head)
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. And other witnesses, have you
21
22
     decided whether to call your expert?
              MR. SPIRO: Yes, we have -- we will meet and confer on
23
     these topics, and we will be ready in -- now we have about ten
24
25
     minutes. We will be ready to proceed in front of the jury,
```

```
Your Honor.
 1
              THE COURT: All right. So it sounds like you may be
 2
     taking through the day, then, if you --
 3
              MR. SPIRO:
                          No, I don't --
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          No?
 6
              MR. SPIRO: I wouldn't say that. I think the Court
 7
     will have an opportunity to instruct the jury from 1:15 to
     2:00.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: So I should work on the jury instructions,
     is what you're telling me.
10
11
              MR. SPIRO: Yes.
                                If Your Honor has dispensed for the
     moment with the 50(a), then not to tell the Court how to use
12
13
     its time, but yes, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT:
                          I got you.
              MR. SPIRO:
15
                          Thank you.
                         I got you. All right. Thank you.
16
              THE COURT:
17
              MR. PORRITT: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Court is in recess.
          (Recess taken from 10:25 a.m. to 10:47 a.m.)
19
          (Jury enters the courtroom at 10:47 a.m.)
20
21
              THE COURT: Have a seat, everyone.
22
          Welcome back, members of the jury.
23
          The plaintiffs have concluded their case in chief, and now
     it's the phase where we shift to the Defendants' case.
24
25
     Defense may call their first witness.
```

The Defendants call Sam Teller. 1 MR. LIFRAK: If you could administer the oath. 2 THE COURT: SAM TELLER, 3 called as a witness for the Defendants, having been duly sworn, 4 5 testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. 6 7 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat. Please speak clearly into the microphone. State and spell 8 your first and last name for the record. 9 THE WITNESS: Sure. Does this work? Yeah. 10 11 My name is Sam Teller. S-A-M, T-E-L-L-E-R. 12 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Teller. 13 You may proceed. MR. LIFRAK: Your Honor, to move things along, we 14 15 would ask for admission of Exhibits 109 and 110-A, to which 16 there were no objections. 17 THE COURT: All right. Without objection, those will be admitted. 18 (Trial Exhibits 109 and 110-A received in evidence.) 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LIFRAK 21 22 Good morning, Mr. Teller. Q. 23 Good morning. Α. You used to work for SpaceX and Tesla; is that correct? 24 25 Α. That's correct.

- 1 | Q. During what time period?
- 2 A. From 2014 to 2019.
- 3 | Q. And what was your title at those companies?
- 4 A. My job title officially was director of the office of the
- 5 | CEO and informally my title was chief of staff.
- 6 Q. Chief of staff to whom?
- 7 A. To Elon Musk.
- 8 Q. And how, at a very high level, would you describe your
- 9 job?
- 10 A. You know, the job varied a lot from day to day, but I'd
- 11 | say in a lot of ways it was like air traffic control. There
- 12 | was a lot of problem solving.
- Often like a chief of staff role traditionally, you know,
- 14 | will be a gatekeeper to the CEO. That was not as of the case
- 15 here. I wasn't throttling communication to Elon. He was the
- 16 | type of -- or he is the type of CEO who likes to absorb
- 17 | information directly, whether it's on Twitter or from the
- 18 public, from Tesla customers, from employees at SpaceX and
- 19 Tesla.
- 20 So I'd say my job was much more kind of facilitating and
- 21 | problem solving internally, not as much gatekeeping.
- 22 | Q. And how many hours a week typically would you be working?
- 23 A. It was -- it was pretty full on. So most of my waking
- 24 | hours were working in some form.
- 25 **Q.** And maybe you've already answered this question, but why

1 | did you leave?

- 2 A. After five years, I was pretty tired and, you know, it was
- 3 time to do something else.
- 4 Q. So we're going to pretty much talk about one topic in
- 5 particular with your testimony this morning, and that's the
- 6 meetings that you and Mr. Musk had with the PIF over the course
- 7 of 2017 and into 2018. Okay?
- 8 **A.** Okay.
- 9 | Q. So going into 2018 had Mr. Musk discussed with you the
- 10 possibility of Tesla going private?
- 11 **A.** Yeah, absolutely. It was something that came up from time
- 12 to time. Pretty much as long as I've known him, the whole time
- 13 | that I worked for him, it was just a subject that would come up
- 14 periodically.
- 15 He would often say that he wished that Tesla could be
- 16 | private. We had the direct comparison of what it was like to
- 17 | work at SpaceX and to run SpaceX as a private company, and so I
- 18 | would say the subject had come up a number of times over the
- 19 years.
- 20 Q. So let's move to the PIF, and I'd like you to take a look
- 21 at Exhibit 105, which is in evidence.
- 22 | MR. LIFRAK: And could we publish that to the jury,
- 23 please.
- 24 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 25 (Document displayed.)

BY MR. LIFRAK

- 2 Q. Now, if you look at the first email in time, which is on
- 3 | the bottom of the first page. And you can look at the screen
- 4 | and see this. It's the bottom of the first page that goes onto
- 5 the second page. Do you see that email from Saad Al-Jarboa at
- 6 the PIF?

- 7 **A.** I do.
- 8 Q. And it was sent to an email address called the EM Desk
- 9 email account, and you had access to that; is that right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And if you see on the second page of the email from
- 12 Mr. Al-Jarboa, it says (as read):
- 13 "My name is Saad Al-Jarboa and I work for His
- 14 Excellency Mr. Yasir Al-Rumayyan at the Public Investment
- 15 Fund."
- 16 Do you see that?
- 17 **A.** I do.
- 18 **Q.** And it says (as read):
- 19 "His Excellency has asked me to reach out to you in
- order to inquire about your availability to meet with him
- 21 next week. His Excellency would be willing to meet with
- 22 you in either London or New York."
- Do you see that?
- 24 **A.** I do.
- 25 **Q.** What did you do with his email?

- 1 A. I believe I forwarded this email to Elon and his
- 2 assistant.
- 3 | Q. And then in the middle of the first page do you see where
- 4 Mr. Musk writes essentially a response to that email
- 5 internally?
- 6 A. I do see that.
- 7 Q. And Mr. Musk states (as read):
- 8 "I appreciate the offer, but my obligations prevent me
- 9 from traveling to those locations on those dates. Let's
- just schedule something when he is next in California.
- 11 This is not an urgent matter."
- 12 Do you see that?
- 13 **A.** I do.
- 14 Q. And that is an email that Mr. Musk sent to you and to Emma
- 15 | Gallagher?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And who is Emma Gallagher?
- 18 A. She was Elon's assistant at the time.
- 19 Q. And then do you see above that where Ms. Gallagher writes
- 20 to you (as read):
- "Okay for me to convey?"
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. And then could you read your response to that?
- 24 **A.** I wrote (as read):
- 25 | "Don't say 'not urgent.' Just say not possible

unfortunately. Hope to soon." 1

- And could you explain why you told her "Don't say not 2 Q. urgent"? 3
- I think, you know, from time to time Elon would 4 Yeah. 5 communicate with us or just in general in a -- like a very direct way. You know, he has a very unique style of 6 communication.

I think maybe if you watched Saturday Night Live you might 8 have even heard him say, you know, like, that he has 9 Asperger's. And so sometimes, like, the way that he 10 11 communicated, I would read it and determine that maybe it could use a little translation or softening in order for the language 12 to have the intended effect. 13

- And so the meeting that's referenced here, or the 14 15 potential meeting, in November 2016, did that happen to your 16 recollection?
- 17 I believe the meeting happened in January of 2017.
- Okay. So let's talk about that. January 2017, do you 18
- recall a meeting between the PIF and including you and 19
- 20 Mr. Musk?

- Yeah. 21 Α.
- 22 And January -- do you recall the approximate date in
- 23 January 2017?
- I don't remember what day in January. 24
- 25 Where was that meeting? Q.

- 1 A. The meeting was at the offices of OpenAI, which is like an artificial intelligence startup that Elon had co-founded.
 - Q. In what city?

3

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 4 A. In San Francisco.
- 5 | Q. And who attended that initial meeting in January 2017?
- A. From our side it was Elon and me and there may have been one other person on our team. I don't remember. And then from the PIF side there was Yasir and then two of his colleagues,
- Q. And going into that meeting what was your understanding of the purpose of meeting with these representatives of the PIF?

Saad and Turgi. I forget their last names.

A. The meeting had been teed up by a mutual contact who had suggested the meeting to Elon in the context of a conversation about Tesla going private. The person that introduced us said that the Saudi fund was, like, maybe one of the only investors in the world that would have enough money and potentially the desire to fund a take-private transaction.

And so this -- the purpose of the meeting was, like, an initial get to know you, but the only reason that Elon was taking a meeting with a prospective investor like this was because it was, you know, up against the backdrop of potentially partnering on a take-private transaction.

- Q. And just at a high level, what do you recall Mr. Musk expressing at this meeting in January 2017?
- 25 A. He gave a high level intro about the companies. He said

```
that he would love to take Tesla private; that, you know, there
 1
     were a lot of headaches involved in being a public company and
 2
     that, you know, running SpaceX was a lot more straightforward.
 3
     You know, it was -- it was pretty high level along those lines.
 4
          And what, if anything, do you recall Yasir saying at this
 5
     Q.
     initial meeting?
 6
          Yasir said something to the effect of, "You know, we're
 7
     really interested in partnering with you. We are really
 8
     interested in potentially investing or funding a take-private
 9
     transaction. We -- you know, there's a -- there's a" -- I
10
11
     think he said something like -- I don't remember the exact
     language, but it was like, "There's a strategic -- it's of
12
     strategic importance for us to diversify from oil or something
13
     as a country." It was -- it was all very high level, but he
14
     was basically expressing an interest in partnering with Tesla
15
16
     as an -- either through an investment or a take-private
17
     transaction.
          During this initial meeting, do you recall any kind of a
18
     discussion regarding potential size of an investment in
19
     whatever form?
20
          I -- you know, it's almost five years ago and so I'm not
21
     certain, but there was -- I have a faint recollection of, like,
22
     a discussion of -- there was no specific number that I
23
     remember, but something like tens of billions or -- there was
24
```

something like that discussed kind of conveying the order of

1 | magnitude, and the PIF response was basically like, "That's

- 2 fine."
- 3 | Q. Were you aware -- and moving on from that meeting. Were
- 4 | you aware of a March 2017 dinner meeting that involved Mr. Musk
- 5 and the PIF?
- 6 **A.** Yep.
- 7 **Q.** And did you attend that meeting?
- 8 A. I did not.
- 9 Q. Do you recall after that, in May and July of 2017, two
- 10 | meetings that Mr. Musk had with the PIF?
- 11 **A.** Yeah. There were two meetings at SpaceX that took place
- 12 at Elon's desk. They -- to be honest, they kind of blend
- 13 together in my mind, but there were two meetings over that time
- 14 period between Yasir and Elon.
- 15 Q. And do you recall any discussion in those meetings in the
- 16 | summer of 2017 regarding a potential go-private transaction?
- 17 **A.** Yeah. At a high level Elon expressed his ongoing
- 18 | interest. And I think Yasir said something like -- again, not
- 19 | a direct quote, but, like, "You know, we're still really
- 20 | interested. We would like to partner with you."
- 21 And Elon, as I, like, recall, kind of responded to that
- 22 and said, "Well, you know, I'd be interested, but, you know,
- 23 | why -- if you want to partner with us or make an investment or
- 24 do a take-private, why don't you go out and purchase shares on
- 25 | the open market, which you can do because Tesla is a public

1 company?"

- 2 Q. So at this point you've testified, I believe, about four
- 3 | meetings: The January 2017, the two in the summer of 2017, and
- 4 | the dinner meeting that you didn't attend; is that correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Were there any other meetings that you recall with the PIF
- 7 | in 2017 leading up to the July 31st, 2018 meeting, which we'll
- 8 talk about in a minute?
- 9 A. I don't remember any other meetings.
- 10 Q. Do you have an understanding at a high level as to why
- 11 | there weren't further meetings at that point?
- 12 A. I mean, I can speak to what was going on with us, which
- 13 was, you know, an extremely intense production ramp of the
- 14 | Model 3 sedan, you know, at Tesla.
- We were -- you know, especially going into spring and
- 16 | summer of 2018 we were -- part of -- some of it has been
- 17 reported, lots has not been reported, but, you know, we were
- 18 | sleeping in the factory. We were fixing production issues at
- 19 all hours of the night. We spun up a new -- you know,
- 20 | literally like a new car production line in a tent in the
- 21 parking lot at Tesla. Things were extremely, extremely intense
- 22 | and we were -- yeah, we were really busy trying to make sure
- 23 Tesla survived.
- 24 | Q. All right. Let's turn to the July 31st, 2018 meeting.
- 25 Where did that take place?

- 1 | A. That was at the Tesla factory in Fremont, California.
- 2 Q. And how did you become aware that the representatives of
- 3 the PIF had arrived at the factory?
- 4 A. I believe one of Elon's assistants texted me that she
- 5 | was -- she had, like, met Yasir in the lobby and was walking
- 6 out to the factory.
- 7 Q. All right. And could you take a look at Exhibit 110-A,
- 8 | which is in your binder that's already in evidence?
- 9 MR. LIFRAK: And could we publish that to the jury,
- 10 please.
- 11 (Document displayed.)
- 12 BY MR. LIFRAK:
- 13 | Q. If you look on the second page towards the bottom of
- 14 | 110-A, do you see the message at -- it's dated August 1st at
- 15 1:15 and then 1:16?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And that's from Reyna Ortiz?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 **Q.** And who is that?
- 20 A. One of Elon's assistants.
- 21 Q. And do you understand what times those are in Pacific
- 22 | time?
- 23 **A.** Umm, those were at 6:00 -- 6:15, 6:16 p.m. Pacific time.
- 24 Q. On the day before, on July 31st; is that your
- 25 understanding?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And do you see Ms. Ortiz says -- in her two texts she says
- 3 | "Walking," and then the next one says "With Yasir"?
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 **Q.** And what did that indicate to you?
- 6 A. It was, like I said before, she was -- she had picked him
- 7 | up in the lobby, which was maybe a five, six-minute walk
- 8 | from -- from the conference room where we were meeting in the
- 9 | middle of the factory, and so she was with Yasir.
- 10 MR. LIFRAK: Your Honor, at this time we'd ask that
- 11 | we'd be able to publish a timeline demonstrative that we've
- 12 exchanged with the other side and there are no objections to.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 14 (Document displayed.)
- 15 **BY MR. LIFRAK**
- 16 Q. Okay. So the first entry in the timeline is what we just
- 17 | talked about at 6:15 and 6:16. At that point are -- where are
- 18 you?
- 19 A. I was out in the factory near the conference room, an area
- 20 | where our desks were where the meeting would take place.
- 21 **Q.** And then what did you respond at 6:16 p.m. to Ms. Ortiz?
- 22 **A.** I said (as read):
- "I can say hi and chat a couple."
- 24 Q. Okay. And let's put that on the timeline.
- So based on your text, can you give us a sense of what

time the meeting started? 1

- Looking at this timeline, I think it was -- it would be 2 sometime between 6:16 and 6:21. 3
- And who was there at the beginning of this meeting with 4 the PIF? 5
- From our side it was Elon and me. And I believe at the 6 beginning of the meeting it was Yasir and maybe one of his 7 colleagues, and then one or two ended up joining late from 8 their side. So they ended up with a total of three people.
- And what, to the best of your recollection, did Mr. Musk 10 11 talk about initially?
- Yeah. To start off the meeting, Elon pretty much just 12 gave an update about what was happening at Tesla, some of the 13 stuff I described a couple minutes ago, just how intense the 14 scaling up of the Model 3 production had been. 15
- 16 And what do you recall Yasir saying initially?
- The first thing that I remember Yasir saying was something 17 Α. like -- you know, I think Elon said, "Well, that's what's going 18 on with us. How are you, " or something. 19
- And Yasir said, "Well, you know, an update from our side 20 is we've acquired almost 5 percent of Tesla. We've bought 21 shares on the open market." 22
- 23 And when you heard that, what did you do?
- I was really surprised, and I texted Deepak Ahuja, who was 24 the Tesla CFO, and Martin Viecha, who was the head of Investor 25

```
1 Relations for Tesla.
```

- Q. And what did you text them at that point?
- 3 A. I said (as read):
- 4 "Yasir from Saudi PIF here says they own almost
- 5 percent of Tesla ."
- 6 Q. And what time were those texts?
- 7 **A.** 6:23 p.m.

- 8 Q. And if we could put that on the timeline.
- 9 Was that -- to the best of your recollection, those texts
- 10 were sent immediately after Yasir talked about the 5 percent?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. What was Mr. Musk's reaction to that revelation; that the
- 13 PIF had purchased 4.9 percent of the company?
- 14 **A.** Elon was really surprised. I remember he, like, kind of
- 15 | sat up straight in his chair, and I would say the tone of the
- 16 | meeting changed slightly. It became, like, more positive and
- 17 | engaged. And, you know, he said something to the effect of,
- 18 | like, "Wow. That's amazing. I had no idea. That's really
- 19 great to hear."
- 20 **Q.** So after this period that we've talked about at 6:23,
- 21 | what -- what happened next in the meeting?
- 22 A. As I said, Yasir said that about five -- almost 5 percent.
- 23 | Elon replied. And then Yasir said something, and it was kind
- 24 | of like -- he wasn't, like, making fun of Elon, but I would say
- 25 | it was almost like a gentle ribbing.

He -- he was like, "And, you know, Elon, we're still really interested in doing a take-private with you, but, you know, we kind of didn't hear anything from you for a while." Kind of like, what's up with that? And what was the response? Q. Elon said, "Well, after we had dinner" -- you know, this is not a direct quote. The gist of it was, like, you know:

is not a direct quote. The gist of it was, like, you know:

After we had dinner with Masa and Larry last year at Tesla, I
got a pretty negative impression of Masa. And my understanding
was that you, the Saudi PIF, and Masa and SoftBank were kind of
joined at the hip; that you were partners. And, you know, as a
result, it kind of felt to me like it probably wasn't going to
make sense for us, you know, to move forward.

Q. Okay. And what did Yasir say to that?

A. Yasir was like -- he's like, "We are partnered with Masa in certain ways. We are, like -- we are friends and we work together, but we are a separate fund." He said, "You know, we make our own investments. Masa makes his own investments."

And then the thing that I remember him saying verbatim was, he said, "You know, at the PIF we make our own investments." And then he said, quote, "I am the decision-maker." And I remember that very clearly.

Q. And so once you hear Yasir say that he's the decision-maker, what did you do?

A. At that point I texted Deepak and Martin again, and I

1 said --

- Q. What did you say?
- 3 A. I said, "Deepak, you may want to join in Jupiter now."
- 4 Q. And so that's right after -- after Yasir says, "I'm the
- 5 decision-maker"?
- 6 A. Yeah. I don't remember exactly, but it was -- I would
- 7 | have texted that, like, pretty much as soon as that happened.
- 8 Q. And so once Yasir makes that declaration, what was Elon's
- 9 reaction?
- 10 **A.** It was kind of, again, he, like, sat up a little
- 11 straighter, leaned in. Like, you could feel that the tone in
- 12 the room became a lot more serious. It -- like, the meeting
- 13 | had gone from a kind of casual catch-up to, like, a -- you
- 14 know, a real -- a more serious discussion.
- 15 And Elon said, "Well, if -- you know, in that case" --
- 16 | again not a direct quote, but the gist of it was: In that
- 17 | case, well, I would still be interested if you're not connected
- 18 | to Masa, and, you know, we should talk about it.
- 19 Q. So after that point in the meeting, was there any
- 20 discussion about the amount of money that might be needed for a
- 21 go-private transaction?
- 22 | A. There was -- I don't remember a specific dollar amount,
- 23 | but there was a discussion, like, along the lines of, like,
- 24 | "It's going to be a lot of money." Elon said something like
- 25 | that. He was, like, "Well, you know, it's going to take" -- I

- 1 don't remember if he said tens of billions exactly or something
- 2 | like that, but it was, like, you know, "It's going to take a
- 3 lot of money."
- 4 Q. And what was Yasir's reaction to that?
- 5 A. Yasir was like, "Don't worry about it. Like, we've got a
- 6 lot of money."
- 7 Q. During this meeting, any discussion of price at all?
- 8 A. I am not sure. It's possible that it was -- that it was
- 9 discussed maybe, like, a 20 percent premium to the current
- 10 Tesla share price, but I'm not 100 percent certain if that
- 11 | happened in that meeting or a different meeting.
- 12 Q. Was there any discussion of a potential structure of a
- 13 | go-private transaction?
- 14 A. I don't remember much about a specific structure other
- 15 | than Elon said that he would want existing shareholders in a
- 16 | public Tesla to have the ability to remain shareholders in a
- 17 private Tesla.
- 18 Q. So if we go back to our timeline, you had texted Mr. Ahuja
- 19 at 6:26. At some point did he arrive at the conference room?
- 20 **A.** He did.
- 21 Q. And if you could turn back to Exhibit 110-A, which are
- 22 your text messages. Do you see the text from Mr. Ahuja at 6:34
- 23 | saying "Outside now"?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 **Q.** And what did you take that to mean?

- 1 **A.** That he was standing outside the conference room.
- 2 Q. And then he came into the conference room; right?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. And did the discussions continue once he came into the
- 5 | conference room?
- 6 A. Yeah. Yeah, the conversation continued for a bit.
- 7 | Q. And just -- the discussions that you had that you just
- 8 | talked about about price, about potential structure in some
- 9 | way, are you sure whether those happened before he came into
- 10 | the room or after?
- 11 MR. APTON: Objection. Misstates the testimony.
- 12 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 13 A. I don't remember when those happened, if it was before or
- 14 | after Deepak came in.
- 15 **BY MR. LIFRAK**
- 16 Q. Okay. So could you describe to the jury what happened at
- 17 | the conclusion of the meeting?
- 18 | A. Sure. At the end of the meeting, we kind of all got up
- 19 and gathered by the door. We were saying goodbye and Elon and
- 20 Yasir, you know, shook hands and it was -- you know, the gist
- 21 of what they were saying was, like, "I'm really excited to do
- 22 | this. I'm really excited to do this." The tone was very
- 23 positive and excited.
- 24 And they shook hands, and then Yasir said something to the
- 25 | effect of, "If I don't hear from you in a week, I'm going to

- 1 | call you, or I'm going to reach out."
- 2 Q. All right. Do you recall them saying anything when they
- 3 were shaking hands, or is that the discussion that you just
- 4 referenced?
- 5 **A.** Umm, they -- I don't remember, like, a specific quote, but
- 6 | it was, like -- you know, it was, like, "I'm really excited to
- 7 do this." Like, the gist of it was, you know, a lot of, "You
- 8 know, we're really excited to move forward." Like, "This
- 9 | sounds great. We'll have our teams -- like, we'll have our
- 10 | teams follow-up on details." That kind of thing.
- 11 | Q. And if you could refer back to your text messages at
- 12 Exhibit 110-A. Do you see the text at 7:05 saying (as read):
- "Omead, where you at? He's going to come."
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 **A.** I do.
- 16 **Q.** And what -- and that was at 7:05?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And what did -- what does that indicate to you?
- 19 **A.** The meeting had ended by that point and Elon, around that
- 20 | time, was going to head back out into the factory to continue
- 21 | working on production issues.
- 22 Q. So after that meeting on July 31st, what was your
- 23 impression of the situation in terms of the PIF potentially
- 24 | funding a go-private transaction?
- 25 A. My understanding was that we were going to proceed with a

```
TELLER - CROSS / APTON
     take-private transaction. Yasir from the PIF had very clearly
 1
     communicated that he was the decision-maker. He said that he
 2
     had the ability, that he had the desire, that he had the intent
 3
     to fund a take-private transaction of Tesla.
 4
 5
          And so my understanding leaving that meeting was that the
     Saudis would be funding a take-private transaction with Tesla
 6
     and that at some point, you know, our teams -- finance teams,
 7
     legal teams -- would, you know, iron out the details.
 8
          But at a high level, you know, it was my sense that they
 9
     had made a handshake deal to proceed.
10
11
     Q.
          Thank you, Mr. Teller.
              MR. LIFRAK: Nothing further at this time.
12
13
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
14
          Cross.
15
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION.
16
     BY MR. APTON
17
          Good morning, Mr. Teller. How are you doing? Or good
     Q.
18
     afternoon? Good morning? Good morning still.
19
          Good morning.
     Α.
20
          How are you?
     Q.
          I'm doing well. How are you?
21
     Α.
22
     Q.
          Okay.
```

MR. LIFRAK: If I could just pull up Exhibit 80, which

23

24

25

is already in evidence.

(Document displayed.)

BY MR. LIFRAK:

- 2 Q. These are the PIF minutes or the Saudi PIF's meeting
- 3 | minutes from the July 31st meeting.
- 4 **A.** Sure.

- 5 Q. And on the left-hand side, you see the attendees.
- 6 Mr. Al-Jarboa, that was the same gentleman who was on your
- 7 | email, Exhibit 105, talking about the meetings in 2017;
- 8 | correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And Mr. Mogren, he was the new guy that was taking notes
- 11 at the meeting; correct?
- 12 A. I don't remember if it was Saad or Naif who was taking
- 13 notes at the meeting.
- 14 **Q.** Okay.
- 15 A. One of them was taking notes on a -- with pen and paper on
- 16 a notepad.
- 17 Q. Understood. Thank you.
- 18 **A.** Yeah.
- 19 Q. Yeah. You didn't take notes at the meeting; correct?
- 20 **A.** I did not take notes at the meeting.
- 21 Q. And actually at a certain point in the meeting I believe
- 22 | you said you stopped paying attention? You were checking
- 23 email? Does that sound familiar?
- 25 one, there were a lot of things going on, and so I might have

- 1 checked my email or my text messages. And, as I mentioned
- 2 | earlier, I was texting with Deepak and Martin at different
- 3 points in the meeting.
- 4 Q. And would you describe yourself as pretty ADD?
- 5 A. I would. I do have ADHD, but I was also Elon's chief of
- 6 staff for five years and was able to do that job despite that.
- 7 Q. Understood.
- Now, after the five years, you left; right? Where are --
- 9 where are you working now?
- 10 A. I am a venture partner at Valor Equity Partners.
- 11 Q. Valor Equity Partners. That's Antonio Gracias' fund;
- 12 correct?
- 13 **A.** He is the founder of Valor, correct. I'm a part-time
- 14 employee there.
- 15 Q. He's your boss?
- 16 A. He's a -- I wouldn't characterize him as my boss. I'm
- 17 | more like an independent kind of -- more like a consultant.
- 18 | He -- I was a full-time employee there for a couple years, but
- 19 at this moment I am not.
- 20 Q. He's good friends with Elon; right?
- 21 **A.** I believe they are good friends.
- 22 Q. And if Antonio wanted you out of Valor, he could make that
- 23 happen; right?
- 24 MR. LIFRAK: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 25 **THE COURT:** Overruled.

TELLER - CROSS / APTON

- 1 A. Certainly, he could end my agreement with Valor and he
- 2 | could have me out of Valor for sure.
- 3 BY MR. APTON
- 4 Q. One more thing. You mentioned one thing while you were
- 5 | testifying with Mr. Lifrak. You said that -- would Elon rely
- 6 on you to, I think you said, soften his public communications
- 7 | at times?
- 8 A. That's not exactly what I said, or it's not what I
- 9 intended to say. I was saying less about public
- 10 | communications.
- I was not -- you know, I was not composing public
- 12 | communications for him, but from time to time in internal
- 13 | communications or with -- in communications with external
- 14 parties, I -- yes, from time to time I might soften his
- 15 language.
- 16 Q. So did he expect you to do that or did you see the need to
- 17 do it yourself voluntarily?
- 18 A. It was something I just exercised judgment in the course
- 19 of, you know, day-to-day operations.
- 20 | Q. You didn't see the August 7 tweets before they were
- 21 | published; did you?
- 22 A. I did not.
- 23 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 24 MR. APTON: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 25 **THE COURT:** All right. Thank you.

```
Anything further?
 1
              MR. LIFRAK: Nothing further. Thank you.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Teller.
                                                               You
 3
     may step down. You're excused.
 4
 5
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
          (Witness excused.)
 6
              THE COURT: Next witness.
 7
              MR. LIFRAK: The next witness, Your Honor, for the
 8
     Defense is Owuraka Koney by video deposition.
 9
              THE COURT: All right.
10
11
                             OWURAKA KONEY,
     called as a witness for the Defendants herein, testified via
12
     videotaped deposition played in open court, not reported.
13
              THE COURT: All right.
14
                                      Thank you.
15
          Next witness.
16
              MR. LIFRAK: The defendants call Defendant James
17
     Murdoch.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Murdoch.
                             JAMES MURDOCH,
19
20
     called as a witness for the Defendants, having been duly sworn,
21
     testified as follows:
22
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
23
              THE CLERK:
                          Thank you. Please have a seat.
                            Thank you.
24
              THE WITNESS:
              THE CLERK: You're welcome.
25
```

```
MURDOCH - DIRECT / LIFRAK
          Please speak clearly into the microphone. State and spell
 1
 2
     your first and last name for the record.
              THE WITNESS: James Murdoch. J-A-M-E-S,
 3
     M-U-R-D-O-C-H.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you, Mr. Murdoch.
          You may proceed, Mr. Lifrak.
 6
 7
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. LIFRAK
 8
 9
          Good morning, Mr. Murdoch.
     Q.
          Good morning.
10
     Α.
11
          Are you currently a member of the Tesla Board of
     Directors?
12
13
     Α.
          Yes, I am.
          And how long have you been on the Tesla Board?
14
          I've been on the Board since around the middle of 2017.
15
     Α.
16
          Are you what is known as an outside director?
          Yes.
17
     Α.
          And could you explain briefly to the jury what that means?
18
                I'm an -- I'm an independent director or outside
19
     Α.
                I don't have any executive connection or function
20
     director.
     within the business. I serve solely as -- as an independent
21
22
     director on the Board concerned with, you know, the governance
```

And there are various rules and, you know, various

qualifications that, you know, the stock exchange, et cetera,

of -- the governance of the company.

23

24

1 has for qualifying as an independent, which I meet.

- 2 Q. At a high level could you describe your professional
- 3 background?
- 4 A. I spent most of my -- most of my career in the film and
- 5 | television business, in the media business in Asia, in India,
- 6 and China in particular, in Europe, and then in the United
- 7 States.
- 8 In film and television I was the chief executive officer
- 9 of two public companies: Sky PLC, a listed company in the
- 10 United Kingdom, as well as chairman of that company for a
- 11 | number of years; and the chief executive officer of
- 12 21st Century Fox until 2019, which is a film and television
- 13 | company globally.
- 14 Q. And what was it that interested you in joining the Tesla
- 15 | Board in the first place?
- 16 **A.** For me, you know, really I think the -- the primary --
- 17 I've had a long kind of passion for interest in environmental
- 18 issues, technology, environmental policy. And Tesla's mission
- 19 to accelerate the world's transition to renewable energy I
- 20 | found, you know, enormously compelling. To see a company
- 21 | striving to do that in the private sector and striving to
- 22 | innovate and invest to solve major problems in a mission-driven
- 23 | way I found very compelling.
- 24 And I would also say as a -- you know, as a technology
- 25 company, but with a -- you know, heavy manufacturing ambitions.

It was also, you know, a fascinating set of challenges, as the company was expanding internationally and really starting to get into a real growth phase.

So it was an interesting opportunity and I thought that some of my experience could be helpful. And when I was approached, I -- you know, I thought along those lines.

- Q. Do you recall receiving an email from Mr. Musk on August 2nd, 2018 entitled "Offer to Take Tesla Private at \$420"?
- **A.** Yes, I do.

- **Q.** And what was your initial reaction when you got that email?
- 13 A. I think -- you know, I think my mind turned first to
 14 process. You know, as an independent director to, okay, this
 15 is a -- this is a serious -- you know, the email was a serious
 16 approach. It had to be taken seriously and we would have to
 17 put kind of a scaffolding around the governance of dealing with
 18 that approach.

And I think in the context of having a bidder who is, you know, an outside bidder potentially offering to take the company private, but who was also the chairman and CEO of the company at the time, just meant that we would have to put an extra set of sort of belt and braces around that.

So I turned quite quickly in my mind to process, to say, "Okay. The Board better -- we're going to convene soon and we

- 1 have to deal with this." And, indeed, we did convene and start
- 2 to deal with it with alacrity.
- 3 **Q.** And, in fact, do you recall convening that same day on
- 4 August 2nd as a Board?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And do you recall at that meeting the CFO of the company,
- 7 Mr. Ahuja, addressing the Board at that meeting?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. Let's turn to the evening of August 2nd. Do you recall
- 10 Mr. Musk was at your home that evening? Do you recall that?
- 11 **A.** Yes, yes. He came by.
- 12 **Q.** And what was the occasion of him coming by?
- 13 **A.** It was more of a -- it was actually more of a social
- 14 | occasion. It was just he and my wife and I catching up after
- 15 | some period of time. So we sat and talked about a variety of
- 16 different things.
- 17 | Q. Did the subject of Mr. Musk's email earlier that day to
- 18 | the Board about a potential go-private transaction come up
- 19 during his visit?
- 20 **A.** It did come up, but among other things that we talked
- 21 about.
- 22 | Q. Do you recall anything that was said about that subject at
- 23 your home?
- 24 | A. I think the most -- the thing that I recall about the
- 25 | conversation was it was mostly around this kind of approach

MURDOCH - DIRECT / LIFRAK

that Elon had, which was to allow or to really encourage 1 existing shareholders in the business to be able to roll over 2 into a private entity. And, you know, I found that to be 3 novel. 4 5 My mind turned to, you know, that that might be difficult for some fund managers, for some portfolio managers with 6 certain mandates they could -- some of them might be allowed by 7 their investors to be in private companies, others might not. 8 So it wasn't -- it struck me as not an easy thing to do, 9 but it was certainly novel and certainly very, I think, 10 11 inclusive of, you know, what shareholders' interests might be. We talked about some of those dynamics more than anything else. 12 13 And then do you recall another Board meeting the next day August 3rd, 2018? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 And did Mr. Musk speak at that meeting? 17 Yes, he did. Α. 18 Do you recall at a high level what he said about the availability of potential funding for a go-private transaction? 19 It was consistent with what Deepak had said and 20 Mr. Ahuja the day before. The -- you know, I think he was --21 he was pretty clear that there had been, you know, strong 22 23 There had been conversations with potential investors to come and help put together a transaction like 24 this. 25

And, you know, it struck me as, you know, at the time that given the variable amount of capital that might be necessary, given the uncertain nature of how many shareholders might roll over or not or have to sell or choose to sell, but the funding was -- you know, funding was abundant from certain sources and, you know, clear level of interest and intent had been expressed.

Q. And taking a step back just for a minute, have you done

- Q. And taking a step back just for a minute, have you done business in the Middle East with Middle Eastern entities?
- 10 **A.** Yes, I have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

- 11 | Q. And could you describe that briefly?
- I did a -- I mean, from -- in a number of different 12 13 contexts. I mean, one as a -- as a public company executive, I had -- we had in our shareholder base a number of -- a number 14 15 of Middle Eastern investors over the years. One, in 16 particular, who was a major investor for, you know, over 20 17 years in the company until we -- until we sold the company, who was very, you know, consistent. Always -- always wanted to 18 deal principal-to-principal. Always, you know, very consistent 19 in terms of, you know, having a conversation, dealing 20 principal-to-principal and then following through. 21

And in another context I had a joint venture there in the news business with a government-related entity. And, again, you know, I found -- I found -- I found dealing principal-to-principal very, very important.

But also that, you know, you would -- you could kind of make an -- you could kind of make an agreement and then you would -- and then you could see it consummated. It was something that was -- it wasn't very wishy-washy. It was pretty straight.

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. So given that experience, did it -- did it surprise you that Mr. Musk expressed confidence in funding via the Saudi PIF even though there weren't any signed documents at that point?
- A. No, it didn't -- it didn't surprise me. And I think the confidence -- you know, you could have -- you could have confidence in those conversations, to my understanding and in my experience.
- Q. Moving on to August 7th, 2018. Did you become aware at or about the time of Mr. Musk's tweets that he had tweeted or shortly thereafter?
- 16 A. It was around that time. I can't recall exactly the moment.
- 18 Q. And did Mr. Musk at some point explain to you why he tweeted when he tweeted?
- 20 A. I think that -- I think it was consistent with -- it was
 21 consistent with my understanding, which was, you know, he
 22 really -- you know, he really wanted to be transparent with
 23 kind of everyone, all the shareholders, all the employees, all
 24 the different stakeholders in this.
- So his -- I can't speak to his mind. You know, I'm under

MURDOCH - DIRECT / LIFRAK

oath here. I can only talk to my own experience. But

certainly in my mind -- right? -- it was, okay, this is kind of

a cleansing. He wants to come out and be totally transparent

around his intents, and that's -- and that was -- you know,

that was going to get the process started in a real way, and

that was not something that surprised me.

I later understood that also there had been some news or was about to be or at the same time there was news about the PIF having acquired a stake in the public markets in the company. And it would be normal to try to contextualize that and be proactive or be on the front foot, if you will, rather than have small bits of information out in the public domain without really understanding the context of what -- of what he was intending to propose.

- Q. In Mr. Musk's initial tweet on August 7th do you recall the statement "Am considering taking Tesla private at \$420"?
- 17 **A.** Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 18 Q. And in your mind was that consistent with the information
 19 that you had from Mr. Musk at that time?
- 20 **A.** Yes, it was.
- 21 Q. And do you remember seeing the term "funding secured" in
- 22 Mr. Musk's tweet as well?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And was that, in your mind, consistent with the
- 25 | information that you had both from Mr. Musk and from Mr. Ahuja?

- 1 A. Yes, that they had a great confidence in the availability
 2 of funding for -- for such a transaction.
- Q. And ultimately the go-private transaction did not occur;
 4 is that right?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. And do you have an understanding in your position as a Board member as to why that did not happen?
 - A. Yes. And I -- and we discussed it as a Board, you know, at great length.

You know, ultimately once the -- once Elon was able to go and talk to shareholders kind of directly after having kind of cleansed the situation, solved what are known as the selective disclosure rules and things like that so he could go and talk to shareholders, because his intent was out in the public.

You know, when we had the -- the last Board meeting around this subject, we, you know, talked about the possibility of closing a transaction, the availability of funding, you know, all of those things. Elon's outside advisors presented, if I recall.

But ultimately certain shareholders, large shareholders, really had a desire and expressed that desire for the company to stay public, either because, as I mentioned before, in their mandates or whatever rules they had within the particular pockets of money that they were mandated to invest they couldn't hold the shares. They couldn't move from one vehicle

to another. Or they just thought that the company was better off being a public company and, you know, having access to capital in that way, et cetera.

But fundamentally I think shareholders' preference or major shareholders' preference in thinking staying public would be a good thing, they would prefer that, ultimately I think caused Elon -- and as he described to the Board consistently, you know, caused him to think that, you know, better not to pursue this at this time. Better to focus on the operations of the business, not be distracted by this, and shareholders kind of giving him a clear signal.

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Murdoch.
- 13 MR. LIFRAK: Nothing further.
- 14 **THE WITNESS:** Thank you.
- 15 **THE COURT:** All right. Thank you.
- 16 Cross?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

CROSS-EXAMINATION.

- 18 BY MS. TRIPODI
- 19 Q. Good morning, Your Honor. Good morning, everyone.
- 20 Good morning, Mr. Murdoch. How are you?
- 21 A. Good. Thank you.
- 22 | Q. You've known Elon Musk for over 20 years; right?
- 23 A. Right.
- 24 **Q.** And do you consider him a friend?
- 25 **A.** Yes, we're -- we're friendly.

- 1 Q. And your families have done things together?
- 2 A. Yes. We have children the same age.
- 3 | Q. And in 2018 did you own stock in Tesla?
- 4 A. In 2018? Yes, I believe I did.
- 5 Q. And am I correct that at that time your Tesla stock was
- 6 | worth approximately \$30 million?
- 7 A. No, I don't believe so. Not in 2018.
- 8 Q. Do you have a sense of what your stock was worth then?
- 9 **A.** I think it was more like two.
- 10 **Q.** Was that an important investment for you?
- 11 | A. You know, I take all investments kind of, you know,
- 12 | seriously. But I had bought the stock well before I became a
- 13 director, so it was something that I just -- as I said earlier,
- 14 | you know, I really believe in mission-driven companies, and
- 15 | particularly this company's mission. And I had bought the
- 16 stock as a customer. I really enjoyed the car that I had
- 17 | bought and thought that, you know, the more people that buy the
- 18 | cars and the more people that buy the stock, the more
- 19 | successful the company can be in prosecuting its mission, which
- 20 | is an important one.
- 21 **Q.** Are you currently a stockholder in SpaceX?
- 22 **A.** Yes, I am.
- 23 **Q.** And is that also an important investment for you?
- 25 | percentage or anything like that. It's a passive investment.

- 1 Q. After the tweets that are at issue in this litigation, the
- 2 August 7th tweets, at some point after those had been tweeted,
- 3 am I correct that Tesla implemented a social media policy?
- 4 A. I think we -- I think we clarified. We added to or
- 5 clarified an existing policy around the dissemination of
- 6 information, including social media.
- 7 | Q. And since that social media policy has been in place, have
- 8 | there been occasions where you and your fellow directors might
- 9 review Elon's tweets and perhaps adjust them or provide
- 10 suggestions?
- 11 **A.** The policy -- the policy requires that the -- that
- 12 | material non-public information, like internal information
- 13 about Tesla's operations or future operations, et cetera, that
- 14 | if it's desired to put that in social media, that Elon takes
- 15 | those to either the general counsel or the company or the CFO,
- 16 | if the general counsel isn't available. It's not for the Board
- 17 | to pre-clear the tweets. It's to ensure that a process is in
- 18 | place and is followed along those lines, and it has been
- 19 followed.
- 20 | Since the -- we now have a disclosure controls committee,
- 21 which also meets regularly and reviews the activity after the
- 22 | fact around: Has that policy been implemented? Have those
- 23 | procedures been followed? And are they appropriate?
- 24 \ Q. Prior to August 7th of 2018, were you aware of any review
- 25 | process for Elon's tweets at Tesla?

- 1 A. There was a -- yes. There was -- there was a policy in
- 2 | place around material non-public information that was inclusive
- 3 of all communications. The August 7th or 8th, or whenever it
- 4 was, clarification or additional piece there was just
- 5 | specifically calling out that this includes social media to
- 6 | name that, if I recall correctly.
- 7 | Q. And to your knowledge, had there ever been -- prior to
- 8 August 7th, had there ever been review of any of Mr. Musk's
- 9 tweets?
- 10 **A.** I believe if there was material non-public information
- 11 | involved, that the normal -- the normal process would have been
- 12 | finance and audit, et cetera, would have been involved or the
- 13 general counsel.
- 14 Q. But you were not involved in any such process?
- 15 **A.** No, not -- not before. I became a member of the
- 16 | disclosure controls committee after all of this.
- 17 Q. Thanks, Mr. Murdoch.
- 18 **BY MS. THOMPSON:** No further questions.
- 19 **THE WITNESS:** Thank you.
- 20 **THE COURT:** All right. Thank you.
- 21 Anything on redirect?
- 22 MR. LIFRAK: Nothing further. Thank you.
- 23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Murdoch. You
- 24 are excused. You may step down.
- 25 **THE WITNESS:** Thank you very much.

```
(Witness excused.)
 1
              THE COURT: All right. Further witnesses?
 2
              MR. PRICE: Good morning, Your Honor. We call
 3
     Defendant Kimbal Musk.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
                              KIMBAL MUSK,
 6
     called as a witness for the Defendants, having been duly sworn,
 7
     testified as follows:
 8
              THE WITNESS: I do.
 9
              THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat.
10
11
          Please speak clearly into the microphone and please state
     your first and last name and spell it for the record.
12
13
              THE WITNESS: My name is Kimbal Musk, K-I-M-B-A-L,
     M-U-S-K.
14
15
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you, Mr. Musk.
16
          You may proceed, Counsel.
17
              MR. PRICE: Thank you.
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
18
     MS. TRIPODI
19
          So, Mr. Kimbal Musk, are you Elon Musk's brother?
20
          I am.
21
     Α.
22
          Let me talk to you about why you are here today. Is it
23
     correct that you are an outside director on Tesla's Board of
     Directors?
24
          I'm a -- I'm a member of the Board of Directors.
25
     Α.
```

- 1 Q. And you were not part of management of Tesla; is that
- 2 right?
- 3 **A.** I was not part of management.
- 4 | Q. Okay. Have you ever been part of management at Tesla?
- 5 A. I have never been part of management. I've done a lot of
- 6 | work operationally. I've worked with the management, worked in
- 7 | the offices over the past 20 years and really enjoyed my time
- 8 | there, but I'm not a member of management.
- 9 Q. And as a non-management member of Tesla's Board of
- 10 Directors, do you understand that in this case you're being
- 11 | sued personally for billions of dollars for alleged violations
- 12 of the securities laws?
- 13 A. I'm aware.
- 14 Q. So let's -- let's talk about your activity then.
- In fact, first, let's step back. You mentioned that
- 16 | you're Mr. Musk's brother. Did you come from South Africa to
- 17 | the United States around the same time your brother did?
- 18 A. Yeah. About two years later.
- 19 **Q.** And that was about when?
- 20 **A.** I arrived in the United States in 1995.
- 21 | Q. And at some point you became a member of Tesla's Board of
- 22 Directors; right?
- 23 **A.** At the beginning, yes.
- 24 | Q. And when you say "at the beginning," could you tell the
- 25 jury when that was?

K. MUSK - DIRECT / PRICE

- 1 A. Sorry. At the founding of the company, I joined the Board
- 2 of Directors, I believe it was April of 2004, at the request of
- 3 my brother.
- 4 Q. And are you also associated with SpaceX?
- 5 A. I am. I joined the Board of SpaceX and was the first
- 6 outside investor in 2022.
- 7 Q. So let's bring you to the events that matter in this case,
- 8 and that's August of 2018.
- 9 MR. PRICE: If we could put up Exhibit 81, which is in
- 10 | evidence, and publish that to the jury. That's the email offer
- 11 to the Board of Directors on August 2nd, 2018.
- 12 (Document displayed.)
- 13 | BY MR. PRICE
- 14 Q. You received this email around August 2nd of 2018?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. And there was a Board of Directors meeting shortly after
- 17 | the receipt of this email; is that right?
- 18 | A. There was a Board of Directors meeting that I was not part
- 19 of, but I was part of one the day after that.
- 20 **Q.** And can you explain to the jury why you were not a member
- 21 of the Board of Directors meeting on August 2nd?
- 22 **A.** Yes. I'm a related Board member. So I'm not independent.
- 23 | And the Board regularly would meet -- wouldn't even inform me
- 24 | that I was recused necessarily.
- 25 For example, I didn't even know about that Board meeting

K. MUSK - DIRECT / PRICE

- 1 | because I'm related to my brother and not considered an
- 2 independent Board member.
- 3 | Q. Now, you mentioned there was a Board meeting the second
- 4 day on August 3rd and you were part that Board meeting?
- 5 A. Yes, I was.
- 6 Q. How was it you were -- you were allowed to attend that?
- 7 **A.** My brother attended as well. It was a Board meeting that
- 8 was inclusive of Elon as the bidder, and so I was able to
- 9 attend.
- 10 **Q.** There are minutes of that Board meeting?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 **Q.** And did you read the minutes of that Board meeting?
- 13 **A.** I have read them in preparation for today.
- 14 Q. Okay. Do those minutes accurately reflect what happened
- 15 | during that Board meeting?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. Now, you mentioned that you were recused from August 2nd.
- 18 After the August 3rd Board meeting, what, on a high level, did
- 19 the Board of Directors do then in response to this August 2nd
- 20 offer?
- 21 A. Well, they did their -- the regular response you would
- 22 expect. They -- I believe our lead director Antonio responded
- 23 | saying -- acknowledging receipt of the offer, and they formed a
- 24 committee.
- I wasn't part of that process so I don't know the details,

K. MUSK - DIRECT / PRICE

- 1 but they also formed a committee.
- 2 | Q. Okay. And that's what we've heard as being referred to as
- 3 | the special committee?
- 4 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 5 | Q. And that's something you were not involved with; correct?
- 6 A. Not at all.
- 7 | Q. You were recused from that committee as well; correct?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. Were you a part of any Board of Director decisions about
- 10 whether to make public statements about the offer to go private
- 11 or about hiring financial advisors to represent the company or
- 12 | any other Board reactions related to this potential
- 13 | transaction?
- 14 **A.** I was not involved at all with regards to the Board
- 15 | meetings where I was recused. There was a period of time a
- 16 | little bit later in August where I did attend meetings, a Board
- 17 | meeting where I believe Silver Lake and Goldman Sachs
- 18 presented.
- 19 Q. So I also want to ask you a few questions about
- 20 August 7th. We're here because there were some tweets that
- 21 your brother made an August 7th.
- Did you see those tweets at the time?
- 23 | A. I do recall. I was actually offline. I saw them a few
- 24 hours after they came out.
- 25 **Q.** And at the time you read those tweets, in your view, was

K. MUSK - CROSS / TRIPODI

- there anything in those tweets that was not consistent with what you knew were the true state of affairs?
- A. It was completely consistent with the meeting on

 August 3rd. Frankly, I was really excited. I mean, it was

 very exciting to imagine us being a private company.

I was happy for the mission, which was a mission to -which our mission still is to accelerate the world to an
alternative energy system. It was better for shareholders and
also better for my brother. I was really happy. I was really
excited about it.

MR. PRICE: Okay. No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Any cross?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. TRIPODI

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Musk. Nice to see you again.
- So you've mentioned Elon Musk is your brother. And am I
- 18 correct that in July of 2018 even you asked your brother to be
- 19 | more thoughtful about his use of Twitter?
- 20 A. Yeah. It was specifically related to exchanging insults
- 21 | with a person, and I felt my brother was above that.
- 22 Q. And you were aware that your brother had a large audience
- 23 on Twitter, rather many followers; correct?
- 24 **A.** Sure.
- 25 Q. Outside of the Tesla Board, am I correct that you also

K. MUSK - CROSS / TRIPODI

have a strong relationship with Antonio Gracias? 1 I do. 2 Α. And is it fair to say Mr. Gracias is also your brother 3 Elon's friend? 4 5 Α. Yes. Do you recall -- you mentioned that you were offline when 6 Q. the August 8th tweet came out. Do you recall where you were? 7 Sorry. The August 7th tweet. 8 Α. August 7th. My apologies. 9 Q. Yes, I was on a hike. I live in Colorado. 10 Α. 11 Q. Okay. MS. TRIPODI: Thank you. No further questions at this 12 time? 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 15 Anything further? 16 MR. PRICE: No questions, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Musk. You are 18 excused. You may step down. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 (Witness excused.) 21 THE COURT: Any further witnesses? MS. THOMPSON: Your Honor, Defendants call Defendant 22 23 Ira Ehrenpreis.

THE COURT: Okay.

24

EHRENPREIS - DIRECT / THOMPSON 1 IRA EHRENPREIS, called as a witness for the Defendants, having been duly sworn, 2 testified as follows: 3 THE WITNESS: I do. 4 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat. 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 6 THE CLERK: You're welcome. 7 Please speak clearly into the microphone. State and spell 8 your first and last name for the record. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. It's Ira Ehrenpreis. I-R-A, last 10 11 name is E-H-R-E-N-P-R-E-I-S. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Ehrenpreis. 12 13 You may proceed, Counsel. MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MS. THOMPSON: 17 Mr. Ehrenpreis, where are you from? I've lived in the Bay Area for the last 30 years. 18 And what do you do for a living? 19 I'm an impact investor. So I invest in companies that try 20 to achieve both a financial return, but also some form of a 21 social return or an environmental return. You know, 22 23 entrepreneurs that care about one of those two things.

And how long have you been on the Tesla Board of

24

25

Directors?

A. Since 2007.

- 2 Q. Are you an independent director on the Board?
- 3 **A.** I am.

- 4 Q. And what is your day-to-day job?
- 5 **A.** I'm an investor investing in purpose-driven or
- 6 | mission-driven companies. We call it impact investing.
- 7 Q. And how did you first learn in August 2018 that Mr. Musk
- 8 was considering taking Tesla private?
- 9 A. He sent an email to the Board. That's when I first
- 10 learned about it.
- 11 Q. And what did you understand the Board's job to be when it
- 12 | came to considering this offer from Mr. Musk?
- 13 **A.** The Board's job was to undertake a process to figure out
- 14 | what was in the best interests of the shareholders.
- 15 **Q.** And did you and the other members of the Board get legal
- 16 | advice how to fulfill your responsibilities in this situation?
- 17 A. Yes, we did.
- 18 Q. And in carrying out your duties as a Board member during
- 19 | this period, did you always act in good faith?
- 20 A. Absolutely.
- 21 Q. Now, after you received the email from Mr. Musk on
- 22 | August 2nd offering to take Tesla private, was there a meeting
- 23 | that same day?
- 24 A. Yes, there was.
- 25 **Q.** Was Mr. Musk invited to attend that Board meeting?

A. No.

- 2 Q. Why not?
- 3 A. Well, Elon was a counterparty to -- in this transaction,
- 4 and so the Board wanted to have a discussion without him.
- 5 | Q. And what did you learn at the Board meeting on August 2nd
- 6 | about the transaction?
- 7 A. Deepak Ahuja, who was the then CFO, told us that he and
- 8 Elon were at a meeting with the Saudi Arabia Sovereign Wealth
- 9 | Fund, that they call PIF, and that at that -- they had
- 10 discussions for dating back to, I guess, about a year he said.
- And at that point in time when they met, they were told
- 12 | that the Saudi Arabia fund was ready, willing, able and wanted
- 13 to move forward to fund Tesla going private and that they had
- 14 enough money and capital to do the entire thing.
- 15 Q. And was there a Board meeting the next day, August 3rd,
- 16 | that Mr. Musk attended?
- 17 **A.** He did.
- 18 Q. And what do you recall Mr. Musk telling the Board at the
- 19 August 3rd Board meeting?
- 20 **A.** Elon gave the Board a more detailed rationale for why he
- 21 | wanted -- he was considering taking Tesla private. He
- 22 | reiterated what Deepak told us about the Saudi Arabia PIF fund;
- 23 | that they wanted to take Tesla private and that they had enough
- 24 money to do it themselves.
- 25 And then Elon described that he wanted a process that

EHRENPREIS - DIRECT / THOMPSON

- 1 | actually had the existing shareholders' support. And the idea
- 2 | would be to only have people who didn't want to be private be
- 3 | bought out, but that his hope was that the existing
- 4 | shareholders would largely want to be part of a go-private
- 5 | transaction. And that he also said that he thought that there
- 6 were a number of both existings and new investors that would be
- 7 | interested in funding a go-private transaction.
- 8 Q. And as to funding, did you have a view on whether Mr. Musk
- 9 | would have any issue in getting funding for a take-private
- 10 transaction?
- 11 **A.** I did, because on August 2nd and 3rd we were told by both
- 12 Deepak and Elon that there was enough funding and interest just
- 13 | from PIF alone to fund the entire transaction.
- And I've also, having watched Elon, seen that he has more
- 15 | than enough interested investors who want to fund things that
- 16 he's involved with.
- 17 | Q. When you saw the tweets from Mr. Musk on August 7th, did
- 18 | you know that Mr. Musk was going to post those tweets?
- 19 A. No, I didn't.
- 20 Q. And let me show you Exhibit 8, which is in evidence.
- 21 MS. THOMPSON: If we could publish for the jury.
- 22 (Document displayed.)
- 23 BY MS. THOMPSON
- 24 Q. Mr. Musk writes (as read):
- 25 "Am considering taking Tesla private. Funding

EHRENPREIS - DIRECT / THOMPSON

secured." 1 How did you interpret the phrase "funding secured"? 2 I interpreted it as he felt that there was enough -- what 3 Α. he told us and what Deepak told us at the meetings; that there 4 5 was -- that funding wasn't an issue because he had enough interest from PIF, and he thought others, to fund the entire 6 transaction. 7 Now, in light of Mr. Musk's fundraising track record, how 8 significant would the information that Mr. Musk could obtain 9 the necessary funding be? 10 11 MR. APTON: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: 12 Sustained. BY MS. THOMPSON 13 In your view, how significant was the information that 14 Mr. Musk could obtain the necessary funding be? 15 16 MR. APTON: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Overruled. 17 I mean, it's quite well known that Elon has a large amount 18 of interest in -- from a funding perspective, from a wide array 19 of investors who want to fund things he's involved with. 20 what I've seen over a long period of time is there's more 21 interest in funding his endeavors than there is typically 22 23 amount to be invested. MS. THOMPSON: And then if we could show Exhibit 13, 24

25

which is already in evidence.

```
(Document displayed.)
 1
     BY MS. THOMPSON
 2
          This is another tweet on August 7th linking to a blog
 3
     Q.
            Mr. Musk writes (as read):
 4
     post.
 5
              "Investor support is confirmed. Only reason why this
          is not certain is that it's contingent on a shareholder
 6
          vote."
 7
          Given that Mr. Musk was only considering taking Tesla
 8
     private, how did you interpret that second sentence in the
 9
     tweet?
10
11
          He had told us that whether or not he wanted to proceed
     was a function of whether or not the shareholders were
12
13
     supportive. And so I interpreted it as that that was really a
     primary consideration for him as to whether or not he wanted to
14
15
     move forward, as to whether or not the existing shareholders
16
     were supportive to do that.
          And in your view, were the tweets consistent with what you
17
     Q.
     learned at the August 2nd and 3rd Board meeting and with
18
19
     Mr. Musk's offer on August 2nd in the email?
20
     Α.
          Absolutely.
              MS. THOMPSON: No further questions, Your Honor.
21
22
                          Thank you.
              THE COURT:
23
          Cross?
24
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. APTON

- 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Ehrenpreis.
- 4 A. Good afternoon.
- 5 **Q.** How long have you known Elon?
- 6 A. I met Elon -- I didn't know Elon before I got involved in
- 7 Tesla. And so I joined the Board in 2007, but I didn't know
- 8 him before that. I've known him since then.
- 9 Q. So coming up on 20 years?
- 10 **A.** 2007 to now is 15 years.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you are the founder and managing partner of
- 12 DBL? That's a venture capital firm; is that right?
- 13 A. DBL Partners.
- 14 Q. And DBL, you got into SpaceX, yes?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 **Q.** How did you get in there?
- 17 **A.** They were raising around and we invested.
- 18 Q. Not everyone can invest directly in SpaceX though; right?
- 19 **A.** Well, typically in private companies everyone can't invest
- 20 because it typically is institutional capital. And so the
- 21 | fund -- the funds that we represent raise money from pensions
- 22 | and endowments and foundations, and so typically they take
- 23 | money from institutional investors as opposed to public
- 24 | companies where everyone can invest.
- 25 **Q.** Or like a -- I don't know, an ordinary retail investor

EHRENPREIS - CROSS / APTON

- 1 | like myself perhaps?
- 2 A. Well, a retail investor not only can't invest in SpaceX,
- 3 but basically any of the private companies I've ever invested
- 4 | in were typically the venture capital community investing.
- 5 Q. I see. So small investors cannot invest in private
- 6 | companies, is what you're saying?
- 7 **A.** There is SEC regulations about being a qualified investor.
- 8 And typically in order to protect small investors, there's
- 9 | rules around who can invest in private companies as distinct
- 10 from public companies.
- 11 Q. Do you know who Kathy Wood is?
- 12 **A.** I do.
- 13 **Q.** She's a big investor, yeah?
- 14 A. She is. She's -- yeah. I don't know her personally, but
- 15 I know who she is.
- 16 **Q.** Sure.
- 17 Let me continue. Sorry for that. In 2018 you held Tesla
- 18 | shares; correct?
- 19 **A.** I did.
- 20 **Q.** Do you know how many?
- 21 **A.** I don't.
- 22 Q. Does 100,000 sound about right?
- 23 | A. I don't know. There's been splits and whatnot. I know
- 24 | that in around that period of time I think most of my holdings
- 25 were underwater. That means they were in the form of not

EHRENPREIS - CROSS / APTON

- 1 | shares, but options. And because the stock price around that
- 2 | time and thereafter went below the strike price, they were
- 3 worthless.
- 4 Q. If I told you that in 2018 those holdings were worth about
- 5 | 35 million, would that sound correct?
- 6 A. I wouldn't know that, no. Because it obviously moved
- 7 | around and I don't know if you're referring to options or
- 8 | shares. And, as I said, the options ended up being worthless
- 9 during that period of time and after.
- 10 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this: At the August 3rd meeting,
- 11 | the one that your counsel was just talking to you about, you
- 12 | thought that the proposal from Musk needed to have some more
- 13 details, some more terms included; correct?
- 14 **A.** Sure.
- 15 Q. And when Musk a few days later tweeted what he tweeted,
- 16 | "funding secured," at that time you were not aware of any
- 17 | written commitment for financing; correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. You weren't aware of any specific price that had been
- 20 discussed with any potential investor; correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. And the structure he was contemplating, that hadn't been
- 23 | agreed upon with any lawyers or bankers; correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 **Q.** And Musk tweeted that tweet in the middle of a trading

EHRENPREIS - CROSS / APTON

- 1 day, yes?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. So I think -- now, would it be your opinion that a more
- 4 | traditional medium of communication would have been appropriate
- 5 | for that tweet? Or for that message, I should say.
- 6 **A.** You know, I -- I wouldn't probably have an opinion on
- 7 | appropriate medium or not. He uses Twitter. I happen to not
- 8 use Twitter very much.
- 9 **Q.** Sure.
- 10 **A.** But it is a way to disseminate information.
- 11 Q. And now assuming momentarily that there was some policy in
- 12 | place that was requiring some oversight for Musk's Twitter at
- 13 that time, did anyone check that tweet before it was sent?
- 14 | A. Elon sent that tweet in his personal capacity. And any
- 15 Tesla policy would cover what we have in the company around
- 16 | MNPI, material non-public information, and so there wouldn't
- 17 have been a Tesla related.
- 18 Q. Right, I understand. So after the fact, everyone knew
- 19 | that he was Tweeting as a bidder, I guess is what you're
- 20 getting at. But what about before? Did anyone check the tweet
- 21 | before it was sent because? No one knew he was going to be a
- 22 | bidder -- he was going to be Tweeting in his bidder capacity
- 23 before he sent the tweet?
- 24 | A. Well, we did know. That's why we didn't have him in the
- 25 | August 2nd Board meeting, because he was the counterparty. He

- was the bidder. The Board knew that, and that's why he wasn't 1
- invited to that August 2nd Board meeting. 2
- So is it your testimony that between his email on 3 Q.
- August 2nd and the tweet on August 7th, the Board got together 4
- 5 and said, "Hey, we're not going to enforce this social media
- 6 policy anymore"?
- 7 Absolutely not. Α.
- MS. THOMPSON: Objection. 8
- The policy was in place as it relates to Tesla, but it 9
- wasn't -- that policy, since it was created, since the company 10
- 11 went public, does not relate to someone in their personal
- 12 capacity.
- BY MR. APTON 13
- But no one checked the tweet before it was sent; correct? 14 Q.
- Correct. 15 Α.
- 16 Thank you, sir.
- No further questions, Your Honor. 17 MR. APTON:
- THE COURT: Anything on redirect? 18
- MS. THOMPSON: No, Your Honor. 19
- 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Ehrenpreis.
- You may step down. You're excused. 21
- 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 23 (Witness excused.)
- THE COURT: We're at our normal break point. I don't 24
- 25 know how many more witnesses you have to call.

```
MS. THOMPSON: So, Your Honor, we have one witness who
 1
 2
     is being called via Zoom. I believe she is ready.
              THE COURT:
                          Oh.
 3
              MS. THOMPSON: And so we can proceed with her, if that
 4
 5
    makes sense to the Court.
              THE COURT: Let me make sure that the jurors don't
 6
    need a break right now because we're going at it. How long do
 7
     you think this witness will be?
 8
              MS. THOMPSON: About the length of the other
 9
     directors, Your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: About ten minutes?
11
              MS. THOMPSON: Yes, Your Honor.
12
13
              THE COURT:
                         Can you all wait ten minutes or would you
     like a break first? Do this now?
14
          (Jury panel responding affirmatively.)
15
16
              MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
          Defendants call Defendant Linda Johnson Rice.
              THE COURT: She will be appearing by Zoom, but this is
18
     live?
19
20
              MS. THOMPSON: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
                         So hopefully the connection will work.
21
          (Brief pause.)
22
                         It didn't go quite as smoothly as we
23
              THE COURT:
     thought. Why don't we go ahead and take that break now and
24
25
     when you come back, we'll have it all set up. See you in 20
```

minutes. 1 THE CLERK: All rise for the jury. 2 (Jury exits the courtroom at 12:19 p.m.) 3 MR. PORRITT: Similar logistics matter that Mr. Spiro 4 5 raised earlier. At the close of Defendants' case, assuming 6 this is one more witness, we would intend to make a Rule 50(a) motion. 7 So just in terms of logistics, how Your Honor would like 8 to --9 THE COURT: I'm sorry. What did you say? 10 MR. PORRITT: At the close of Defendants' case, 11 Plaintiff intends to make a Rule 50(a) motion. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. MR. PORRITT: So the question is logistics of how Your 14 15 Honor wants to hear that. 16 THE COURT: Well, first of all, you can state it for the record so that the timing is right. 17 In terms of hearing that, I was hoping we could complete 18 the witness stuff, and then I could come back and do the 19 instructions, but I want to leave myself -- if this were ahead, 20 I want to leave enough time to do the instructions. 21 That's probably going to take 40 minutes, something like that. 22 23 MR. SPIRO: I can assure the Court there will be more than enough time because of where we are. 24 THE COURT: So what I think I'll do is I'll take a 25

```
If you close with your final witness and there's no
 1
     break.
     rebuttal witnesses, we'll tell the jury that the evidence has
 2
     been completed. Take a little break. I will tell them when
 3
     they come back we'll instruct them.
 4
 5
          And during that break, you can elaborate -- well, actually
     you can make your motion at that point.
 6
 7
              MR. PORRITT: Very good, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
                         So just one more witness?
 8
              MR. SPIRO:
                         Yes, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: And no rebuttal witnesses?
10
11
              MR. PORRITT: No rebuttal witnesses.
              THE COURT: Great.
12
13
          (Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings
           from 12:21 p.m. until 12:42 p.m.)
14
                         Okay. Before we bring the jury back, I
15
              THE COURT:
16
     have now e-filed the closing jury instructions so you all have
17
     a copy of that. I believe we're actually going to make some
18
     hard copies for your benefit.
          And one thing that I like to do is to have the Jury
19
20
     Instructions up on the screen, and so through Vicky either we
21
     will do that or she's going to recruit one of you all to help.
                          I will recruit one of the techs.
22
              THE CLERK:
23
              THE COURT:
                          She's going to recruit one of your techs
     to help us scroll through so that it's up on the display as I
24
25
     read it.
```

```
I'm going to read all the way up through Duty to
 1
    Deliberate, which is Instruction Number 17. I will save the
 2
     last five instructions to the final-final. That's after
 3
     closing arguments are made.
 4
 5
          So we're going to bring the jury back in, take testimony
     from Ms. Rice, and I believe Defendants will close their case.
 6
     I'll excuse the jury for a short break. Plaintiff make your
 7
              Then we'll call the jury in and deliver the
     motion.
 8
     instructions.
 9
          And I think we'll have enough time to do that. Okay?
10
11
          (Jury enters the courtroom at 12:52 p.m.)
              THE COURT: Have a seat everyone. Welcome back.
12
13
          We now have our system hooked up for the next witness, who
     is appearing, and we're on live.
14
          I guess the question is: Can we enlarge her -- pin her
15
16
     screen so the jury can see the witness?
17
          There we go. Thank you.
          Why don't you formally call your next witness.
18
              MS. THOMPSON: Yes, Your Honor. We call Defendant
19
20
     Linda Johnson Rice.
21
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
          Ms. Rice, good afternoon.
22
23
              THE WITNESS: How are you?
24
              THE COURT: Good.
                                 Thank you.
25
          Oh, the jury can't see.
```

RICE - DIRECT / THOMPSON

(Brief pause.) 1 THE CLERK: I guess it would be nice if I turn the 2 monitors on. 3 How about now? No? THE COURT: 4 5 THE CLERK: It takes a few seconds to go on. There we go. 6 7 Okay. Now we're all square. THE COURT: And so first we have to have Ms. Rice take the oath, which 8 will be administered by Ms. Ayala. 9 10 LINDA JOHNSON RICE, 11 called as a witness for the Defendants, having been duly sworn, testified via Zoom as follows: 12 THE WITNESS: I do. 13 14 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please state and spell your first and last name for the 15 16 record. 17 THE WITNESS: Linda Johnson Rice. L-I-N-D-A, J-O-H-N-S-O-N, R-I-C-E. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Rice. 19 You may proceed, Counsel. 20 MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. THOMPSON 23 Good afternoon, Ms. Rice. Ellyde Thompson for the 24 Defendants. 25

RICE - DIRECT / THOMPSON

- 1 Ms. Rice, you are not here in San Francisco testifying
- 2 | today. Why is that?
- 3 A. It is because I am visually impaired. I'm actually blind
- 4 | in one eye and compromised in the other, and I was unable to
- 5 | have a companion, a traveling companion, to go with me. I
- 6 cannot move around and travel by myself.
- 7 | Q. And when did your visual impairment occur?
- 8 A. About a year and a half ago. Two years at the most.
- 9 Q. Would you have preferred to be here in person if it were
- 10 | not difficult for you to travel?
- 11 **A.** Yes, of course.
- 12 Q. Now, Ms. Rice, were you formerly a member of the Tesla
- 13 | Board of Directors?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. During what time period were you a member of Tesla's
- 16 Board?
- 17 **A.** From 2017 to 2019. Approximately two years.
- 18 | Q. And had you met Mr. Musk before you joined Tesla's Board?
- 19 **A.** No.
- 20 **Q.** Were you an outside director on Tesla's Board?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. Did you have any role in the day-to-day management of
- 23 Tesla?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 **Q.** What was your day-to-day job during the time you were on

the Tesla Board?

- 2 A. Chairman and CEO of Johnson Publishing Company.
- 3 Q. Turning your attention to August 2018, do you recall the
- 4 | Board receiving an email from Mr. Musk on August 2nd offering
- 5 | to take Tesla private?
- 6 **A.** I do.

- 7 | Q. And do you recall two Board meetings on August 2nd and 3rd
- 8 | after the Board received the email offer from Mr. Musk?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And what did you learn at the two Board meetings on
- 11 August 2nd and 3rd about funding for a transaction to take
- 12 | Tesla private?
- 13 **A.** My understanding from the meetings was that Mr. Musk had
- 14 discussed this transaction with a PIF, I think it's Saudi
- 15 Arabian, and they were interested and very sincere and
- 16 | forthright and wanting to fund the transaction and take -- help
- 17 | him take the company private.
- 18 | Q. And who conveyed that information to the Board on
- 19 August 2nd and August 3rd?
- 20 **A.** On August 2nd I believe it was the CFO Deepak Ahuja, and
- 21 on August 3rd it was Mr. Musk.
- 22 | Q. And what was your understanding at the time about whether
- 23 | the Saudi Arabian PIF, the Public Investment Fund, had the
- 24 | money necessary to take Tesla private?
- 25 **A.** My understanding was that they were -- they had the

You

```
RICE - DIRECT / THOMPSON
     funding, and that -- I believe they already owned about maybe 4
 1
     to 5 percent of the company already and were prepared to fund
 2
     the entire transaction.
 3
          I'm going to read two tweets that are already in evidence.
 4
 5
     The first one is Exhibit 8. It says (as read):
              "Am considering taking Tesla private at $420. Funding
 6
          secured."
 7
          The second one is Exhibit 13 (as read):
 8
              "Investor support is confirmed. Only reason this is
 9
          not certain is that it's contingent on a shareholder
10
11
          vote."
          These are two tweets that Mr. Musk published on
12
13
     August 7th. Did you know Mr. Musk was going to publish these
     tweets before he posted them on Twitter?
14
          I did not.
15
     Α.
          Did you view these tweets at the time as consistent with
16
17
     the information you had received from Mr. Ahuja and Mr. Musk
     about funding the transaction?
18
19
     Α.
          Yes.
          Ms. Rice, I have no further questions.
20
                                                   Thank you.
              THE COURT: All right.
21
                                      Thank you.
```

MS. TRIPODI: Nothing on cross, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Then thank you, Ms. Rice.

Any cross examination?

are excused as a witness.

22

23

24

```
1
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you very much.
              THE COURT: I appreciate your attendance. Thank you.
 2
              THE WITNESS: Thank you. Bye-bye.
 3
          (Witness excused.)
 4
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Any further witnesses?
              MR. SPIRO: Your Honor at this time, and I've tried to
 6
     do this before, but Exhibit 322, which is the Saudi Arabia
 7
     Sovereign Fund announcement article we've talked about in this
 8
     trial is not yet in evidence. We would offer it into evidence.
 9
     That's Exhibit 322, which I understand, moving past objections,
10
11
     is fine with plaintiffs.
          And at this time the Defense rests.
12
13
              THE COURT: All right. And no objection to 322?
              MR. PORRITT: No objection, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: All right. So 322 is admitted.
15
16
          (Trial Exhibit 322 received in evidence.)
              THE COURT: Any further witnesses from the Plaintiff?
17
              MR. PORRITT: Plaintiff calls no further witnesses,
18
     Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you.
20
          So, members of the jury, that will conclude the
21
     presentation of evidence in this case. I'm going to, since
22
23
     there is still time, to give you the instructions on the law as
     I promised I would.
24
          But before that, we're going to take yet another break,
25
```

```
but there will be time enough. We'll be done before the 2:00
 1
     o'clock hour.
 2
          The plan at this point is that I will give you some
 3
     instructions, closing instructions. And then first thing on
 4
 5
     Friday morning, you'll hear closing statements from each side.
     And then I will give you a final-final set of instructions
 6
 7
     about what to do in terms of procedure, and the case will then
    be delivered to you for deliberation with the evidence.
 8
          And I believe we have prepared a little index -- hopefully
 9
     that will be done -- so that if you're looking for a particular
10
11
    piece of evidence, you'll have a list and a brief description.
          And that's the process.
12
          So as I mentioned yesterday, in terms of hours, once this
13
     case is given to you, you set the hours. So if you want to
14
15
     stay past 2:00 o'clock, we'll be here. But that might be one
16
     thing to consider and see before you leave today, whether
     that -- so everybody can plan for tomorrow.
17
          But that's the plan. We give the instructions this
18
     afternoon, and then you'll hear closing statements or closing
19
     arguments Friday, and you will have the case by Friday. Okay?
20
          So let's just take a -- maybe a ten-minute break this time
21
     and then we'll come back.
                                Thank you.
22
```

(Jury exits the courtroom at 1:01 p.m.)

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Make your motion.

MR. APTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

For Plaintiff at this time we want to file or move and we hereby move under Rule 50(a). We believe that we are entitled to judgment as a matter of law on two issues: One being reliance, both class-wide and individual reliance, and the second being materiality.

The reliance issue, Your Honor, we have put forth evidence, testimony from Dr. Hartzmark showing that the market was efficient for both stock options and bonds. We're entitled to the "fraud on the market" presumption.

Your Honor denied our previous motion for summary judgment on that issue because the Court understandably wanted to give Defendants an opportunity to rebut the presumption at trial. They have not put forward any evidence, no expert testimony, saying that the market was not efficient or that the presumption should otherwise not stand.

We also have testimony from Glen Littleton, our lead plaintiff, who says he directly relied on the tweet. So in addition to having a presumption of reliance in his favor, he also has an individual reliance, director reliance.

On the topic of materiality, Your Honor, we have a lot of evidence, a significant amount of evidence, showing just how material both these tweets were. Within moments of them being made, the market reacted very strongly, immediately.

So quantitative evidence through statistical analysis

shows that the stock price reacted sharply and immediately to these two tweets.

Qualitatively, we also have a ton of evidence. We have emails, analyst reports, internal correspondence within Tesla saying the market was laser focused on this "funding secured" announcement. Very interested in hearing more information on what "investor support confirmed" actually meant.

And so with that evidence, Your Honor, we think it establishes quite clearly that these two tweets were material and that Defendants have not put forward any evidence showing that they were not material.

And this is separate and apart from the issues of loss causation and damages. We're just focusing on materiality at the time the tweets were made on August 7th.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Response?

MS. THOMPSON: Yes, Your Honor.

Let me address reliance first. First, there is no evidence that Tesla bonds were traded on an active open market. No one opined on that issue and, therefore, there can be no testimony in the record on that issue.

Also, if it's helpful to the Court, let me point the Court to Pages 11 through 14 of the 50(a) motion, which we filed, which indicates judgment as a matter of law should be granted in favor of Defendants. And I would also at this time like to

renew Defendant's 50(a) motions on all the grounds I mentioned previously.

So there's no evidence that Tesla bonds traded in an active and open market. There is certainly a dispute as to whether reliance such that the "fraud on the market" presumption ended August 13 is an open question for the jury to resolve.

There is insufficient evidence to establish materiality.

I'll get to that very briefly in a moment.

But Mr. Apton ignores entirely part of the Court's instruction and a key part of the law on this issue, which is whether the statements that are alleged to be false differ in a significant way from the actual state of affairs.

I think what the evidence has shown most clearly is that the way everyone interpreted these tweets, the state of affairs was actually identical to what Mr. Musk tweeted on August 7th.

So there's insufficient evidence to establish materiality both for the "fraud on the market" presumption and, therefore, there can be no judgment as a matter of law on that and also, of course, as to materiality.

And then plaintiffs have failed to prove the remaining elements of fraud on the market, which are the listed out on the Court's Jury Instructions.

As to materiality, first of all, Mr. Apton noted the stock price increase or reaction. And I think even as to the

quantitative evidence, there is no sufficient evidence of materiality for judgment as a matter of law to be granted.

I'll give the Court a few brief examples. And I apologize. I know I'm going quickly. But, first, there is no disaggregation of the true statement "Am considering taking Tesla private at \$420." That in itself could explain any stock price reaction.

Second, in connection with the second tweeted issue, there was a Tesla blog post around that same time. There has been no indication that any stock reaction was as to the blog post, as to the tweet. So that's on the quantitative side.

And then, of course, on August 13th when the truth is, quote/unquote, revealed, the stock price goes up. On the quantitative side no sufficient evidence of materiality and, in fact, judgment as a matter of law should be granted in favor of Defendants on that point.

But just as to the qualitative evidence, again, what the Court has seen from witness after witness after witness is that what the market understood these tweets to mean is exactly what the state of affairs was. And so there can be no sufficient evidence of materiality and judgment as a matter of law. In fact, it should be granted in favor of Defendants on that point.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Thompson. I appreciate it.

```
I will take that motion as well under submission.
 1
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
              MR. APTON:
                         So it's made for the record.
              THE COURT:
 3
          And so we have -- you should have a copy available to
 4
 5
     you -- I'll bring it in if we have extra copies -- of the Jury
     Instructions. I'm going to read Number 1 through Number 16.
 6
          And have you recruited somebody, Vicky, to display it on
 7
     the screen?
 8
              THE CLERK:
                          Yes.
 9
          Thank you, Derek.
10
11
              THE COURT: I want the jury to see it as well as hear
12
     it.
13
          So that is my plan at this point. And then just roughly,
     how much time -- I don't know how much time is left, but I
14
15
     don't know how long --
16
              THE CLERK:
                         I'm doing it right now.
              THE COURT: We'll let you know before you leave how
17
     much time is left. That dictates certain parameters in terms
18
     of your closing. All right?
19
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
20
              MR. APTON:
              MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: All right. Let's bring the jury in.
22
          (Jury enters the courtroom at 1:14 p.m.)
23
              THE COURT: All right. Have a seat, everyone.
24
25
          Welcome back, members of the jury.
```

I am now going to give you instructions on the law that applies to this case. And for your convenience and benefit we're going to also scroll the written instructions so you will -- you can see it as well as hear it.

You will also be given copies of -- several copies of these instructions at the end of the case. So you'll have that as well.

JURY INSTRUCTIONS

THE COURT: All right. First - Duty of the Jury.

Members of the jury, now that you have heard all the evidence, it is my duty to instruct you on the law that applies to this case.

A copy of these instructions will be sent to the jury room for you to consult during your deliberations.

It is your duty to weigh and to evaluate all the evidence received in the case and in that process to decide the facts. It is also your duty to apply the law as I give it to you to the facts as you find them, whether you agree with the law or not.

You must decide the case solely on the evidence and the law. Do not allow personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices, sympathy, or bias, including unconscious biases, influence you. Unconscious biases are stereotypes, attitudes, or preferences that people may consciously reject but may be expressed without conscious awareness, control, or intention.

Like conscious bias, unconscious bias, too, can affect the way we evaluate information and make decisions.

You should also not be influenced by any person's race, color, religion, national ancestry, gender, sexual orientation, profession, occupation, celebrity, economic circumstances, or position in life or in the community.

Do not be afraid to examine any assumptions you or other jurors have made which are not based on the evidence presented at trial. You'll recall that you took an oath promising to do so at the beginning of the case.

You must follow all these instructions and not single out some and ignore others. They are all important. Please do not read into these instructions or anything I may have said or done any suggestion as to what verdict you should return. That is a matter entirely up to you.

Documents and Testimony Not in Evidence.

In reaching your verdict, you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into evidence. Certain things are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are. I will list them for you.

Number one, arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What they have said in their opening statements, closing arguments, and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from

the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory controls.

Two, questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence.

Attorneys have a duty to their client to object when they

believe a question is improper under the Rules of Evidence.

You should not be influenced by the objection or by the Court's

6 | ruling on it.

Three, testimony that is excluded or stricken or that you have been instructed to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition, some evidence was received only for a limited purpose. If I have instructed you to consider certain evidence only for a limited purpose, you must do so and you may not consider the evidence for any other purpose.

Four, anything you may have seen or heard when court is not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

SEC Investigation.

During the course of the trial, you have heard testimony and seen evidence related to an investigation by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. You have not heard or seen any evidence about how the SEC's investigation concluded. You should not make any assumptions about the outcome of the SEC investigation.

Burden of Proof - Preponderance of the Evidence.

When a party has the burden of proving any claim or affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence, it

means that you must be persuaded by the evidence that the claim 1 or affirmative defense is more probably true than not true. 2 You should base your decision on all of the evidence, 3 regardless of which party presents it. 4 5 Corporate Entities. One of the parties in this case, Tesla, Inc., is a 6 corporation. Under the law, a corporation is considered to be 7 a person. All parties are equal under the law and a 8 corporation is entitled to the same fair and conscientious 9 10 consideration by you as any party. 11 Under the law, a corporation can act only through its employees, agents, directors, or officers. Therefore, a 12 corporation is responsible for the acts of its employees, 13 agents, directors, and officers performed within the scope of 14 15 their authority. 16 An officer of a company is acting within the scope of 17 authority if the officer is engaged in the performance of 18 duties which were expressly or impliedly assigned to the 19 officer by the company. 20 If you find against Mr. Musk but do not find that Mr. Musk was acting within the scope of authority as an officer of 21 Tesla, then you must find that Tesla is not liable. 22 Rule 10b-5 Claim. 23 The buying and selling of securities is controlled by the 24

securities laws. A 10b-5 claim is a claim brought under a

federal statute, Section 10b of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which in essence prohibits acts of deception in connection with the purchase or sale of a security and in violation of rules and regulations that the SEC has the duty and power to issue.

A corresponding SEC rule, Rule 10b-5, prohibits the misrepresentation of material facts and the omission of material facts in connection with the purchase or sale of securities. A person or business entity who violates the securities laws, including Rule 10b-5, may be liable for damages caused by the violation.

Plaintiff alleges that Elon Musk and Tesla, Inc. violated 10b-5 and harmed investors by making materially false and misleading statements about a proposed going-private transaction and its financing. This is referred to as, quote, Plaintiffs' 10b-5 claim, close quote.

On this claim, the Plaintiff has the burden of proving each of the following elements by a preponderance of the evidence:

One, Elon Musk and/or Tesla made untrue statements of a material fact in connection with the purchase or sale of securities;

Two, Elon Musk and/or Tesla acted with the necessary state of mind (i.e., knowingly or with reckless disregard for the truth or falsity of the statements);

Three, Elon Musk and/or Tesla used an instrument of interstate commerce in connection with the sale and/or purchase of Tesla securities;

Four, Plaintiff justifiably relied on Elon Musk and/or
Tesla's untrue statements of material fact in buying or selling
Tesla securities during the class period; and,

Five, Elon Musk and/or Tesla's misrepresentations caused Plaintiff to suffer damages.

An instrumentality of interstate commerce includes postal mails, Elon Musk's emails, telephone, telegraph, telefax, interstate highway system, internet, or similar methods of communications, and travel from one state to another within the United States.

You are to assume the statements "Funding secured" and "Investor support is confirmed. Only reason why this is not certain is that it's contingent on a shareholder vote" were untrue, but you must -- you still must decide whether these statements were of material facts.

You must also assume Mr. Musk acted with reckless disregard for whether the statements were true, but you must still decide whether he knew that the statements weren't true.

Maker of Misstatement.

To be liable under 10b -- for a Section 10b violation, the Plaintiff must prove that the Defendant was the maker of a materially false or misleading statement. A Defendant makes a

statement if the Defendant has ultimate authority over the statement, including its content and whether and how to communicate it.

Material Misrepresentation.

Plaintiff must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Elon Musk and/or Tesla's misrepresentation of a fact was material.

A factual representation concerning a security is material if there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable investor would consider the fact important in deciding whether to buy or sell that security.

A material misrepresentation gives a reasonable investor the impression of a state of affairs that differs in a material way from the one that actually exists.

A factual representation can be untrue but not material. For instance, if a company inaccurately reports its revenue, that representation is untrue. The factual representation would be material if the difference between the disclosed revenue and the accurate revenue is significant enough to influence a reasonable investor's decision whether to buy or sell securities in that company. If not, it would not be material.

You must decide whether something was material based on the circumstances as they existed at of the statement.

Scienter or State of Mind.

Plaintiff must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Elon Musk and/or Tesla acted with a necessary state of mind, which is known as scienter. Scienter may be established by showing either:

One, the Defendant knew his untrue statement was false; or,

Two, the Defendant acted -- it should be with reckless disregard for whether the statement was true.

"Reckless" means highly unreasonable conduct that is an extreme departure from ordinary care, presenting a danger of misleading investors, which is either known to the Defendant or is so obvious that the Defendant must have been aware of it.

You are to assume that Elon Musk made the statement "funding secured" and "Investor support is confirmed. Only reason why this is not certain is that it's contingent on a shareholder vote" with at least reckless disregard for whether the statements were true, but you still must decide whether Mr. Musk acted knowingly.

Imputation of Scienter.

A corporation can only act through its employees, agents, directors, and officers and can likewise only have scienter through them.

If you find that Elon Musk acted with scienter and was acting within the scope of his authority while Tweeting the statements at issue on August 7th, then his scienter may be

imputed to Tesla. If you find that Elon Musk acted with scienter but was not acting within the scope of his authority while Tweeting the statements at issue on August 7th, then his scienter cannot be imputed to Tesla.

Reliance.

Plaintiff must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he relied on Defendant's alleged material misrepresentations and that Plaintiff was justified in doing so. Plaintiff does not have to show that he and other members of the class individually relied on the false and/or materially misleading statements at issue if he proves the requirements for invoking a presumption that he and the class relied on the integrity of the market price, otherwise known as the "fraud on the market" presumption.

If you find that Plaintiff has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that, one, an active open market for Tesla securities existed at the time of the transactions in question; two, investors reasonably relied on that market as an accurate reflection of the current market value of the securities; three, the misrepresentations were publicly known and material, meaning that a reasonable investor would have regarded the misrepresentation as having significantly altered the total mix of information they took into account in deciding whether to buy or sell the Tesla security; and, four, the Plaintiff traded the security between when the alleged material

misrepresentations were made and when the truth was revealed, then the "fraud on the market" presumption applies. This means that you may find that Plaintiff has proved that he relied on Defendant's statements.

An "active, open market" means there were a large number of traders, a high level of activity, and frequent trades such that the price of the security immediately reflects all publicly available information.

Defendants may rebut the presumption that Mr. Littleton relied on the integrity of the market price when purchasing Tesla securities by proving by a preponderance of the evidence that Mr. Littleton did not actually rely on the integrity of the market price when he purchased Tesla securities. In that event, Mr. Littleton must then prove that he justifiably relied directly on the misrepresentation.

Defendants may also rebut the presumption that the

Plaintiff class relied on the integrity of the marketplace when

purchasing Tesla securities by proving by a preponderance of

the evidence that the misrepresentation did not affect the

market price of Tesla's stock.

The "fraud on the market" presumption of reliance is limited to the time between when the misrepresentation was made and when the truth was revealed.

Loss Causation.

Plaintiff must prove by a preponderance of the evidence

that Mr. Musk and/or Tesla's misrepresentations caused their economic injury. To establish causation, Plaintiff must prove that the misrepresentations played a substantial part in causing the jury or loss that Plaintiff suffered.

Plaintiff must reasonably distinguish any security price reaction to the misrepresentations at issue from the market's reaction to other factors, such as other information or events that could affect the price of Tesla securities.

Plaintiff need not prove that the misrepresentations were the sole cause of the economic injuries.

Damages.

If you find for Plaintiff on the 10b-5 claim against Elon Musk and/or Tesla, then you must consider and decide the amount of damages to be awarded to the Plaintiff and the class. You may award only actual damages in that amount which will reasonably and fairly compensate Plaintiff and the class for the economic losses they sustained.

Actual damages are measured by the amount of inflation or deflation caused by the misrepresentations on which you base your finding of a 10b-5 claim. In other words, actual damages are measured by the difference between the price at which the security sold and the price at which the security would have been sold absent the alleged material misrepresentations.

There are three categories of securities about which you will be asked to render a verdict on damages:

One, Tesla common stock;

Two, Tesla convertible bonds; and,

Three, Tesla stock options.

A stock option is a financial instrument that gives its buyer (known as the option holder) the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell stock at a predetermined price at or until a specified date and time in the future.

The price of a stock option is primarily determined by two facts: The price of the stock at the time the option is purchased and the implied volatility of the stock. Implied volatility is the market's forecast of a likely movement in a security's price.

You will be asked to determine the amount, if any, by which the prices of Tesla common stock and convertible bonds were artificially inflated by the misrepresentations on which you base your finding of a 10b-5 claim for each day during the class period.

You will also be asked to determine what the levels of implied volatility for each Tesla stock option would have been for each option maturity bought or sold during the class period "but for" the misrepresentations.

Plaintiff bears the burden of proving that the misrepresentations caused a change in the implied volatility for each option maturity on each day of the class period. The Court will use your determinations of price inflation and

implied volatility to calculate the damages suffered by the Plaintiff and the class in connection with the purchase -- purchases and sales of Tesla securities during the class period.

Your award must be based on evidence and not upon speculation, guesswork, or conjecture.

Damages need not be proven with mathematical certainty, but there must be enough evidence for you to make a reasonable estimate of damages.

Plaintiff has the burden of proving damages by a preponderance of the evidence. Plaintiff also has the burden of separating out the price decline, if any, caused by factors, if any, other than the alleged misrepresentations.

Control Person Liability.

Under Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, a Defendant may be liable as a "controlling person" if during the period that someone else violated Rule 10b-5 the Defendant had the authority to control that person or company.

A controlling person is an individual or company that possesses the power to direct the management and policies of a business enterprise or of another person involved in the management or policymaking of the enterprise.

Plaintiff claims that Tesla's Board of Directors were controlling persons of Tesla and are, therefore, liable under the securities laws for any violations of Rule 10b-5 committed

This is referred to as "The Plaintiff's Section 1 by Tesla. 20(a) claim." 2 On this claim plaintiff has the burden of proving by a 3 preponderance of the evidence that each Tesla director 4 5 possessed, directly or indirectly, the actual power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of Tesla 6 where Tesla is liable on Plaintiff's Rule 10b-5 claim. 7 Plaintiff does not need to prove that any Tesla director 8 actually exercised that power. 9 Defense to Control Person Liability. 10 11 Even if you find that any Tesla director is a controlling person, you must still find that the Tesla director -- you must 12 still find that Tesla director not liable if that Tesla 13 director establishes the defense to control person liability. 14 15 Under the defense to control person liability, each of Tesla's 16 directors has the burden of proving both the following elements 17 by a preponderance of the evidence: One, he or she did not directly or indirectly induce the 18 10b-5 violation; and, 19 Two, he or she acted in good faith. 20 The director can prove good faith by establishing that he 21 or she maintained and enforced a reasonable and proper system 22 of supervision and internal control. 23

If the director is found to have been involved in making a

statement which violates Section 10b-5, that director can prove

24

good faith by showing a lack of scienter; i.e., that the director did not know that the statement was false, nor did the director have reckless disregard for whether the statement was true.

If you find that a Tesla director has proved both of these elements, your verdict should be for that director. If you find that a director has failed to prove either or both of these elements, your verdict should be for Plaintiff.

Apportionment of Liability.

If you find that Plaintiff proved the 10b-5 claim against Tesla or Mr. Musk and/or Section 20(a) claims against the other Defendants, then you must take two more steps.

First, you must determine whether any Defendant knowingly violated any securities law. A Defendant commits a knowing violation of the securities laws when (1) they make an untrue statement of a material fact with actual knowledge that the representation is false; and (2) persons are likely to reasonably rely on that misrepresentation.

Second, you must determine what percentage of responsibility, if any, to assign to each Defendant whom you have found to be liable (including those who have acted with reckless disregard).

When apportioning responsibility, the percentages you calculate must total 100 percent among the parties you find responsible, even if you believe some of the Defendants are

zero percent responsible.

In determining the percentage of responsibility, you must consider the nature of the conduct of each person you determine caused or contributed to the losses, if any, incurred by the Plaintiff and the nature and extent of the causal relationship between that person's conduct and the losses, if any, incurred by Plaintiff.

If you determine that any person's actions and omissions did not proximately contribute to the loss incurred by Plaintiff, then you are to assign zero percent responsibility to that person.

All right. So those are the instructions on the law. I will give you some final-final instructions tomorrow after we hear each party's closing arguments.

Until then, my admonition still applies. Since this case has not been submitted to you quite yet for deliberation, please still observe my direction that you are not to talk about this case at this point with anyone, including amongst yourselves or with anybody else. Do not listen to, read, or follow any coverage of this trial. Do not attempt to do any research on your own, and do not form any opinions until this case is submitted to you for deliberation on Friday. So we'll see you at 8:30 on Friday.

And, again, I don't know if you've already discussed, but if you would like to stay later than 2:00 o'clock on Friday,

```
you're certainly welcome to do so.
 1
          Til then, tomorrow you have a day off, but we'll see you
 2
     on Friday. Thank you.
 3
              THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
 4
 5
          (Jury exits the courtroom at 1:37 p.m.)
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. We should be clear on time
 7
     limitation here so each party knows.
              THE CLERK:
                          One second.
 8
              THE COURT:
 9
                          Okay.
              THE CLERK: I had to submit the jury's lunch order.
10
11
              THE COURT: Oh, that's more important. The jury's
     lunch order.
12
13
              MR. SPIRO:
                          Oh, yes.
              THE COURT:
                         Yeah, that's --
14
15
              MR. SPIRO:
                         No question.
16
          Can we discuss a couple other things?
17
              THE COURT:
                         Yeah.
                                 Yeah.
              MR. SPIRO: So the first is, just so the Court's
18
19
     clear, we object to the jury getting copies of the
20
     instructions. It's not done in the ordinary course in my
21
     experience, and we object to it.
                          Okay. Well, it's done in the ordinary
22
              THE COURT:
23
     course here, and I believe the Ninth Circuit instructions maybe
     even indicates.
24
25
          But, in any event, that's my practice. I've never had a
```

problem with it. And, in fact, I have found that jurors have said it's very useful to have that because often they refer to the instructions because it's hard to remember everything.

MR. SPIRO: And it may -- and the Court -- it may be the Court's practice in the Northern District of California.

The second thing is just about summation order. I assume we get last-ups because we have the burden of proof on the defense.

I'm obviously relaying my experience across the country.

THE COURT: Well, you had burden of proof on the defense. On the other hand, they had burden of proof on just about everything else.

MR. SPIRO: Yeah, but it's not -- I mean, again, we're -- it's hard to remember sometimes, but we're sort of the Defendants here and Courts, in my experience, and I think, you know, good judgment suggests that given -- you know, we're the ones that have reputation and all sorts of liability and other issues at stake here, that we ought to have, especially when we carry the burden. And so I wouldn't -- I mean, I don't know what the Court's suggested order was, but that's -- that, to me, is clearly what should happen.

MR. PORRITT: Well, I don't think it's so clear. I'm not surprised they want it here. I think obviously we have the burden on pretty severe elements of the case, and so we would expect to have a rebuttal and have the last -- last closing

statement in that regard.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to maintain the -- I don't know what the practice is in the courts that you appear in, but it's the consistent practice here if the Plaintiff has the burden of proof on the claims at issue, even whether there are affirmative defenses, the Plaintiff has an opportunity for rebuttal. So that's what we're going to do.

MR. SPIRO: So, then one practice that -- I do sometimes try cases in California, too, but the Plaintiff is not allowed to surprise attack during their rebuttal. Meaning, they can't intentionally withhold something from their direct summation and then sandbag the Defense. They can respond to Defense arguments.

I assume that is the practice here and with Your Honor. I know there's case law on that, but I just want to make sure that that's not something that we need to be concerned with.

THE COURT: Well, my presumption is it follows sort of like the scope of redirect. I mean, it's scope questions usually defined, for the most part, by the Defense. The rebuttal is for rebuttal. So you can't give a two-minute opening and an hour rebuttal.

MR. PORRITT: That's not my intention, Your Honor.

THE COURT: On the other hand, you know, I don't want to hear objections over, you know, fine degrees of, "Well, we didn't say anything about that in our" -- I mean, you can make

that objection, but I'll probably overrule it. 1 I have no intention of objecting during 2 MR. SPIRO: Mr. Porritt's summation. That's why I raise these issues now, 3 so that I don't. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. MR. PORRITT: The only other issue we have is kind of 6 7 more practical. We were talking about the index of exhibits. And, obviously, we'll work with the -- Ms. Ayala on finalizing 8 the exhibits. 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MR. PORRITT: I thought in terms of the number of 11 demonstratives and in light of the Court's instruction, whether 12 it would be helpful to have -- while clearly indicating they're 13 demonstratives, at least have a list of the demonstratives that 14 15 were presented by both sides during the course of trial, 16 whether that would be helpful to the jury. That might be a 17 subject we might think about. 18 **THE COURT:** Your response? MR. SPIRO: You mean at the end of the index if 19 20 there's some sort of --21 Yeah. Index of -- you're saying an THE COURT: 22 additional index not only exhibits, but an index of demonstratives? 23 MR. PORRITT: Yes. A separate document, if necessary, 24 25 or the end, one way or the other, but clearly obviously marking

that they're not exhibits. 1 MR. SPIRO: I doubt there will be a problem when the 2 parties meet-and-confer --3 THE COURT: 4 Okay. 5 MR. SPIRO: -- but I would like to consider that and see what the proposal specifically is. 6 THE COURT: All right. I can see a utilitarian value 7 to that. If they ask for a particular -- if they do want a 8 readback, guote/unquote, and look at a demonstrative, they can 9 identify it pretty quickly that way. But why don't you 10 11 meet-and-confer and see. MR. PORRITT: That was our thinking, Your Honor. 12 That's all. I just wanted to raise that now. 13 I mean, and on this demonstrative 14 MR. SPIRO: Yeah. 15 point, you know, the titles were argumentative. We had some 16 objections. I don't think we want the titles on there. 17 don't know if we want to be, you know, adding to the sheet, you know, suggestions of certain testimony clips either. 18 So the jury has been paying a lot of attention, been 19 taking copious notes. I think they're within the wherewithal 20 21 to send notes. So I would have to think about the request and consider it, and we have a day to go back and forth on this. 22 23 The other thing, just so the Court's aware, where Mr. Porritt and I left it, exhibits that are in the case, trial 24

testimony, demonstratives that he's turned over or seen and

```
vice-versa, we're all good with. There's no issues with any of
 1
     that at summation obviously, and we don't need to revisit that.
 2
          If there is a new demonstrative or something, we will
 3
     confer tomorrow and make sure that each other has seen it.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
                                I mean, I do want you all -- if
     you're going to use some new demonstrative on the other side in
 6
 7
     your closing that goes along with the exchange, then we can
     talk about that.
 8
              MR. PORRITT:
                           Yeah. We expect those to be exchanged
 9
     this afternoon if it's going to be a new demonstrative.
10
11
              MR. SPIRO: Yeah.
                                 I'm just explaining to the Court
     that we did meet-and-confer. And so as to not overdo too many
12
     things, if there's something new, we'd like to see it from each
13
     other in terms of a demonstrative. I don't need to see the
14
15
     transcript.
16
          And other than that, we will see you, from our
17
    perspective, Friday morning.
              THE COURT: All right. And what's the official
18
19
     timekeeper's --
20
              THE CLERK: Well, I need the time for the video, the
21
     division of it.
              MR. PORRITT: I think we had, like, two and a half
22
23
    minutes and the balance is roughly --
              MR. SPIRO: We round up -- we round up in the Northern
24
```

District of California; right?

```
1
              THE CLERK:
                          No.
                               It's two minutes in.
 2
              MR. KOTARSKI: It's 17 and 2.
              MR. PORRITT: 17 and 2, Defendants 17 and us 2.
 3
                         I'm curious myself how much time you all
 4
              THE COURT:
 5
     have.
              THE CLERK:
                          Not very much.
 6
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Good. It's going to make for a crisp,
     succinct closing.
 8
              MR. PORRITT: I think I've got enough, if our
 9
     recordkeeping is correct. But, hopefully, now we'll find out.
10
11
     I'm more nervous now than when the jury's coming back in.
          (Brief pause.)
12
                         Plaintiffs have used 17 hours and
13
              THE CLERK:
     12 minutes; Defendants 16 hours and 38 minutes.
14
              MR. PORRITT: Does that include the 10 minutes we got
15
16
     back that Your Honor was gracious enough to give us back?
17
              THE CLERK:
                          That-includes the 10 minutes.
              MR. PORRITT: Okay.
18
              MR. SPIRO: Right. And so during the summation when
19
     I -- if I run up against the time and the Court tells me to sit
20
     down, I'll know what that means.
21
                         I mean, you know, at this point --
22
              THE COURT:
              MR. PORRITT: We're pretty close.
23
              THE COURT: -- it's a few minutes. I'm not going
24
```

to -- if you guys only go a couple -- it's important enough,

```
I'm not going to actually stop you mid-sentence. You get the
 1
     drift. You have about an hour.
 2
              MR. PORRITT: I've got about an hour. That's what I
 3
     mentally estimated.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: You have about an hour and a half or hour
     and 20, something like that.
 6
          You know, if it goes over a few minutes, I'm not going to
 7
     cut off the microphones; but we're not going to have, you know,
 8
     two hours and that kind of stuff.
 9
              MR. PORRITT: I didn't want to do that anyway,
10
     Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
12
              MR. PORRITT: All right.
13
              MR. SPIRO: Good.
                                 Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15
              MR. PORRITT: Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Thank you.
16
17
          (Proceedings adjourned at 1:46 p.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX		
2	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 - Volume 9		
3		PAGE	VOL.
4	Plaintiff rests Defense Rests	1865 1937	
5	Jury Instructions	1944	
6	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES	PAGE	<u>VOL.</u>
7	HARTZMARK, MICHAEL (RECALLED)	1786	9
8	Cross-Examination Resumed by Mr. Rossman	1786	9
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Porritt Recross-Examination by Mr. Rossman	1839 1857	9 9
10	DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
11	TELLER, SAM	1074	0
12	(SWORN) Direct Examination by Mr. Lifrak	$1874 \\ 1874$	
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Apton	1893	9
14	KONEY, OWURAKA VIDEOTAPED TESTIMONY	1897	9
		1057	,
15	MURDOCH, JAMES (SWORN)	1897	9
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Lifrak	1898	
17	Cross-Examination by Ms. Tripodi	1907	9
	MUSK, KIMBAL	1011	0
18	(SWORN) Direct Examination by Mr. Price	1911 1911	9 9
19	Cross-Examination by Ms. Tripodi	1916	9
20	EHRENPREIS, IRA		
21	(SWORN) Direct Examination by Ms. Thompson	1918 1918	9 9
21	Cross-Examination by Mr. Apton	1924	9
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	INDEX		
2	DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
3	JOHNSON RICE, LINDA (SWORN) via Zoom	1933	9
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Thompson	1933	9
5	EXHIBITS		
6	TRIAL EXHIBITS IDEN	EVID	VOL.
7	33	1844	9
8	109	1874	9
9	110-A	1874	9
10	322	1937	9
11	338	1783	9
12	426	1840	9
13	429	1783	9
14	565	1783	9
15	570, subject to redaction	1783	9
16	572	1783	9
17	594	1783	9
18	721	1783	9
19	763	1783	9
20	1008	1859	9
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS

We, BELLE BALL and DEBRA PAS, Official Reporters for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. We certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Llelua X. Pad

Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR

Bell Ball

Belle Ball, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR

Wednesday, February 1, 2023